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DRAFT - the details of some of these specifications remain to be finalised

## A. Basic concepts and stock structure

The objective of this In Depth Assessment for western North Pacific minke whales is to review the current status of the stocks and to examine the effect of future catches, for example as set by the Revised Management Procedure (RMP). This assessment has been developed from the Implementation Simulation Trials previously used to test the performance of the RMP in scenarios that relate to the actual problem of managing a likely fishery for minke whales in the North Pacific (IWC, 2014b) ${ }^{1}$. The trials attempt to bound the range of plausible hypotheses regarding the number of minke whale stocks in the North Pacific, how they feed (by sex, age and month) and recruit and how surveys index them. The underlying dynamics model is age- and sex-structured and allows for multiple stocks.

The region to be managed (the western North Pacific) is divided into 22 sub-areas (see Fig. 1). Future surveys are unlikely to cover sub-areas 1, 2, 3, 4 and 13 (see Table 3) so these sub-areas are taken to be Residual Areas in the current trials (although allowance is made for future bycatches from some of these sub-areas - see section D). The term 'stock' refers to a group of whales from the same breeding ground.


Fig. 1. The 22 sub-areas used in the In Depth Assessment for North Pacific minke whales

[^0]Three fundamental hypotheses are considered to account for patterns observed in the results from the genetic analyses ${ }^{2}$ :
(i) there is a single J-stock that occurs to the west of Japan (Sea of Japan and Yellow Sea) and the Pacific coast of Japan (sub-areas 2C, 7CS, 7CN, 11 and 12SW) and a single O-stock in sub-areas to the east and north of Japan (2C, 2R, 3, 4, $7 \mathrm{CS}, 7 \mathrm{CN}, 7 \mathrm{WR}, 7 \mathrm{E}, 8,9,9 \mathrm{~N}, 10 \mathrm{E}, 11,12 \mathrm{SW}, 12 \mathrm{NE}$ and 13) (referred to as hypothesis A);
(ii) as for hypothesis (A), but there is a third stock (Y) that resides in the Yellow sea (sub-areas $1 \mathrm{~W}, 5$ and 6 W ) and overlaps with J-stock in the southern part of sub-area 6 W (referred to as hypothesis B ); and
(iii) there are four stocks, referred to $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{P}$, and O , two of which ( Y and J) occur in the Sea of Japan, and three of which ( $J, P$, and $O$ ) are found to the east of Japan (referred to as hypothesis $E$ ). Stock $P$ is a coastal stock.
Sensitivity tests in which there is a C-stock are also conducted based on stock structure hypotheses A and E. The C-stock is found in sub-areas 9 and 9 N for the sensitivity test based on stock structure hypothesis $A$ and in these sub-areas as well as sub-area 12NE for the sensitivity test based on stock structure hypothesis E. There is uncertainty regarding whether C-stock is found in sub-area 12NE because of the lack of genetics data for this sub-area.

## B. Basic dynamics

Further details of the underlying age-structured model and its parameters can be found in IWC (1991, p.112), except that the model has been extended to take sex-structure into account. The dynamics of the animals in stock $j$ are governed by Equations B.1(a) except for hypothesis E, which allows for dispersal (permanent movement between stocks) as given by Equations B.1(b).

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{t+1, a}^{g, j}= \begin{cases}0.5 b_{t+1}^{j} & \text { if } a=0 \\
\left(N_{t, a-1}^{g, j}-C_{t, a-1}^{g, j}\right) \tilde{S}_{a-1} & \text { if } 1 \leq a<x \\
\left(N_{t, x}^{g, j}-C_{t, x}^{g, j}\right) \tilde{S}_{x}+\left(N_{t, x-1}^{g, j}-C_{t, x-1}^{g, j}\right) \tilde{S}_{x-1} & \text { if } a=x\end{cases}  \tag{B.1a}\\
& N_{t+1, a}^{g, j}= \begin{cases}0.5 b_{t+1}^{j} & \text { if } a=0 \\
\sum_{j \neq j^{\prime}}\left[\left(1-D^{j, j^{\prime}}\right)\left(N_{t, a-1}^{g, j}-C_{t, a-1}^{g, j}\right) \tilde{S}_{a-1}+D^{j^{\prime, j}}\left(N_{t, a-1}^{g, j^{\prime}}-C_{t, a-1}^{g, j^{\prime}}\right) \tilde{S}_{a-1}\right] & \text { if } 1 \leq a<x \\
\sum_{j \neq j^{\prime}}\left[\left(1-D^{j, j^{\prime}}\right)\left(\left(N_{t, x}^{g, j}-C_{t, x}^{g, j}\right) \tilde{S}_{x}+\left(N_{t, x-1}^{g, j}-C_{t, x-1}^{g, j}\right) \tilde{S}_{x-1}\right)\right. & \text { if } a=x \\
\left.\quad+D^{j^{\prime}, j}\left(\left(N_{t, x}^{g, j^{\prime}}-C_{t, x}^{g, j^{\prime}}\right) \tilde{S}_{x}+\left(N_{t, x-1}^{g, j^{\prime}}-C_{t, x-1}^{g, j^{\prime}}\right) \tilde{S}_{x-1}\right)\right] & \end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad N_{t, a}^{g, j} \quad$ is the number of animals of gender $g$ and age $a$ in stock $j$ at the start of year $t$;
$C_{t, a}^{g, j} \quad$ is the catch (in number) of animals of gender $g$ and age $a$ in stock $j$ during year $t$ (whaling is assumed to take place in a pulse at the start of each year);
$b_{t}^{j} \quad$ is the number of calves born to females from stock $j$ at the start of year $t$;
$\tilde{S}_{a} \quad$ is the survival rate $=e^{-M_{a}}$ where $M_{a}$ is the instantaneous rate of natural mortality (assumed to be independent of stock and sex); and
$x \quad$ is the maximum age (treated as a plus-group); and
$D^{j, j^{\prime}} \quad$ is the dispersal rate (i.e. the probability of an animal moving permanently) from stock $j$ to $j^{\prime}$. It is assumed that the numbers dispersing from the $j$-stock to the $j^{\prime}$-stock are the same as from the $j^{\prime}$-stock to the $j$-stock at unexploited equilibrium and that the proportion of calves dispersing from the $j$-stock to the $j^{\prime}$-stock at equilibrium is the same as that from the $j^{\prime}$-stock to the $j$-stock.
Note that projections start in year $t=2021$.
For computational ease, the numbers-at-age by sex are updated at the end of each year only, even though catching is assumed to occur from March to October. This simplification is unlikely to affect the results substantially for two reasons: (1) catches are at most only a few percent of the number of animals selected to the fisheries; and (2) sightings survey estimates are subject to high variability so that the resultant slight positive bias in abundance estimates is almost certainly inconsequential.

[^1]
## C. Births

Density-dependence is assumed to act on the female component of the mature population. The convention of referring to the mature population is used here, although this actually refers to animals that have reached the age of first parturition.

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{t}^{j}=B^{j} N_{t}^{\mathrm{f}, j}\left\{1+A^{j}\left(1-\left(N_{t}^{\mathrm{f}, j} / K^{\mathrm{f}, j}\right)^{z^{j}}\right)\right\} \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad B^{j} \quad$ is the average number of births (of both sexes) per year for a mature female in stock $j$ in the pristine population;
$A^{j} \quad$ is the resilience parameter for stock $j$;
$z^{j} \quad$ is the degree of compensation for stock $j ;$
$N_{t}^{f, j} \quad$ is the number of 'mature' females in stock $j$ at the start of year $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{t}^{\mathrm{f}, j}=\sum_{a=a_{m}}^{x} N_{t, a}^{\mathrm{f}, j} \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a_{m} \quad$ is the age-at-first-parturition; and
$K^{\mathrm{f}, j}$ is the number of mature females in stock $j$ in the pristine (pre-exploitation, written as $t=-\infty$ ) population:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\mathrm{f}, j}=\sum_{a=a_{m}}^{x} N_{-\infty, a}^{\mathrm{f}, j} \tag{C.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of the parameters $A^{j}$ and $z^{j}$ for each stock are calculated from the values for MSYL ${ }^{j}$ and MSYR ${ }^{j}$ (Punt, 1999). Their calculation assumes harvesting equal proportions of males and females.

## D. Catches

The operating model considers two sources for non-natural mortality: direct catches and bycatches (which are also referred to as incidental catches). In future ( $t>2020$ ), the former are set externally (e.g. by the RMP or specified as a time-series of fixed removals by sub-area), while the latter are a function of abundance and future fishery effort.

In cases in which the total catch limit (e.g. as set by the RMP) is less than the level of incidental catch, the total removals are taken to be the incidental catch only whereas if this total catch limit exceeds the incidental catch (if any), the level of the commercial removals is taken to be the difference between the total catch limit and the best estimate of the incidental catch (see 'Future incidental catches' below).

## D. 1 Direct catches

The direct historical (pre-2021) catch series used are listed in Appendix 1 and include both commercial and special permit catches. Details of the sources of the catch data are given in Allison (2011). The baseline trials use the 'best' direct catch series, and an alternative 'high' catch series is used in Trial 4. Trials 8 and 9 test the effect of the method used to allocate historical catches between sub-areas 5 and 6 W . If catch limits are set by the RMP, it will use the 'best' series in all cases; i.e. it will use what are in effect incorrect catches for Trials 4,8 and 9 to examine the implications of uncertainty about historical catches. Catch limits are set by Small Area. (Catches are always reported by Small Area).

Catches and bycatches are removed month by month from each sub-area. It is assumed that whales are homogeneously distributed across a sub-area (excepting in sub-areas 7 CS and 7 CN in the future), so historical catches and the future catch limits for a sub-area are allocated to stocks by sex and age relative to their true density within that sub-area, and a catch mixing matrix $V$ that depends on sex, age and time of the year (and may also depend on year), i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{t, a}^{g, j}=\sum_{k} \sum_{q} F_{t}^{g, k, q} V_{t, a}^{g, j, k, q} S_{a}^{g} \tilde{N}_{t, q, a}^{g, j}  \tag{D.1}\\
& F_{t}^{g, k, q}=\frac{C_{t}^{g, k, q}}{\sum_{j^{\prime}} \sum_{a^{\prime}} V_{t, a^{\prime}}^{g, j^{\prime}, k, q} S_{a^{\prime}}^{g} \tilde{N}_{t, q, a^{\prime}}^{g, j^{\prime}}} \tag{D.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad F_{t}^{g, k, q}$ is the exploitation rate in sub-area $k$ on fully recruited $\left(S_{a}^{g} \rightarrow 1\right)$ animals of gender $g$ during month $q$ of year $t$;
$S_{a}^{g} \quad$ is the selectivity on animals of gender $g$ and age $a$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{g}=\left(1+e^{-\left(a-a_{50}^{g}\right) / \delta^{g}}\right)^{-1} \tag{D.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{t, q, a}^{g, j}$ is the number of animals of gender $g$ and age $a$ in stock $j$ at the start of month $q$ in year $t$ after removal of catches in earlier months and after removal of any bycatches in month $q$;
$a_{50}^{g}, \delta^{g}$ are the parameters of the (logistic) selectivity ogive for gender $g$; and
$C_{t}^{g, k, q} \quad$ is the catch of animals of gender $g$ in sub-area $k$ during month $q$ of year $t$ (see Appendix 1 for the historical catches).

Each entry in the catch mixing matrix, $V_{t, a}^{g, j, k, q}$, is the fraction of males/females of age $a$ from stock $j$ that are found in sub-area $k$ during month $q$ of year $t$. The catch mixing matrix is different for each month to reflect the effects of migration between the breeding and the feeding grounds and back. Appendix 2 lists the catch mixing matrices considered. The matrices are based on the presence/absence matrices developed at the First Intersessional Workshop (see IWC, 2020) and represent the relative fraction of an age-class in each of the sub-areas during the months March-October. Once the values of the parameters related to mixing rates (the $\gamma s$ - see section F) are specified (these are estimated separately for each trial and each replicate during the conditioning process), the catch mixing matrices can be converted to fractions of each age-class in each sub-area. The values for the $\gamma$ parameters are selected to mimic available data (see Section F).

Catch mixing matrices are specified for ages 4 and 10 (these being three years below and above the assumed age-at$50 \%$-maturity). Few animals of age 4 are mature while most of age 10 are. The catch mixing matrices for ages 0-3 are assumed to be the same as that for age 4 , and those for ages $11+$ the same as that for age 10 . The catch mixing matrices for ages 5-9 are set by interpolating linearly between those for ages 4 and 10.

The trials model whale movements in the eight-months from March to October. In order to account for historical direct and incidental catches outside these months, all catches in January-March are modelled as being taken in March and the catches after October are assumed to have been taken in October. The historical direct catches by sex, sub-area, month and year are given in Appendix 1.

The trials are conducted assuming that the sub-areas for which future catch limits might be set are:

| sub-area | 7CS and 7CN | April to October (coastal/pelagic whaling outside a specified distance ${ }^{3}$ ) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 7WR and 7E | April to October (pelagic whaling) |  |
| 8 and 9 | April to October (pelagic whaling) |  |
| 11 | April to October (coastal and pelagic whaling) |  |
| 12 | April to October (coastal and pelagic whaling) |  |

Future ( $t>2020$ ) commercial catches are allocated to sex, sub-area, month and year using the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{t}^{g, k, q}=C_{t}^{k} Q^{g, k, q} \tag{D.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Q^{g, k, q} \quad$ is the fraction of the commercial catch in sub-area $k$ of gender $g$ that is taken during month $q$, the values of which are given in Table 1a; and
$C_{t}^{k} \quad$ is the commercial catch limit for sub-area $k$ and year $t(t>2020)$. Note that $C_{t}^{k}$ is equal to the total catch limit (e.g. as set by the RMP) less any reported incidental catch (constrained to be non-negative).

Entries in the $Q$ matrix are determined by the options related to the sub-areas for which catch limits might be set; the non-zero entries (see Table 1a) reflect the historical breakdown of catches over the last 10 years of commercial whaling (1978-87) within each sub-area. In sub-areas for which there was no catch between 1978-87 (7E, 8 and 9), the entries in the $Q$ matrix are set using the entire historical commercial and scientific catch in these sub-areas. In some instances where regulations limited the commercial whaling season, the matrix entries have been adjusted using the special permit data.

Future commercial catches are allocated to stock as described above (Equations D. 1 and D.2) except in sub-areas 7CS and 7 CN where the genetic data show differences between nearshore and offshore catches. It is assumed future catches will be taken offshore and are allocated to stock based on the mixing proportions set using genetic data from special permit samples only (Table 2a). The process of allocating removals to stock within sub-areas 7CS and 7CN involves first denoting the modelled mixing proportion used when conditioning, $R^{k, q}$, as:

$$
R^{k, q}=\sum_{t=1996}^{2016} P_{1+, t}^{J / J, k, q} / \sum_{j} \sum_{t=1996}^{2016} P_{1+, t}^{j, k, q}
$$

where $P_{1+, t}^{j, k, q}$ is the average number of $1+$ animals from stock $j$ in sub-area $k$ in month $q$ of year $t$.

[^2]The mixing proportions obtained from the offshore samples, $\tilde{R}^{k, q}$, are given in Table 2 a. The proportion of J-stock animals in some future year would normally be $P_{1+t}^{J, k, q} /\left(P_{1+, t}^{J, k, q}+P_{1+, t}^{P, k, q}+P_{1+, t}^{0, k, q}\right)$. For sub-areas 7CS and 7CN in future this equation is adjusted to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{R}^{k, q} \neq R^{k, q}\right): \alpha^{k, q} P_{1+, t}^{J, k, q} /\left(\alpha^{k, q} P_{1+t}^{J, k, q}+P_{1+, t}^{P, k, q}+P_{1+, t}^{0, k, q}\right) \text { where } \alpha^{k, q}=\frac{\left(1-R^{k, q}\right) \tilde{R}^{k, q}}{\left(1-\tilde{R}^{k, q}\right) R^{k, q}} \tag{D.4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\alpha^{k, q}$ factor is then applied to the recruited population from J-stock in sub-area $k$ and month $q$ when setting the commercial catch by stock using Equations D. 1 and D.2.

Table 1a
The Q matrix used to allocate future commercial catches for a sub-area to sex and month. The entries give the percentage of the catch in sub-area $k$ that is taken by sex and month for sub-areas other than Residual Areas. Dashes indicate sub-areas/months for which catch limits are defined to be zero. See text for description of how the entries are set. Values are set using catches taken up to and including 2018.

| Sub-area | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sept | Oct | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sept | Oct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7CS | - | 24.3 | 21.5 | 10.1 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | - | - | 21.7 | 12.6 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | - | - |
| 7CN | - | - | 0.8 | 8.2 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 23.9 | 11.9 | - | 0.1 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 3.1 |
| 7WR | - | 0.9 | 45.0 | 30.3 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 6.4 | - | - | - | 8.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 | - | - | - |
| 7E | - | - | 32.9 | 19.3 | 1.9 | 7.2 | 12.6 | 1.0 | - | - | 3.9 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 8.7 | - |
| 8 | - | - | 12.8 | 33.6 | 31.9 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 2.0 | - | - | 2.7 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 1.7 |
| 9 | - | - | 5.4 | 13.6 | 30.4 | 36.3 | 2.9 | - | - | - | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 4.9 | 0.5 | - |
| 11 | - | 1.3 | 5.5 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 10.6 | 19.3 | 18.5 | 10.7 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 0.4 |

## Table 1b

QB matrix: the percentage of the incidental catch in sub-area $k$ that is taken by sex and month. The values are set using all available bycatches known by sub-area, sex and month, up to and including 2016 (Japan) and 2017 (Korea). There are no known incidental catches in other sub-areas.

| Sub-area | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sept | Oct | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sept | Oct | Sample size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1E | 17.1 | 9.21 | 1.32 | 9.21 | 1.32 | 0 | 0 | 3.95 | 18.4 | 6.58 | 10.5 | 7.89 | 6.58 | 2.63 | 0 | 5.26 | 76 |
| 2C | 15.1 | 4.3 | 2.42 | 0.81 | 1.08 | 0.54 | 0 | 14.2 | 24.7 | 1.88 | 3.76 | 2.42 | 2.69 | 1.61 | 0.27 | 24.2 | 372 |
| 5 | 5.17 | 3.45 | 10.3 | 19.8 | 1.72 | 2.59 | 1.72 | 12.1 | 9.48 | 4.31 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 3.45 | 0 | 1.72 | 8.62 | 116 |
| 6W | 13.3 | 5.91 | 6.6 | 4.75 | 2.67 | 3.01 | 4.17 | 14.6 | 13.2 | 4.98 | 4.63 | 6.14 | 1.16 | 1.51 | 1.74 | 11.6 | 863 |
| 6E | 15.5 | 9.88 | 6.79 | 2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 9.08 | 16.7 | 9.28 | 6.29 | 2.69 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 10.8 | 1002 |
| 7CS | 7.89 | 5.02 | 10.4 | 7.17 | 2.51 | 1.08 | 0.36 | 11.5 | 10 | 8.96 | 9.32 | 8.6 | 2.15 | 1.43 | 1.08 | 12.5 | 279 |
| 7CN | 4.19 | 4.79 | 3.59 | 8.38 | 7.19 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 9.58 | 2.99 | 8.98 | 12 | 9.58 | 6.59 | 2.99 | 1.8 | 14.4 | 167 |
| 10E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.56 | 0 | 55.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 | 18 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.08 | 0 | 0 | 6.12 | 24.5 | 0 | 0 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 4.08 | 0 | 2.04 | 22.4 | 49 |

Table 2a
Time-invariant fixed proportions by stock to be used in removing future commercial catches from sub-areas 7CS and 7CN for each for stock hypothesis, based on the number of sampled whales that were assigned to each stock using the genetic data ${ }^{4}$ limited to special permit samples only [in the 2013 trials this was limited to >10nm]. The values are set using data from 1996-2016.

|  |  |  | Sample size |  | Proportion |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hypothesis | Sub-Area | Months | J-Stock | O-Stock | J-Stock | O-Stock |
| A \& B | 7CS | Apr | 48 | 138 | 0.258 | 0.742 |
| A \& B | 7CS | May | 89 | 255 | 0.259 | 0.741 |
| A \& B | 7CS | Jun-Sep | 4 | 75 | 0.051 | 0.949 |
| A \& B | 7CN | Apr-Jun | 12 | 139 | 0.079 | 0.921 |
| A \& B | 7CN | Jul-Dec | 169 | 645 | 0.208 | 0.792 |


|  |  |  | Sample size |  |  | Proportion |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hypothesis | Sub-Area | Months | J-Stock | P-Stock | O-Stock | J-Stock | P-Stock | O-Stock |
| E | 7CS | Apr | 0 | 188 | 0 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.000 |
| E | 7CS | May | 0 | 303 | 24 | 0.000 | 0.927 | 0.073 |
| E | 7CS | Jun-Sep | 0 | 5 | 73 | 0.000 | 0.064 | 0.936 |
| E | TCN | Apr-Jun | 2 | 28 | 109 | 0.014 | 0.201 | 0.784 |
| E | 7CN | Jul-Dec | 10 | 574 | 225 | 0.012 | 0.710 | 0.278 |

[^3]Time-invariant fixed proportions by stock to be used in removing bycatch from sub-areas 7CS and 7CN for each for stock hypothesis, based on the number of sampled whales that were assigned to each stock using genetic data ${ }^{5}$ limited to bycatch only, using data from 2001-2016.

|  |  |  | Sample size |  | Proportion |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hypothesis | Sub-Area | Months | J-Stock | O-Stock | J-Stock | O-Stock |
| A \& B | 7CS | Jan-Apr | 43 | 34 | 0.558 | 0.442 |
| A \& B | 7CS | May | 16 | 31 | 0.340 | 0.660 |
| A \& B | 7CS | Jun-Dec | 86 | 34 | 0.717 | 0.283 |
| A \& B | 7CN | Jan-Jun | 38 | 44 | 0.463 | 0.537 |
| A \& B | 7CN | Jul-Dec | 51 | 15 | 0.773 | 0.227 |


|  |  |  | Sample size |  |  | Proportion |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hypothesis | Sub-Area | Months | J-Stock | P-Stock | O-Stock | J-Stock | P-Stock | O-Stock |
| E | 7CS | Jan-Apr | 0 | 73 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.986 | 0.014 |
| E | 7CS | May | 0 | 49 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.961 | 0.039 |
| E | 7CS | Jun-Dec | 0 | 118 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.992 | 0.008 |
| E | 7CN | Jan-Jun | 12 | 69 | 0 | 0.148 | 0.852 | 0.000 |
| E | 7CN | Jul-Dec | 13 | 59 | 0 | 0.181 | 0.819 | 0.000 |

## D. 2 Incidental catches (also known as bycatches)

Incidental catches of minke whales are known to occur off Japan (in sub-areas 1E, 2C, 6E, 7CS, 7CN, 10E and 11 and small numbers in 6W) and the Republic of Korea (sub-areas 5 and 6W and small numbers in 1W).

Japan: It has been obligatory to report bycatches in Japan since 2001 since when the bycatch numbers are considered to be reliable. Earlier bycatches are believed to be under-reported based on the sudden increase in reported bycatches in 2001. In view of this, the relationship between bycatch and set-net effort is integrated into the conditioning process, with the advantage that the method is independent of the reporting rate prior to 2001. The reporting rate since 2001 is assumed to be constant at 100\% (except in Trial 4 - see below).

Almost all of the reported bycatch off Japan occurred in set-net fisheries. Three types of set nets are used off Japan: large-scale (excluding salmon nets), salmon nets and small-scale. For fishing gears other than set-nets, incidental catch, retention and marketing of whales are prohibited by the 2001 regulation and a diagnostic DNA registry is used to deter illegal distribution of whales caught. Ideally, the catch by each gear type should be modelled separately to allow the historical (pre-2001) bycatch to be predicted. However, information on numbers of catches by net type is not available. Therefore, in the 2013 Implementation, the historical bycatches for each sub-area were set using the total number of incidental catches and the combined number of large-scale and salmon nets in each sub-area. The numbers of salmon nets since 2006 are not available and as the numbers caught in salmon nets are small in comparison to those from largescale nets (see Appendix 1). In the current trials, the historical bycatches are extrapolated using the total number of incidental catches and the number of large-scale nets only in each sub-area over the period 2002-2018. For the best effort series, the number of nets from Japan is extrapolated from 1946 to 1969 assuming a linear relationship from 0 in 1935 to the known number in 1970 (Tobayama et al., 1992). Incidental catches before 1946 are ignored because although some set-nets were in operation before 1946 (Brownell, pers. comm.) the numbers are highly uncertain and are sufficiently small that they are unlikely to affect the conditioning process.

The year 2001 is excluded from the fitting because the catch data are incomplete, as the new regulations date from June 2001. A high effort series is also generated, for use in Trial 4, in which the number of nets is double the best-case values from 1946-1969, up to a maximum equal to the number of nets in 1969. In Trial 4 all bycatches are assumed to be under-reported and are adjusted upward by a factor of 2 .

Korea. The same method is used as for Japan above except the incidental catch numbers from 1996-2009 (sub-area 6W) and 2000-2009 (sub-area 5) are used to extrapolate backwards and the incidental catch numbers are adjusted to allow for underreporting. The bycatches in sub-area 6 W (the East Sea) are adjusted upward by a factor of 2 . The factor 2 is based on DNA profiling and a capture-recapture analysis of market products that estimated a total of 887 whales going through Korean markets from 1999-2003, in comparison to the reported catch of 458 whales (Baker et al., 2007). The baseline trials assume that the bycatches in the Yellow Sea (sub-area 5) are fully reported as there is no evidence of under-reporting. The 'high' effort series for sub-area 5 used in Trial 4 will apply the same estimate of under-reporting as for sub-area 6 W (i.e. a factor of 2 ) and the number of nets is set to the maximum of either double the base-case values or the number of nets in 1969.

To account for bycatch prior to 1996, the average for the adjusted takes are used to extrapolate backwards to 1946 based on fisheries effort using the same approach as for Japan. Incidental catches before 1946 are ignored as for Japan.

[^4]China. There are no data on incidental catches off China, although they are known to occur. The trials therefore consider two (essentially arbitrary) scenarios: (i) the incidental catch by China is twice that reported by Korea in sub-area 5); and (ii) incidental catches off China are ignored. The first of the options forms part of the baseline specifications and the second is included in a sensitivity test (Trial 12) to determine the effects of the base case assumptions.

Allocation to sex and month. Bycatches by sex, sub-area; month and year, $C_{B, t}^{g, k, q}$, are set using the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{B, t}^{g, k, q}=C_{B, t}^{k} Q_{B}^{g, k, q} \tag{D.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Q_{B}^{g, k, q} \quad$ is the fraction of the bycatch of gender $g$ in sub-area $k$ which is taken during month $q$ and, the values of which are given in Table 1b; and
$C_{B, t}^{k} \quad$ is the bycatch in sub-area $k$ and year $t$ (as estimated by the model).
To avoid a proliferation of sub-areas and to avoid the need for finer time-steps than month, incidental catches in subareas other than 7CS and 7CN are apportioned to stock and age class in the same way as for the commercial catches in Equations D. 1 and D.2, but assuming that the bycatch is taken uniformly from all age classes (i.e. selectivity=1). Thus

$$
C_{B, t}^{g, j}=\sum_{k} \sum_{q} F_{B, t}^{g, k, q} V_{t, a}^{g, j, k, q} \ddot{N}_{t, q, a}^{g, j}
$$

$F_{B, t}^{g, k, q}$ is the bycatch removal rate for gender $g$ in sub-area $k$ (all sub-areas except 7 CS and 7 CN ) during month $q$ of year $t$

$$
F_{B, t}^{g, k, q}=\frac{C_{B, t}^{g, k, q}}{\sum_{j^{\prime}} \sum_{a^{\prime}} V_{t, a^{\prime}}^{g, j^{\prime}, k, q} \ddot{N}_{t, q, a}^{g, j^{\prime}}}
$$

In sub-areas 7CS and 7CN, (where the genetic data show differences between nearshore and offshore catches) bycatches are taken nearshore and so are allocated to stock using mixing proportions calculated from the number of sampled whales that were assigned to each stock using genetic data from bycatches only (Table 2b).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{N}_{t, q, a}^{g, j}=\ddot{N}_{t, q, a}^{g, j}\left(1-V_{t, a}^{g, j, k, q} F_{B, t}^{g, k, q}\right) & \text { for all sub-areas except 7CS and 7CN and } \\
\tilde{N}_{t, q, a}^{g, j}=\ddot{N}_{t, q, a}^{g, j}\left(1-F_{B, t}^{g, k, q, j}\right) & \text { for sub-areas 7CS and 7CN }
\end{array}
$$

$F_{B, t}^{g, k, q, j}$ is the removal rate due to bycatch of gender $g$ and stock $j$ in sub-area $k$ (sub-areas 7CS and 7CN) during month $q$ of year $t$.

$$
F_{B, t}^{g, k, q, j}=\frac{p_{B}^{k, q, j} C_{B, t}^{g, k, q}}{\sum_{a^{\prime}} \ddot{N}_{t, q, a}^{g, j}} \quad \text { where } p_{B}^{k, q, j} \text { is given by Table } 2 \mathrm{~b} \text {; and }
$$

$C_{B, t}^{g, k, q} \quad$ is the bycatch of animals of gender $g$ in sub-area $k$ during month $q$ of year $t$ (given by Equation D.5).
The historical bycatch model: The historical bycatch $C_{B, t}^{k}$ in sub-area $k$ in year $t$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{B, t}^{k}=A^{k} P_{t}^{k} E_{t}^{k} \tag{D.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{k}$ is the bycatch constant, $E_{t}^{k}$ is the number of nets in sub-area $k$ during year $t$ and $P_{t}^{k}$ is the total population size (including calves) in sub-area $k$ in year $t$ averaged over all 8 time periods. In Trial 17, the abundance $P_{t}^{k}$ in Equation D. 6 is replaced by $\sqrt{ }\left(P_{t}^{k}\right)$ to test an alternative assumption for the relationship between bycatch and abundance and the impact of possible saturation effects. The values of the bycatch constants are set by fitting during the conditioning process (see section F). In years where actual numbers of bycatches are known, these are the values removed from the population rather than the model estimated values.

The recent bycatches and the numbers of set-nets by type, year and area are listed in Appendix 1. Further details are given in Annex H of IWC (2012a).

Future bycatches: Future bycatches by sub-area (except in sub-areas 7CS and 7CN) are generated assuming that the exploitation rate due to bycatch in the future equals that estimated for the trial in question for the most recent fiveyears of data used in the conditioning process, i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{B, t}^{k}=\bar{F}^{k} P_{t}^{k} \tag{D.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{B, t}^{k}$ is the bycatch in sub-area $k$ in year $t, P_{t}^{k}$ is the total population (including calves) in sub-area $k$ during year $t$ averaged over all 8 time periods (March-October), and $\bar{F}^{k}$ is the average exploitation rate (sum over years of the known bycatch divided by the sum over years of $P_{t}^{k}$ ) over the last five years of the period used for conditioning (201620 for sub-areas off Japan and 2015-19 for those off Korea), i.e. $F$ is reset for each of the 100 simulations within a trial. Thus, the future bycatch by sex, month and sub-area is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{B, t}^{g, k, q}=Q_{B}^{g, k, q} \bar{F}^{k} P_{t}^{k} \tag{D.7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

For Trial 17, the abundance $P_{t}^{k}$ in Equation D.7a is replaced by $\sqrt{ }\left(P_{t}^{k}\right)$.
To avoid possible dis-proportionate bycatches of J- to O-stock whales, Equation (D.7a) is replaced with (D.7b) in subareas 7CS and 7CN.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{B, t}^{g, k, q}=\tilde{P}_{t}^{k} \bar{F}^{k} Q_{B}^{g, k, q} \tag{D.7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{P}_{t}^{k, q}$ is the availability-weighted population size in sub-area $k$ during month $q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}_{t}^{k, q}=\left(P_{t}^{k, q, J}+\lambda^{k, q} P_{t}^{k, q, O}\right) \frac{\bar{P}^{k, q, J}+\bar{P}^{k, q, O}}{\bar{P}^{k, q, J}+\lambda^{k, q} \bar{P}^{k, q, O}} \tag{D.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{P}^{k, q, j}$ is the average number (including calves) of stock $j$ animals in sub-area $k$ during month $q$ over the last five years of the period used for conditioning;
$P_{t}^{k, q, j}$ is the total population size (including calves) of stock $j$ in sub-area $k$ during month $q$ of year $t$;
$\lambda^{k, q}$ is a relative availability factor for J whales relative to O whales:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{k, q}=\frac{\left(1-\dddot{P}^{k, q}\right)}{\dddot{P}^{k, q}} \frac{\bar{P}^{k, q, J}}{\bar{P}^{k, q, O}} \tag{D.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\dddot{\boldsymbol{P}}^{k, q}$ is the weighted mean proportion of J-stock in sub-area $k$ during month $q$ (as given in Table 2 b ).
This bycatch is allocated to stock as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{B, t}^{g, k, q, J} & =\frac{P_{t}^{g, k, q, J}}{\lambda^{k, q} P_{t}^{g, k, q, O}+P_{t}^{g, k, q, J}} C_{B, t}^{g, k, q}  \tag{D.10a}\\
C_{B, t}^{g, k, q, O} & =\frac{\lambda^{k, q} P_{t}^{g, k, q, O}}{\lambda^{k, q} P_{t}^{g, k, q, O}+P_{t}^{g, k, q, J}} C_{B, t}^{g, k, q} \tag{D.10b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{t}^{g, k, q, j}$ is the total population size (including calves) of animals of gender $g$ from stock $j$ in sub-area $k$ during month $q$ of year $t$.

## Reported bycatches

A single series of historical bycatches will be used for all of the trials when applying the RMP (i.e. for calculating catch limits), irrespective of the true values of the bycatches, which differ both among trials and simulations within trials. The estimate of the historical bycatches used by the CLA will be set to the averages of the predicted bycatches based on the fit to the actual data ${ }^{6}$ of the operating model for the six baseline trials (i.e. using the 'best fit' simulation (0)). The series will be generated after conditioning is complete (see Appendix 1).

The future bycatches used when applying the RMP are the true bycatches in all sub-areas ${ }^{7}$, except for Trial 4 (in which the estimated bycatches are in error to reflect the under-estimation of bycatch inherent in these trials) and Trial 12 (in which the bycatch by China is taken to be zero).

[^5]
## E. Generation of data

In 2013, the Implementation Simulation Trials (IWC, 2014b) used to test the performance of the RMP required estimates of future abundance to be generated. This is retained in the control program and so is documented below, although it is unnecessary for the current assessment.

The plan for future sightings surveys is listed in Table 3a. Surveys will be conducted by Japan in sub-areas 7CS, 7CN, $7 W R, 7 E, 8,9,11,12 S W$ and 12 N . Additional surveys will be conducted by Japan in sub-areas $6 \mathrm{E}, 10 \mathrm{~W}, 10 \mathrm{E}$ and by Korea in sub-areas 5 and 6W (see IWC, 2020 p382), but they are not listed here as they are not required for setting future catch limits and so are not modelled in the trials. Table 3b shows how surveys will be combined for areas that are combinations of sub-areas.

The estimates of absolute abundance (and their associated CVs) that are provided to the CLA for the years prior to management are given in Table 4. Estimates of abundance are generated for any surveys which have already been conducted but for which the results are not yet available.

The sightings mixing matrix for a year in which a survey takes place is the average of the catch mixing matrices over the two survey months in that year (April-May for surveys to the west of Japan or August-September for the remainder). The values for the parameters of the various distributions have been selected to achieve CVs for Small Areas comparable to those for the surveys in Table 6. The future estimates of abundance for a Small Area (say Small Area E) are generated using the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P}=P Y w / \mu=P^{*} \beta^{2} Y w \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Y \quad$ is a lognormal random variable $Y=e^{\varepsilon}$ where $\varepsilon \sim N\left[0, \sigma^{2}\right]$ and $\sigma^{2}=\operatorname{Ln}\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)$; $w \quad$ is Poisson random variable with $E(w)=\operatorname{var}(w)=\mu=\left(P / P^{*}\right) / \beta^{2} ;(Y$ and $w$ are independent $)$;
$P$ is the average current total (1+) population size in the Small Area (E) over the survey period:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=P_{t}^{E}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in F} \sum_{q \in \text { SurveyPeriod }} \sum_{j} \sum_{g} \sum_{a=1}^{x}\left(V_{t, a}^{g, j, k, q} N_{t, a}^{g, j}\right) \tag{E.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P^{*} \quad$ is the reference population level, and is equal to the mean total (1+) population size in the Small Area prior to the commencement of exploitation in the area being surveyed; and
$F \quad$ is the set of sub-areas making up Small Area $E$.
Note that under the approximation $C V^{2}(a b) \cong C V^{2}(a)+C V^{2}(b): \quad E(\hat{P}) \cong P$ and $C V^{2}(\hat{P}) \cong \alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} P^{*} / P$
For consistency with the first stage screening trials for a single stock (IWC, 1991, p.109; 1994, pp.85-86), the ratio $\alpha^{2}: \beta^{2}=0.12: 0.025$, so that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V(\hat{P})=\tau\left(0.12+0.025 P^{*} / P\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{E.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the CV of a survey estimate prior to the commencement of exploitation in the area being surveyed would be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)}=0.38 \tau \tag{E.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $\tau$ applicable to each sub-area are calculated separately for each replicate once the conditioning has been accomplished by substituting the true value of the CV for each abundance estimate used in conditioning (Table 6) ${ }^{8}$ and the corresponding model depletion level into Equation E.3. If more than one abundance estimate exists for a particular sub-area, the value assumed for $\tau$ is calculated taking the true CV to be the root mean square of the values obtained from the abundance estimates for that sub-area, and the depletion to be the mean value over the corresponding years.

An estimate of the $C V, X_{t}$ is also generated for each sightings estimate, $\hat{P}_{t}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\sqrt{\left(\sigma_{t}^{2} \chi^{2} / n\right)} \tag{E.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{t}^{2}=\operatorname{Ln}\left(1+\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} P^{*} / \hat{P}_{t}\right)$, and $\chi^{2}$ is a random number from a Chi-square distribution with $n=10$ degrees of freedom. The value 10 is chosen to roughly indicate the number of trackline segments in a sightings survey in a Small Area.

The trials will be based on the use of two alternative values for $g(0)$ in the conditioning process: $g(0)=0.798$ (the base case value) and $g(0)=1$ (Trial 3) (IWC, 2012a, p.417; Okamura et al., 2010). When $g(0)=0.798$ the values of the operating model abundances are multiplied by this factor when setting the future survey estimates of abundance.

[^6]Past and planned future Japanese surveys to the North and East of Japan. The survey coverage is given in parentheses. Future coverage in sub-areas 7CN, 7 WR and 7 E is expected to be similar to the values below (because of territorial issues). Coverage in sub-areas 8 and 9 assumes that future surveys include the Russian EEZ. Future coverage in sub-areas 11 and 12 SW (of $30.1 \%$ and $48.9 \%$ respectively) excludes areas in the Russian EEZ that cannot be surveyed until the resolution of territorial issues with Japan. Future coverage in sub-area 12NE (of 46.4) reflects the area which cannot be surveyed in the North and East because of Russian restrictions. * Estimate=0; \# surveys covered different parts of sub-area 12NE each year.

|  | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7E | 8 | 9 | 11 | 12SW | 12NE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | - | - | - | - | Aug (62\%) | Aug (61\%) | Aug-Sep(100\%) | Aug-Sep(100\%) | Aug-Sep(100\%) |
| 1991 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug- } \\ \text { Sep*(100\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Aug-Sep(100\%) | Aug-Sep(100\%) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1992 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Aug-Sep (100\%) |
| 1999 | - | - | - | - | - | - | Aug-Sep(100\%) | - | Aug-Sep (64\%) |
| 2000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2001 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2002 | - | - | - | - | Jun-Jul*(65\%) | - | - | - | - |
| 2003 | - | - | May-Jun (27\%) | - | - | Jul-Sep (33\%) | Aug-Sep (34\%) | Aug-Sep(100\%) | Aug-Sep (41\%) |
| 2004 | May (37\%) | - | May-Jun (89\%) | My-Jun (57\%) | Jun (41\%) | - | - | - | - |
| 2005 | - | - | - | - | May-Jul (65\%) | - | - | - | - |
| 2006 | Jun-Jul (100\%) | - | - | My-Jun (57\%) | May-Jul (65\%) | - | - | - | - |
| 2007 | - | - | Jun-Jul (89\%) | Jun-Jul* (57\%)* | Jun-Jul (65\%) | - | Aug-Sep (20\%) | - | - |
| 2008 | Aug* (100\%) | Aug* (75\%) | Aug* (89\%) | Aug* (57\%) | Jul-Aug*(65\%) | Jul-Aug (87\%) | - | - | - |
| 2009 | May-Jun (100\%) | May-Jun (75\%) | May-Jun (89\%) | May-Jun (57\%) | May-Jun (65\%) | May-Jun (63\%) | - | - | - |
| 2010 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2011 | - | - | - | - | May (65\%) | May (87\%) | - | - | - |
| 2012 | May-Jun (100\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { May-Jun (67\%) } \\ \text { Sep (67\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Jun (89\%) | Jun*(57\%) | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2013 | - | - | May-Jun (89\%) | Jun*(57\%) | May-Jun (65\%) | - | - | - | - |
| 2014 | - | Sep (75\%) | - | - | - | - | Aug (35\%) | - | - |
| 2015 | - | - | - | - | - | May (87\%) | - | - | Aug-Sep ${ }^{\#}(17 \%)$ |
| 2016 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug- } \\ \text { Sep* }^{*}(100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | Jul-Aug (67\%) | Jul-Aug (89\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug- } \\ \text { Sep* }^{*}(100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | Aug-Sep ${ }^{\#}(28 \%)$ |
| 2017 | May-Jun(100\%) | May-Jun (75\%) | - | - | - | - | - | - | Aug (14\%) |
| 2018 | May-Jun(100\%) | May-Jun (75\%) | - | - | - | - | May-Jun (22\%) | - | Aug (11\%) |
| 2019 | - | - | May-Jun (89\%) | May-Jun (57\%) | - | - | - | - | Aug-Sep ${ }^{\#}(16 \%)$ |
| 2020 | - | - | - | - | - | - | Aug-Sep | Aug-Sep | Aug-Sep |
| 2021 | - | - | Aug-Sep | Aug-Sep | Aug-Sep | Aug-Sep | - | - | - |
| 2022 | Aug-Sep | Aug-Sep | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2023 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2024 | - | - | - | - | - | - | Aug-Sep | Aug-Sep | Aug-Sep |
| 2025 | - | - | Aug-Sep | Aug-Sep | Aug-Sep | Aug-Sep | - | - | - |
| 2026 | Aug-Sep | Aug-Sep | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2027 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Continue in future in the same pattern.
Table 3b
Component survey estimates to include in estimates for areas that are combinations of sub-areas. Requires updating if used in future.

|  | C4 $=7,8$ | C5 = 7WR,7E, 8 | C6 = 7,8,9,11 | C7 $=7,8,9,11,12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | Yes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 1990-91 | Yes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ : 1990-91 | Yes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 1990-91 | Yes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 1990-92 |
| 2003 | Yes: 2002-04 | Yes: 2002-04 | Yes: 1999-04 | Yes: 1999-04 |
| 2006 | Yes ${ }^{\text {b }}$ : 2005-07 | Yes ${ }^{\text {b }}$ 2005-07 | - (see ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - (see ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 2013 | Yes: 2012-3 | Yes: 2013 | Yes: 2012-14 | Yes: 2012-14 |
| 2016 | - | - | - | - |
| 2017 | Yes: 2016-17 | Yes: 2017 | Yes: 2016-18 | Yes: 2016-18 |
| 2018 | - | - | - | - |
| 2019 | - | - | - | - |
| 2020 | - | - | - | - |
| 2021 | Yes: 2020-21 | Yes: 2021 | Yes: 2020-22 | Yes: 2020-22 |
| 2022 | - | - | - | - |
| 2023 | - | - | - | - |

Continue in future in the same pattern.
a) The abundance estimates set for the combined sub-areas in 1990-92 assume a zero contribution from sub-area 7 E as there is no available estimate for sub-area 7E to include.
b) The abundance estimates set for combined areas C 4 and C 5 in 2005-07 assume a zero contribution from sub-area 7 CN as there is no sub-area 7 CN estimate to include.
c) There are no 2005-2011 abundance estimate for sub-areas 9 and 12 to include in combination estimates C6 and C7; no C6 or C7 estimates are generated in this period.

List of historical abundance estimates agreed in 2013 for use by the CLA; requires updating if they are to be used in future. Further details are given in IWC, 2014a, pp.126-9. All estimates are calculated assuming a value of 1.0 for $g(0)$ but the trials (except Trial 3) assume that $g(0)=0.798$.

| Year | SubA | Period | Est. | CV | Year | SubA | Period | Est. | CV | Year | SubA | Period | Est. | CV |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1991 | 7CS | Aug-Sep | $42^{*}$ | 0.603 | 1990 | 8 | Aug-Sep | 1,057 | 0.705 | 1990 | 11 | Aug-Sep | 2,120 | 0.449 |
| 2004 | 7CS | May | 504 | 0.291 | 2002 | 8 | Jun-Jul | $63.6^{*}$ | 0.603 | 1999 | 11 | Aug-Sep | 1,456 | 0.565 |
| 2006 | 7CS | Jun-Jul | 3,690 | 1.199 | 2004 | 8 | Jun | 1,093 | 0.576 | 2003 | 11 | Aug-Sep | 882 | 0.820 |
| 2012 | 7CS | May-Jun | 890 | 0.393 | 2005 | 8 | May-Jul | 132 | 1.047 | 2007 | 11 | Aug-Sep | 377 | 0.389 |
| 1991 | 7CN | Aug-Sep | 853 | 0.23 | 2006 | 8 | May-Jul | 309 | 0.677 | 1990 | 12SW | Aug-Sep | 5,244 | 0.806 |
| 2012 | 7CN | Sept | 398 | 0.507 | 2007 | 8 | Jun-Jul | 391 | 1.013 | 2003 | 12SW | Aug-Sep | 3,401 | 0.409 |
| 1991 | 7WR | Aug-Sep | 311 | 0.23 | 1990 | 9 | Aug-Sep | 8,264 | 0.396 | 1990 | 12NE | Aug-Sep | 10,397 | 0.364 |
| 2003 | 7WR | May-Jun | 267 | 0.700 | 2003 | 9 | Jul-Sep | 2,546 | 0.276 | 1992 | 12NE | Aug-Sep | 11,544 | 0.380 |
| 2004 | 7WR | May-Jun | 863 | 0.648 |  |  |  |  |  | 1999 | 12NE | Aug-Sep | 5,088 | 0.377 |
| 2007 | 7WR | Jun-Jul | 546 | 0.953 |  |  |  |  |  | 2003 | 12NE | Aug-Sep | 13,067 | 0.287 |
| 2004 | 7E | May-Jun | 440 | 0.779 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 7E | May-Jun | 247 | 0.892 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 7E | Jun-Jul | $52.6^{*}$ | 0.603 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The trials assume that it takes two years for the results of a sighting survey to become available to be used by a management procedure, i.e. a survey conducted in 2019 would first be used for setting the catch limit in 2021. Tables 3 a and 3b list the pattern for future surveys and also show how results of surveys from different sub-areas are combined for use in variants in which Small Areas are comprised of more than one sub-areas. If a Small Area is comprised of subareas that are surveyed in different years, the combination abundance estimate is taken to be a summation of the estimates of abundance in the sub-areas over the years and taken to refer to the mean year (where the mean year is defined as the centre year in the set, or the later of two if this yields a half-integral year) (IWC, 1999). In cases in which the combined survey used more than one abundance estimate from the same sub-area, the abundance estimates are pooled using inverse variance weighting.

## F. Parameter values and Conditioning

The biological parameters (natural mortality, age-at-maturity) and the technological parameters (selectivity) will be the same as for the previous Implementations (IWC, 1992a, p.160; IWC, 2014a, pp.133-180) (based on those for N Atlantic minke whales, IWC, 1992b, p.249) ${ }^{9}$ i.e.:

Table 5
The values for the biological and technological parameters that are fixed

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Plus group age, $x$ | 20 yrs |
| Age-at-first-parturition, $a_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $m_{50}=7 ; \quad \sigma_{m}=1.2 ;$ |

first age at which a female can be mature is three,
Selectivity: Males and Females
$r_{50}=4 ; \quad \sigma_{r}=1.2$
Maximum Sustainable Yield Level, MSYL
0.6 in terms of mature female component of the population

Natural mortality is age-dependent, and identical to that for the North Atlantic minke trials:

$$
M_{a}= \begin{cases}0.085 & \text { if } a \leq 4 \\ 0.0775+0.001875 a & \text { if } 4<a<20 \\ 0.115 & \text { if } a \geq 20\end{cases}
$$

The MSYR scenarios are specified in Section G.
The 'free' parameters of the above model are the initial (pre-exploitation) sizes of each of the stocks, the values that determine the mixing matrices (i.e. the $\gamma$ parameters), the bycatch constants $\left(A_{k}\right)$. The process used to select the 'free' parameters is known as conditioning. The conditioning process involves first generating 100 sets of 'target' data as detailed in steps (a) and (b) below, and then fitting the population model to each (in the spirit of a bootstrap). The number of animals in sub-area $k$ at the start of year $t$ is calculated starting with guessed values of the initial population sizes and projecting the operating model forward to 2020 to obtain values of abundance etc. for comparison with the

[^7]generated data ${ }^{10}$. When performing the projections, the direct catches and known bycatches from each sub-area are set to their historical values - Appendix 1 and the bycatches are set as detailed below).

The information used in the conditioning process is as follows.

## (a) Abundance estimates

The target values for the historical abundance by sub-area (except for the maximum and zero estimates - see below) are generated using the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}^{k}=O_{t}^{k} \exp \left[\mu_{t}^{k}-\left(\sigma_{t}^{k}\right)^{2} / 2\right] \quad \mu_{t}^{k} \sim N\left[0 ;\left(\sigma_{t}^{k}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{F.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P_{t}^{k} \quad$ is the abundance for sub-area $k$ in year $t$
$O_{t}^{k} \quad$ is the actual survey estimate for sub-area $k$ in year $t$ (see Table 6); and
$\sigma_{t}^{k} \quad$ is the CV of $O_{t}^{k}$.
The trials are based on the two alternative values for $g(0)$ in the conditioning process: $g(0)=0.798$ (the base case value) and $g(0)=1$ (Trial 3) (IWC, 2012a, p.417; Okamura et al., 2010). When $g(0)=0.798$ the values of the operating model abundances ( $P_{t}^{k}$ ) are multiplied by this factor for comparison with the conditioning targets.

## Minimum abundance estimates:

Table 6 includes several survey estimates that are assumed to be minima ${ }^{11}$. Target values for these are similarly generated using Equation (F.1).

## Maximum abundance estimates.

Bounds need to be placed on the maximum size of populations in sub-areas 5 and 6 W as there is insufficient information to estimate the abundance in sub-areas 5 and 6 W , given that the only estimates available for these sub-areas have very low survey coverage. Target values were generated as $P_{t}^{k}=Z_{t}^{k} / \vartheta_{t}^{k}$, where $Z_{t}^{k}$ is the minimum estimate for the survey in the same year and period and $\vartheta_{t}^{k}$ is the proportion of the sub-area that was covered by the survey.

A maximum abundance of 500 whales in sub-area 2R in August-September 2009 was imposed in hypothesis $C$ in the 2013 trials, to avoid undesirably high number of animals in this area. A need for such a requirement will be reviewed on inspection of the conditioning results.

## Zero abundance estimates:

Table 6 includes several survey estimates of zero abundance. The target values for the historical abundance are generated using an overdispersed Poisson distribution.

## (b) Proportion estimates

Estimates of the number of genetic samples assigned by stock in sub-areas $2 \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~W}, 7 \mathrm{CS}, 7 \mathrm{CN}, 7 \mathrm{WR}, 10 \mathrm{E}$ and 11 are generated from a multinomial distribution that correspond to the observed data (see Table 7a). Some of the mixing proportions are based on data from several years so the model estimates to which these proportions are fitted during conditioning are sample size-weighted year-specific proportions.

Estimates of the proportion of recruited J-stock whales in sub-areas 6W (see Appendix 3 for how these proportions are estimated) are generated from appropriately truncated normal distributions that correspond to the observed data and are based on mtDNA and other genetic information (see Table 7b). Some of the mixing proportions are based on data from several years so year-specific proportions weighted by sample size are fitted during conditioning. A minimum standard error for the mixing proportions of 0.05 was imposed so as to prevent a few of the mixing proportions from dominating the conditioning processes - see IWC (2012c, p.106).

## (c) Fixed stock proportion in sub-area 12SW

The data for sub-area 12SW are limited and so the proportion of J-stock in sub-area 12 SW in June is fixed at $20 \%$ in the baseline trials. This value reflects a rough average of the J-stock mixing proportions for sub-area 11 (J-stock animals in sub-area 12SW need to pass through sub-area 11). Since the proportions for sub-area 11 are calculated from the 19841999 data, the $20 \%$ is taken as an average over these same years. Sensitivity trials test different levels of the sub-area 12SW proportion. In Trial 10 the proportion is $10 \%$ (with $0 \%$ J-stock in sub-area 12NE as for the base case) and in Trial 11 the proportion is $30 \%$ (with $10 \%$ J-stock in sub-area 12NE in the same months/years; the mixing matrix is adjusted accordingly). In Trial 21 the proportion of J-stock in sub-area 12NE in May-July is fixed at 10\%.

[^8]Table 6
Abundance data used to condition the trials**. All estimates were calculated assuming $g(0)=1$ whereas the conditioning process assumes $g(0)=$ 0.798 (excepting Trial 3). See IWC, 2014a, pp. 126-9 for details of estimates used in the 2013 implementation.

| Sub-area | Year | Season ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | STD estimate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | CV ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mode ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \% Areal coverage | Use for Conditioning? | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2001 | Apr-May | 1,534 | 0.523 | NC | 13 | Min \& Max ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | An et al, 2010 |
| 5 | 2004 | Apr-May | 799 | 0.321 | NC | 13 | Min \& Max ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | An et al, 2010 |
| 5 | 2008 | Apr-May | 680 | 0.372 | NC | 13 | Min \& Max ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | An et al, 2010 |
| 5 | 2011 | Apr-May | 587 | 0.405 | NC | 13 | Min \& Max ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | Park et al, 2012 |
| 6W | 2000 | May | 549 | 0.419 | NC | 14.3 | Min \& Max ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | An et al, 2010 |
| 6W | 2002 | May-Jun | 391 | 0.614 | NC | 14.3 | Min \& Max ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | An et al, 2010 |
| 6W | 2003 | Apr-May | 485 | 0.343 | NC | 14.3 | Min \& Max ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | An et al, 2010 |
| 6W | 2005 | Apr-May | 336 | 0.317 | NC | 14.3 | Min \& Max ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | An et al, 2010 |
| 6W | 2006 | Apr-May | 459 | 0.516 | NC | 14.3 | Min \& Max ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | An et al, 2010 |
| 6W | 2007 | Apr-May | 574 | 0.437 | NC | 14.3 | Min \& Max ${ }^{\dagger}$ | An et al, 2010 |
| 6W | 2009 | Apr-May | 884 | 0.286 | NC | 14.3 | Min \& Max ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | An et al, 2010 |
| 6W | 2010 | Apr-May | 1,014 | 0.387 | NC | 23.6 | Min \& Max ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | An et al, 2011 |
| 6E | 1992 | Aug-Sep | 893 | 0.67 |  | 56.8 | Yes | Miyashita \& Shimada, 1994 |
| 6E | 2002 | May-Jun | 891 | 0.608 | NC | 79.1 | Yes | Miyashita et al, 2009 |
| 6E | 2003 | May-Jun | 935 | 0.357 | NC | 79.1 | Yes | Miyashita et al, 2009 |
| 6E | 2004 | May-Jun | 727 | 0.372 | NC | 79.1 | Yes | Miyashita et al, 2009 |
| 10W | 2006 | May-Jun | 2,476 | 0.312 | IO-PS | 59.9 | Yes | Miyashita \& Okamura 2011 |
| 10E | 1992 | Aug-Sep | 707 | 0.57 |  | 30.0 | Yes | Miyashita \& Shimada, 1994 |
| 10E | 2002 | May-Jun | 1,192 | 0.658 | NC | 100 | Yes | Miyashita et al, 2009 |
| 10E | 2003 | May-Jun | 591 | 0.566 | NC | 100 | Yes | Miyashita et al, 2009 |
| 10E | 2005 | May-Jun | 875 | 0.441 | NC | 64 | Min | IWC, 2014a, pp.126-9 |
| 10E | 2007 | Jun | 672 | 0.327 | IO-PS | 80.1 | Yes | Miyashita et al, 2009 |
| 10E | 2014 | Sep | 872 | 0.585 |  | 100 | Yes | Miyashita, 2019 |
| 10E | 2018 | May-Jun | 620 | 0.478 |  | 100 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| 7CS | 2004 | May | 504 | 0.291 | NC | 36.7 | Min | IWC, 2014a, pp.126-9, 181 |
| 7CS | 2006 | Jul | 3,690 | 1.199 | NC | 100 | Yes | Hakamada \& Kitakado, 2010 |
| 7CS | 2012 | May-Jun | 537 | 0.346 |  | 100 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2016 |
| 7CS | 2016 | Aug | 0 | - |  | 100 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| 7CS | 2017 | May | 284 | 0.497 |  | 100 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| 7CS | 2018 | May-Jun | 245 | 0.828 |  | 100 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| 7CN | 2012 | May | 542 | 0.601 |  | 66.7 | Min | Hakamada \& Kitakado, 2010 |
| 7CN | 2012 | Sep | 599 | 0.525 |  | 66.7 | Min | Hakamada et al, 2016 |
| 7CN | 2014 | Sep | 244 | 0.454 |  | 75 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2016 |
| 7CN | 2016 | Aug | 185 | 0.423 |  | 66.7 | Yes | Miyashita, 2019 |
| 7CN | 2017 | May | 179 | 0.377 |  | 75 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| 7CN | 2018 | May | 212 | 0.784 |  | 75 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| 7WR | 2003 | May-Jun | 267 | 0.7 | NC | 26.7 | Min | IWC, 2014a, pp.126-9 |
| 7WR | 2004 | May-Jun | 863 | 0.648 | NC | 88.8 | Yes | Hakamada \& Kitakado, 2010 |
| 7WR | 2007 | Jun-Jul | 546 | 0.953 |  | 88.8 | Yes | Hakamada \& Kitakado, 2010 |
| 7WR | 2012 | Jun | 378 | 0.79 |  | 88.8 | Yes | Hakamada \& Matsuoka 2016 |
| 7WR | 2013 | May-Jun | 65 | 1.007 |  | 89 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| 7WR | 2016 | Aug | 75 | 1.062 |  | 89 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7W: 7CS+ } \\ & \text { 7CN+7WR } \end{aligned}$ | 1991 | Aug-Sep | 1,164 | 0.183 |  |  | Yes | Butterworth \& Miyashita, 2014 |
| 7E | 2004 | Jun | 440 | 0.779 | NC | 57.1 | Yes | Hakamada \& Kitakado, 2010 |
| 7E | 2006 | May-Jun | 247 | 0.892 | NC | 57.1 | Yes | Hakamada \& Kitakado, 2010 |
| 7E | 2007 | Jun-Jul | 0 | - |  | 57.1 | Yes | Hakamada \& Kitakado, 2010 |
| 7E | 2012 | Jun | 0 | - |  | 57.1 | Yes | Hakamada \& Matsuoka 2016 |
| 7E | 2013 | Jun | 0 | - |  | 57.1 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| 7E | 2016 | Aug | 0 | - |  | 57.1 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| 8 | 1990 | Aug | 1,057 | 0.706 | NC | 62.2 | Yes | Buckland et al, 1992; Miyashita pers com 2021 |
| 8 | 2002 | Jun-Jul | 0 | - | NC | 65 | Yes | Hakamada \& Kitakado, 2010 |
| 8 | 2004 | Jun | 1,093 | 0.576 | NC | 40.5 | Min | Hakamada \& Kitakado, 2010 |
| 8 | 2005 | May-Jul | 132 | 1.047 | NC | 65 | Yes | Hakamada \& Kitakado, 2010 |
| 8 | 2006 | May-Jul | 309 | 0.677 | NC | 65 | Yes | Hakamada \& Kitakado, 2010 |
| 8 | 2007 | Jun-Jul | 391 | 1.013 |  | 65 | Yes |  |
| 8 | 2008 | Jul-Aug | 0 | - |  | 65 | Yes | Hakamada \& Matsuoka 2016 |
| 8 | 2009 | May-Jun | 602 | 0.725 |  | 65 | Yes | Hakamada \& Matsuoka 2016 |
| 8 | 2011 | May | 121 | 0.966 |  | 65 | Yes | Hakamada \& Matsuoka 2016 |
| 8 | 2013 | May-Jun | 413 | 0.586 |  | 65 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| 9 | 1990 | Aug | 3,287 | 0.819 | NC | 61.4 | Yes | Buckland et al, 1992; Miyashita pers com 2021 |
| 9 | 2003 | Jul-Sep | 2,546 | 0.276 | NC | 33.2 | Min | Hakamada \& Kitakado, 2010 |
| 9 | 2008 | Jul-Aug | 2,458 | 0.664 |  | 87 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2016 |
| 9 | 2009 | May-Jun | 2,079 | 0.688 |  | 63 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2016 |
| 9 | 2011 | May | 115 | 1.025 |  | 87 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2016 |
| 9 | 2015 | May | 140 | 0.963 |  | 87 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| 9 N | 2005 | Aug-Sep | 420 | 0.969 | IO-PS | 67.8 | Yes | Miyashita \& Okamura 2011 |
|  | 2011 | May-Jun |  |  | 115 | 1.05 | Yes | Hakamada et al, 2016 |

Table 6 continued

| Sub-area | Year | Season ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { STD } \\ \text { estimate }^{\text {b }} \end{gathered}$ | CV' | Mode ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \% Areal coverage | Use for Conditioning? | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 1990 | Aug-Sep | 2,120 | 0.449 | NC | 100 | Yes | Buckland et al, 1992. IWC, 2004, p. 124 |
| 11 | 1999 | Aug-Sep | 1,456 | 0.565 | 10 | 100 | Yes | IWC, 2004, p. 124 |
| 11 | 2003 | Aug-Sep | 882 | 0.826 | IO-AC | 33.9 | Min | Miyashita \& Okamura, 2011 |
| 11 | 2007 | Aug-Sep | 377 | 0.389 | IO-PS | 20.2 | Min | Miyashita \& Okamura, 2011 |
| 11 | 2014 | Aug | 306 | 0.679 |  | 35 | Min | Miyashita, 2019 |
| 11 | 2018 | May | 235 | 0.481 |  | 21.7 | Min | Hakamada et al, 2019 |
| 12SW | 1990 | Aug-Sep | 4,774 | 0.508 | NC | 100 | Yes | Buckland et al, 1992. cv recalculated (Miyashita pers. comm 2021). |
| 12SW | 2003 | Aug-Sep | 3,401 | 0.409 | IO-AC | 100 | Yes | Miyashita \& Okamura, 2011 |
| 12NE | 1990 | Aug-Sep | 11,805 | 0.377 | NC | 100 | Yes | Buckland et al, 1992. Recalculated Miyashita pers. comm Nov 2021 |
| 12NE | 1992 | Aug-Sep | 11,051 | 0.705 | NC | [100] | Yes | Miyashita \& Shimada, 1994; Recalculated Miyashita pers. comm Nov 2021 |
| 12NE | 1999 | Aug-Sep | 5,088 | 0.377 | NC | 63.8 | Min | IWC, 2014a, pp.126-9 |
| 12NE | 2003 | Aug-Sep | 13,067 | 0.287 | IO-AC | 41 | Min | Miyashita \& Okamura, 2011 |

** The above table lists estimates used in conditioning, including corrections received from Japan. The Secretariat maintains a full list of estimates including details of other estimates and the reason they were not included in the above table.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Season: if a survey took place in less than $20 \%$ of a month, that month was not used as part of the survey-time-period in the likelihood calculation.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Standard (STD) estimate based on 'Top and Upper bridge' assuming $g(0)=1$, but subsequently corrected by estimate of $g(0)$ for the combined platform 'Top and Upper bridge'.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ CV does not consider any process errors.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Mode: NC=Normal-closing, IO-PS=Passing with IO mode, IO-AC=Abeam-closing with IO mode. (STD estimates by different modes, NC, IO-AC, IONC, are considered comparable.)
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Survey estimates based on less than $70 \%$ coverage are treated as 'minima' (except in sub-areas where there are no other estimates).
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Maximum values are calculated as the best estimate / coverage.

## (d) Fixed stock proportion in sub-area 9 and 9 N

The data for sub-area 9 are also limited. For Trials 2 and 23, which assume a C-stock that mixes with the O-stock in subarea 9 and 9 N , the proportion of O-stock is assumed to be 0.5 during August and September in 1995. This is based on the ratio assumed in 9 W in 2003. For hypothesis E, Trial 2 the same proportion is also assumed in 12NE in August and September 1995 (but not in Trial 23).

Table 7a
The number of sampled whales that were assigned to each stock using the genetic assignment data based on STRUCTURE (Hypothesis A \& B) and Geneland (Hypothesis E) using a $90 \%$ probability of assignment, except for Trial 5 where a $70 \%$ probability of assignment is used. In sub-areas 7CS and 7CN the baseline and Trial 5 proportion of whales assigned to each stock is weighted by $5 / 60$ of the bycatch proportion and $55 / 60$ of the special permit proportion. The number assigned by stock is then taken as this proportion multiplied by the total number of assigned animals. In Trial 6 the proportion of whales assigned to each stock is weighted by $2 / 60$ of the bycatch proportion and $58 / 60$ of the special permit proportion, while in Trial $710 / 60$ of the bycatch proportion and $50 / 60$ of the special permit proportion are used. These data are used to condition the trials.

| Hypothesis | Trial | Area | Years | Months | Sex | Total Sample | Bycatch Samples |  |  | Special Permit Samples |  |  | Weighted Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | J-Stock | - | O-Stock | J-Stock |  | O-Stock | J-Stock | O-Stock |  |
| A \& B | Baseline | 2C | 2002-16 | Jan-Apr | M+F | 155 | 127 |  | 28 |  |  |  | 127 | 28 |  |
| $A \& B$ | Baseline | 2 C | 2001-16 | May-Sep | M +F | 56 | 46 |  | 10 |  |  |  | 46 | 10 |  |
| $A \& B$ | Baseline | 2 C | 2001-16 | Oct-Dec | M +F | 134 | 122 |  | 12 |  |  |  | 122 | 12 |  |
| $A \& B$ | Baseline | 7CS | 2002-16 | Jan-Apr | M +F | 263 | 43 |  | 34 | 48 |  | 138 | 74 | 189 |  |
| $A \& B$ | Baseline | 7CS | 2001-16 | May | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 391 | 16 |  | 31 | 89 |  | 255 | 104 | 287 |  |
| $A \& B$ | Baseline | 7CS | 1999-2016 | Jun-Dec | M+F | 199 | 86 |  | 34 | 4 |  | 75 | 21 | 178 |  |
| $A \& B$ | Baseline | 7CN | 2002-16 | Jan-May | M +F | 100 | 27 |  | 29 | 6 |  | 38 | 17 | 83 |  |
| $A \& B$ | Baseline | 7CN | 1999-2016 | Jun | M +F | 133 | 11 |  | 15 | 6 |  | 101 | 12 | 121 |  |
| $A \& B$ | Baseline | 7CN | 1996-2016 | Jul-Sep | M +F | 610 | 16 |  | 13 | 103 |  | 478 | 127 | 483 |  |
| $A \& B$ | Baseline | 7CN | 2001-16 | Oct-Dec | M +F | 270 | 35 |  | 2 | 66 |  | 167 | 91 | 179 |  |
| $A \& B$ | Baseline | 10E | 2001-16 | Jun-Dec | M +F | 15 | 14 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 14 | 1 |  |
| $A \& B$ | Baseline | 11 | 1996-2012 | May-Dec | M | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 | 29 |  |
| $A \& B$ | Baseline | 11 | 1996-2015 | May-Dec | F | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 | 30 |  |
| Hypothesis | Trial | Area | Years | Months | Sex | Total Sample | J-Stk | P-Stk | k O-Stk | J-Stk | P-Stk | O-Stk | J-Stk | P-Stk | O-Stk |
| E | Baseline | 2C | 2002-16 | Jan-Apr | M+F | 138 | 107 | 31 |  |  |  |  | 107 | 31 | - |
| E | Baseline | 2 C | 2001-16 | May-Sep | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 49 | 32 | 17 |  |  |  |  | 32 | 17 | - |
| E | Baseline | 2 C | 2001-16 | Oct-Dec | M +F | 122 | 105 | 17 |  |  |  |  | 105 | 17 | - |
| E | Baseline | 7CS | 2002-16 | Jan-Apr | M +F | 262 | - | 73 | 1 | - | 188 | - | - | 262 | 0 |
| E | Baseline | 7CS | 2001-16 | May | M +F | 378 | - | 49 | 2 | - | 303 | 24 | - | 351 | 27 |
| E | Baseline | 7CS | 1999-2016 | Jun-Dec | M +F | 197 | - | 118 | 1 | - | 5 | 73 | - | 28 | 169 |
| E | Baseline | 7CN | 1999-2016 | Jan-Jun | M +F | 220 | 12 | 69 | - | 2 | 28 | 109 | 6 | 56 | 158 |
| E | Baseline | 7CN | 1996-2016 | Jul-Dec | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 881 | 13 | 59 | - | 10 | 574 | 225 | 23 | 633 | 225 |
| E | Baseline | 11 | 1996-2012 | May-Dec | M | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 45 | 1 |
| E | Baseline | 11 | 1996-2015 | May-Dec | F | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 41 | 4 |


| Hypothesis | Trial | Area | Years | Months | Sex | Total Sample | Bycatch Samples |  |  | Special Permit Samples |  |  | Weighted Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | J-Stock |  | O-Stock | J-Stock |  | O-Stock | J-Stock | O-Stock |  |
| A \& B | 5 | 2C | 2002-16 | Jan-Apr | M+F | 170 | 138 |  | 32 |  |  |  | 138 | 32 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 2 C | 2001-16 | May-Sep | M+F | 57 | 47 |  | 10 |  |  |  | 47 | 10 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 2 C | 2001-16 | Oct-Dec | M+F | 141 | 129 |  | 12 |  |  |  | 129 | 12 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 7CS | 2002-16 | Jan-Apr | M+F | 291 | 48 |  | 41 | 51 |  | 151 | 80 | 211 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 7CS | 2001-16 | May | M+F | 431 | 17 |  | 37 | 100 |  | 277 | 116 | 315 |  |
| A \& B | 5 | 7CS | 1999-2016 | Jun-Dec | M+F | 212 | 92 |  | 36 | 4 |  | 80 | 22 | 190 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 7CN | 2002-16 | Jan-May | M+F | 105 | 28 |  | 30 | 7 |  | 40 | 19 | 86 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 7CN | 1999-2016 | Jun | M+F | 139 | 12 |  | 16 | 8 |  | 103 | 14 | 125 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 7CN | 1996-2016 | Jul-Sep | M+F | 660 | 20 |  | 14 | 109 |  | 517 | 138 | 522 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 7CN | 2001-16 | Oct-Dec | M+F | 283 | 38 |  | 2 | 67 |  | 176 | 94 | 189 |  |
| A \& B | 5 | 7WR+7E | 1996-2006 | May | M+F | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 84 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 7WR+7E | 1996-2012 | Jun-Aug | M+F | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 49 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 8 | 1998-2012 | May-Jun | M+F | 139 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 138 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 8 | 1996-2009 | Jul-Sep | M+F | 106 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 105 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 9 | 1995-2011 | May-Jun | M+F | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 124 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 9 | 1994-2010 | Jul | M+F | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 186 |  |
| A \& B | 5 | 9 | 1994-2013 | Aug-Sep | M+F | 212 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 212 |  |
| A \& B | 5 | 10E | 2001-16 | Jun-Dec | M+F | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 1 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 11 | 1996-2012 | May-Dec | M | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 34 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 5 | 11 | 1996-2015 | May-Dec | F | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 33 |  |
| A \& B | 6 | 7CS | 2002-16 | Jan-Apr | M+F | 263 | 43 |  | 34 | 48 |  | 138 | 71 | 192 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 6 | 7CS | 2001-16 | May | M+F | 391 | 16 |  | 31 | 89 |  | 255 | 102 | 289 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 6 | 7CS | 1999-2016 | Jun-Dec | M+F | 199 | 86 |  | 34 | 4 |  | 75 | 14 | 185 |  |
| A \& B | 6 | 7CN | 2002-16 | Jan-May | M+F | 100 | 27 |  | 29 | 6 |  | 38 | 15 | 85 |  |
| A \& B | 6 | 7CN | 1999-2016 | Jun | M+F | 133 | 11 |  | 15 | 6 |  | 101 | 9 | 124 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 6 | 7CN | 1996-2016 | Jul-Sep | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 610 | 16 |  | 13 | 103 |  | 478 | 116 | 494 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 6 | 7CN | 2001-16 | Oct-Dec | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 270 | 35 |  | 2 | 66 |  | 167 | 82 | 188 |  |
| A \& B | 7 | 7CS | 2002-16 | Jan-Apr | M+F | 263 | 43 |  | 34 | 48 |  | 138 | 81 | 182 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 7 | 7CS | 2001-16 | May | M+F | 391 | 16 |  | 31 | 89 |  | 255 | 106 | 285 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 7 | 7CS | 1999-2016 | Jun-Dec | M+F | 199 | 86 |  | 34 | 4 |  | 75 | 32 | 167 |  |
| A \& B | 7 | 7CN | 2002-16 | Jan-May | M+F | 100 | 27 |  | 29 | 6 |  | 38 | 19 | 81 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 7 | 7CN | 1999-2016 | Jun | M+F | 133 | 11 |  | 15 | 6 |  | 101 | 16 | 117 |  |
| $A \& B$ | 7 | 7CN | 1996-2016 | Jul-Sep | M+F | 610 | 16 |  | 13 | 103 |  | 478 | 146 | 462 |  |
| A \& B | 7 | 7CN | 2001-16 | Oct-Dec | M+F | 270 | 35 |  | 2 | 66 |  | 167 | 106 | 144 |  |
| Hypothesis | Trial | Area | Years | Months | Sex | Total Sample | J-Stk | P-Stk | k O-Stk | J-Stk | P-Stk | O-Stk | J-Stk | P-Stk | O-Stk |
| E | 5 | 2C | 2002-16 | Jan-Apr | M+F | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116 | 33 | 1 |
| E | 5 | 2 C | 2001-16 | May-Sep | M+F | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | 18 | 0 |
| E | 5 | 2 C | 2001-16 | Oct-Dec | M+F | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 108 | 17 | 0 |
| E | 5 | 7CS | 2002-16 | Jan-Apr | M+F | 282 | 2 | 79 | 3 | 2 | 196 | 0 | 3 | 278 | 1 |
| E | 5 | 7CS | 2001-16 | May | M+F | 411 | 0 | 51 | 2 | 1 | 326 | 31 | 1 | 376 | 34 |
| E | 5 | 7CS | 1999-2016 | Jun-Dec | M+F | 211 | 1 | 127 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 73 | 0 | 36 | 175 |
| E | 5 | 7CN | 1999-2016 | Jan-Jun | M+F | 237 | 13 | 70 | - | 2 | 30 | 122 | 6 | 59 | 172 |
| E | 5 | 7CN | 1996-2016 | Jul-Dec | M+F | 915 | 15 | 59 | - | 11 | 582 | 248 | 27 | 641 | 247 |
| E | 5 | 11 | 1996-2012 | May-Dec | M | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 48 | 1 |
| E | 5 | 11 | 1996-2015 | May-Dec | F | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 42 | 4 |
| E | 6 | 7CS | 2002-16 | Jan-Apr | M+F | 262 | - | 73 | 1 | - | 188 | - | - | 262 | 0 |
| E | 6 | 7CS | 2001-16 | May | M+F | 378 | - | 49 | 2 | - | 303 | 24 | - | 351 | 27 |
| E | 6 | 7CS | 1999-2016 | Jun-Dec | M+F | 197 | - | 118 | 1 | - | 5 | 73 | - | 19 | 178 |
| E | 6 | 7CN | 1999-2016 | Jan-Jun | M+F | 220 | 12 | 69 | - | 2 | 28 | 109 | 4 | 49 | 167 |
| E | 6 | 7CN | 1996-2016 | Jul-Dec | M+F | 881 | 13 | 59 | - | 10 | 574 | 225 | 16 | 628 | 237 |
| E | 7 | 7CS | 2002-16 | Jan-Apr | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 262 | - | 73 | 1 | - | 188 | - | - | 261 | 1 |
| E | 7 | 7CS | 2001-16 | May | M+F | 378 | - | 49 | 2 | - | 303 | 24 | - | 352 | 26 |
| E | 7 | 7CS | 1999-2016 | Jun-Dec | M+F | 197 | - | 118 | 1 | - | 5 | 73 | - | 43 | 154 |
| E | 7 | 7CN | 1999-2016 | Jan-Jun | M+F | 220 | 12 | 69 | - | 2 | 28 | 109 | 8 | 68 | 144 |
| E | 7 | 7CN | 1996-2016 | Jul-Dec | M +F | 881 | 13 | 59 | - | 10 | 574 | 225 | 36 | 641 | 204 |

Table 7b
Estimates of the proportion of recruited ' $J$ '-whales used to condition the trials based on mtDNA and Allele samples.

| Hypothesis | Area | Years | Months | Sex | Ratio | $\mathrm{CV}^{12}$ | Data Type | Stock |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B$ and E | 6W | 1999-2007 | Jan-Mar | M+F | 0.584 | 0.131 | mtDNA | J:Total | Bycatch samples |
| $B$ and $E$ | 6W | 1999-2007 | Jan-Mar | M+F | 0.672 | 0.05 | Allelle | J:Total | Bycatch samples |
| $B$ and E | 6W | 1999-2007 | Apr-Jun | M+F | 0.496 | 0.126 | mtDNA | J:Total | Bycatch samples |
| $B$ and $E$ | 6W | 1999-2007 | Apr-Jun | M+F | 0.812 | 0.05 | Allelle | J:Total | Bycatch samples |
| $B$ and $E$ | 6W | 1999-2007 | Jul-Aug | M+F | 1.000 | 0.05 | mtDNA | J:Total | Bycatch samples |
| $B$ and $E$ | 6W | 1999-2007 | Jul-Aug | M+F | 0.749 | 0.077 | Allelle | J:Total | Bycatch samples |
| $B$ and E | 6W | 1999-2007 | Sep-Dec | M+F | 0.593 | 0.123 | mtDNA | J:Total | Bycatch samples |
| $B$ and E | 6W | 1999-2007 | Sep-Dec | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 0.761 | 0.05 | Allelle | J:Total | Bycatch samples |

[^9]
## (f) Calculation of likelihood

The objective function consists of three components: Objective Function $=-\left(L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}\right)$. Equations F.4-6 list the negative of the logarithm of the objective function for each of the three components:

## Abundance estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1 a}=0.5 \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\left(\sigma_{t}^{k}\right)^{2}}\left(\ln \left(P_{n}^{k} / \hat{P}_{n}^{k}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{F.4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{P}_{n}^{k}$ is the model estimate of the abundance in the same year, period and sub-area as the $n$th estimate of abundance $P_{n}^{k}$.

## Minimum abundance estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1 b}=\sum_{n}\left\{\ln \sigma_{t}^{k}+\frac{1}{2\left(\sigma_{t}^{k}\right)^{2}} \ln \left(P_{n}^{k} / \hat{P}_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}\right\}\left\{\frac{\exp \left(\Delta\left(P_{n}^{k}-\hat{P}_{n}^{k}\right)\right)}{1+\exp \left(\Delta\left(P_{n}^{k}-\hat{P}_{n}^{k}\right)\right)}\right\}+\ln \sigma_{t}^{k}\left\{\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(\Delta\left(P_{n}^{k}-\hat{P}_{n}^{k}\right)\right)}\right\} \tag{F.4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is a "large" number (here 30 ).
Maximum abundance estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1 c}=\sum_{n}\left\{\ln \sigma_{t}^{k}+\frac{1}{2\left(\sigma_{t}^{k}\right)^{2}} \ln \left(P_{n}^{k} / \hat{P}_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}\right\}\left\{\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(\Delta\left(P_{n}^{k}-\hat{P}_{n}^{k}\right)\right)}\right\}+\ln \sigma_{t}^{k}\left\{\frac{\exp \left(\Delta\left(P_{n}^{k}-\hat{P}_{n}^{k}\right)\right)}{1+\exp \left(\Delta\left(P_{n}^{k}-P_{n}^{k}\right)\right)}\right\} \tag{F.4c}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Zero abundance estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1 d}=-\sum_{n}\left[n_{n}^{k} \ln \left(\beta_{n}^{k} \hat{P}_{n}^{k}\right)-\beta_{n}^{k} \hat{P}_{n}^{k}\right] / \hat{\alpha}_{n}^{k} \tag{F.4d}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{n}^{k}$ is the number of animals seen during the $n$th survey in sub-area $k, \beta_{n}^{k}$ is the realised track length for the $n$th survey in sub-area $k$ multiplied by the average effective search half width, and divided by the sub-area size (Table 8), $\hat{P}_{n}^{k}$ is the model-estimate corresponding to the $n$th survey in sub-area $k$ and $\hat{\alpha}^{k}$ is the adjusted coefficient of variation of the survey estimate $P_{n}^{k}, \hat{\alpha}^{k}=\frac{\sum_{m}\left(n_{m}^{k}\right)^{2} C V^{2}\left(P_{m}^{k}\right)}{\sum_{m} n_{m}^{k}}$, constrained to $\hat{\alpha}^{k} \geq 1$, where $m$ denotes the number of (non-minima) survey estimates within sub-area $k$ for which the number of animals seen and the CV of the survey estimate are available. See Appendix 4 for the derivation of this equation.

Table 8
The realised track length, average effective search half width and sub-area size corresponding to the zero abundance estimates. The effective search half width is taken to be the average from other surveys (excluding those considered minimum estimates) in the same sub-area used in conditioning, for which effective search half width is available.

| Year | Sub-Area | Realised track length | Average effective search half width <br> [No. of surveys used] | Sub-area size | $\hat{\alpha}^{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 7CS | 754 | $0.3955[4]$ | 26826 | 22.83 |
| 2007 | $7 E$ | 360 | $0.4225[2]$ | 84427 | 1.73 |
| 2012 | $7 E$ | 302 | $0.4225[2]$ | 84427 | 1.73 |
| 2013 | $7 E$ | 599 | $0.4225[2]$ | 84427 | 1.73 |
| 2016 | $7 E$ | 472 | $0.4225[2]$ | 24727 | 1.73 |
| 2008 | 7 | 887 | $0.5283[1]$ | $1.00^{13}$ |  |
| 2002 | 8 | 1184 | $0.5283[7]$ | 250291 | 1.50 |
| 2008 | 8 | 1194 | 250445 | 1.50 |  |

## Stock proportions

For sub-areas 2C, 7CN, 7CS, 10E and 11:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2}=-\sum_{j} \sum_{n} N_{j, n}^{k} \ln \left(\hat{p}_{j, n}^{k} / p_{j, n}^{o b s, k}\right) \tag{F.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{p}_{j, n}^{k}$ is the model estimate of the proportion of $j$-stock whales in the same year, period, sub-area and gender as the $n$th set of data and $p_{j, n}^{o b s, k}$ is the corresponding observed value, with $N_{j, n}^{k}$ denoting the observed number of samples of $j$-stock whales in the $n$th set of data.

[^10]For sub-area 6W in Hypotheses B and E only:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2}=0.5 \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\left(\sigma_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}}\left(p_{n}^{k}-\hat{p}_{n}^{k}\right)^{2} \tag{F.5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{p}_{n}^{k}$ is the model estimate of the proportion of whales in the same year, period and sub-area as the $n$th proportion estimate $p_{n}^{k}$.

Bycatch estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{3}=0.5 \sum_{n}\left(B_{n}^{k}-\hat{B}_{n}^{k}\right)^{2} / 10 \tag{F.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{B}_{n}^{k}$ is the model estimate of the total bycatch in sub-area $k$ over the years being fitted and $B_{n}^{k}$ is the observed bycatch in the same area and period.

## G. Trials

The factors to be considered based on the previous trials are listed in Table 9 and the set of trials in Table 10. The sensitivity trials are variants of the base-case trials A01-1 etc. (see section A).

## H. Management options

Future direct catch options will be specified later.

## I. Output statistics

Population-size and continuing catch statistics are produced for each stock, and catch-related statistics for each subarea. Catch-related statistics are produced both for the total catches (commercial and incidental) and for the commercial catches alone.
(1) Total catch (TC) distribution: (a) median; (b) 5th value; (c) 95th value.
(2) Initial mature female population size ( $P_{1930}$ ) distribution: (a) median; (b) 5th value; (c) 95th value.
(3) Final mature female population size ( $P_{2120}$ ) distribution: (a) median; (b) 5th value; (c) 95th value.
(4) Lowest mature female population size over 100 years ( $P_{\text {low }}$ ) distribution: (a) median; (b) 5th value; (c) 95th value.
(5) Average catch over the last 10 years of the 100-year management period: (a) median; (b) 5th value; (c) 95th value.
(6) Catch by sub-area, stock and catch-type (incidental or commercial): (a) median; (b) 5th value; (c) 95th value.
(7) The median percentage of mature J-stock females being in sub-area 12 in June-August 1973-75.
(8) The median annual rate of decline in the number of whales assumed recruited to the Korean fishery over the period 1973-1986.
(9) The median 1+ population size for animals in sub-areas 6 and 10 in August-September in 1992 and in 2000 (corresponding to Sea of Japan surveys).
(10) Proportion Mature: compare the numbers of mature animals by sub-area and time period with the (approximate) proportion mature in the available observation data.
(11) The mean proportion of J whales in the total (scientific, commercial and incidental) catch taken by Japan from 199398 is output in trials, for comparison with results obtained from market samples.

Table 9
Proposed factors to be considered in the Trials.

## Factor

## Stock structure hypothesis

Stock structure hypotheses A, B and E

## MSYR

$1 \%_{1+} ; 4 \%_{\text {mat }}$
g(0)
0.798; 1.00 (Trial 3)

## Other stock structure issues

With a C-stock, i.e. from a putative 'Central' North Pacific population (Trial 2)
Alternative basis for mixing rates (Trial 5)
10\% J-stock in sub-area 12SW in June (Trial 10)
$30 \%$ J-stock in sub-area 12SW in June (Trial 11)
No C-stock (i.e. from a putative 'Central' North Pacific population) in sub-area 12NE (Trial 23)
10\% J-stock in sub-area 12NE in May-July (Trial 21)

## Catches and bycatches

High direct catches (Baseline total $=39,299$; high total $=40,879$ ) + alternative Korean \& Japanese bycatch level (Trial 4)
Different allocation of the Korean catches between sub-areas 5 and 6W. (Trials 8 and 9)
Chinese incidental catch $=0$ (Trial 12) (Baseline value $=2^{*}$ Korean bycatch in sub-area 5 )
Number of bycaught animals is proportional to square root of abundance (Trial 17)
Mixing and dispersion
Mixing proportion in sub-areas 7CS and 7CN calculated using alternative weighting for bycatch: 2/60 weight (Trial 6) and 10/60 weight (Trial 7) A substantially larger fraction of whales aged 1-4 from 0 -stock are found in sub-areas $2 R, 3$ and 4 year round (Trial 18)
Set the proportion of O-stock animals of ages 1-4 in sub-areas 9 and 9 N to zero (Trial 19)
Time-varying mixing matrix for the bycatch (Trial 22) (requires specification)

## Abundance estimates

The 2013 implementation considered Alternative abundance estimates for sub-areas 6E and 10E.
The number of 1+ whales in 2009 in sub-area 2C in any month < 200 (Trial 20)

## Table 10

The list of trials (MSYR $1 \%$ is defined in terms of the total (1+) component and $4 \%$ on the mature female component of the population).

| Stock hypothesis | Trial no. | MSYR | Mix matrix: | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | A01-1 \& A01-4 | 1\%/4\% | Baseline | Baseline A: 2 stocks ( J - and O ); $\quad \mathrm{g}(0)=0.798$; including Chinese bycatch |
| B | B01-1 \& B01-4 | 1\%/4\% | Baseline | Baseline B: 3 stocks ( $\mathrm{J}-, \mathrm{O},-$ and $\mathrm{Y}-$ ); $\mathrm{g}(0)=0.798$; including Chinese bycatch |
| E | E01-1 \& E01-4 | 1\%/4\% | Baseline | Baseline E: 5 stocks ( $\mathrm{J}-, \mathrm{P}-, \mathrm{O}-$, and $\mathrm{Y}-$ ); $\mathrm{g}(0)=0.798$; including Chinese bycatch |
| AE | A02-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Trial 2 | With a C- ('Central' North Pacific) stock |
| ABE | A03-1 etc | 1\%/4\% | Baseline | Assume g(0) = 1 |
| ABE | A04-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Baseline | High direct catches + alternative Korean \& Japanese bycatch levels |
| ABE | A05-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Trial 5 | Alternative ( $70 \%$ probability) thresholds for assignment of stock proportions |
| ABE | A06-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Baseline | No. of genetic samples assigned to stock in sub-areas 7CS and 7CN calculated using $2 / 60$ weight for bycatch |
| ABE | A07-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Baseline | No. of genetic samples assigned to stock in sub-areas 7CS and 7CN calculated using 10/60 weight for bycatch |
| ABE | A08-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Baseline | More Korean catches in sub-area 5 (and fewer in sub-area 6W). |
|  |  |  |  | Rationale: the baseline uses the best split. Trials 8 and 9 test alternatives in both directions. |
| ABE | A09-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Baseline | More Korean catches in sub-area 6W (and fewer in 5) |
| ABE | A10-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Baseline | $10 \% \mathrm{~J}$-stock in sub-area 12SW in June (base case value $=20 \%$ ). See section F (c). |
| ABE | A11-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Trial 11 | $30 \% \mathrm{~J}$-stock in sub-area 12 SW in June (base case value $=20 \%$ ) with $10 \%$ J-stock in 12 NE in May-June. See section F(c). |
| ABE | A12-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Baseline | Chinese incidental catch $=0$ (the base case value = twice that of Korea in sub-area 5) |
| ABE | A13-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Baseline | Alternative abundance estimates in sub-area 6E (see table 6) |
| ABE | A14-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Baseline | Additional abundance estimate in sub-area 10E in 2007 (see table 6) |
| AE | A17-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Baseline | The number of bycaught animals is proportional to the square-root of abundance rather than to abundance (in order to examine the impact of possible saturation effects) |
| ABE | A18-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Trial 18 | A substantially larger fraction of whales ages 1-4 from $O$-stock are found in sub-areas $2 R, 3$ and 4 yearround (so the proportion of 1-4 whales in sub-area 9 is closer to expectations given the length- <br> frequencies of catches from sub-area 9). <br> The mixing matrices are adjusted such that the numbers of age 1-4 of $O$-stock animals in sub-areas 9 and 9 N are no more than half the base case numbers; juveniles are allowed into sub-areas $2 R, 3$ and 4 in the corresponding months. |
| ABE | A19-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Trial 19 | Set the proportion of O animals of ages 1-4 in sub-areas 9 and 9 N to zero and allow the abundance in subareas 7CS and 7CN to exceed the abundance estimates for these sub-areas. Projections for these subareas will need to account for the implied survey bias |
| ABE | A20-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Trial 20 | The number of $1+$ whales in 2009 in sub-area 2C in any month < 200 (if large numbers of whales were found in 2 C , the historical catch would be expected to be much greater). |
| ABE | A21-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Trial 21 | $10 \%$ J-stock in sub-area 12NE in May-July. See section F(c). |
| ABE | A22-1 etc | 1\% / 4\% | Trial 22 | Time-varying mixing matrix for the bycatch |
| E | E23-1 \& 4 | 1\% / 4\% | Trial 23 | With a putative C ('Central North' Pacific) stock, but no C animals in sub-area 12NE |
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## Appendix 1

## The Historical Catch Series

C. Allison

## Direct catches

The baseline trials use the 'best' estimates of the historical direct catch, which are summarised in Tables 1 and 2 . Details of the sources and construction of the catch series are given in Allison (2011). The data are taken from the IWC individual catch database (Allison, 2020) where available; where these data are not available the catch series has been compiled to match all known sources of information.

An alternative 'high' catch series is used in Trial 4. Table 3 lists the 'high' catch numbers for the years and sub-areas where they differ from the 'best' catch series. The catches are identical to the 'best' series for all other areas and years.
The Japanese coastal catch from 1930-1 and 1936-45 (in sub-areas 7CS, 7CN and 11) is estimated (Ohsumi 1982) and the values are doubled in the 'high' catch series. The catch series off Korea assumes a linear increase from 60 whales in 1946 to 249 in 1957 in the 'best' series whereas the 'high' series assumes an annual catch of 249 minke whales over this period.

The split between sub-areas 5 and 6 W is unknown for most of the catches taken off Korea. The 'best' catch series includes 19,349 minke whales taken off Korea, of which 3,902 are recorded in the Yellow Sea and 4,199 in the Sea of Japan (East Sea) and Southern waters. The remaining 11,248 of unknown area are allocated between sub-areas 5 and 6 W in the ratio of the catches known by area from $1940-79^{14}(2,028: 2,517)$. Where catches are known by month from 1958-86, (Park 1995) but not area, they are allocated to sub-area using the average known ratio in the given month. Trials 8 and 9 test the sensitivity to this assumption. In Trial 8 the number of whales allocated to sub-area 5 is reduced by $20 \%$ and reallocated to sub-area 6 W . In Trial 9, $20 \%$ fewer animals are allocated to sub-area 6 W and are reallocated to sub-area 5. The resulting catch series is given in Table 4.

Table 1.
Summary of the final western North Pacific Minke Whale Direct Catch Series (1930-2020) by sub-area, sex and month. Catches that cannot be taken because no whales are modelled the area/month are highlighted.

| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | M | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | J-M | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | O-D | J-M | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | O-D |  |  |  |
| 1E | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 18 | 11 |
| 2 C | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 13 | 5 |
| 2R | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 981 | 1,280 | 906 | 671 | 568 | 322 | 102 | 174 | 1,128 | 1,457 | 1,244 | 757 | 570 | 300 | 121 | 185 | 10,766 | 5,004 | 5,762 |
| 6W | 181 | 383 | 1,325 | 1,167 | 392 | 202 | 557 | 1,063 | 178 | 364 | 1,300 | 1,136 | 376 | 189 | 545 | 1,009 | 10,367 | 5,270 | 5,097 |
| 6E | 181 | 223 | 135 | 13 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 95 | 144 | 95 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 943 | 583 | 360 |
| 7CS | 210 | 1,011 | 1,826 | 768 | 129 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 164 | 1,134 | 1,371 | 464 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7,114 | 3,953 | 3,161 |
| 7CN | 0 | 0 | 77 | 241 | 387 | 426 | 940 | 199 | 0 | 20 | 89 | 101 | 163 | 122 | 312 | 113 | 3,190 | 2,270 | 920 |
| 7W | 0 | 1 | 49 | 33 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 97 | 15 |
| 7E | 0 | 0 | 37 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 93 | 75 | 18 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 101 | 99 | 21 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 17 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 327 | 277 | 50 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 82 | 183 | 218 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 600 | 532 | 68 |
| 9 N | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 17 | 17 |
| 10W | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 21 | 11 |
| 10E | 2 | 25 | 42 | 119 | 83 | 26 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 60 | 26 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 436 | 305 | 131 |
| 11 | 0 | 62 | 248 | 503 | 560 | 230 | 143 | 29 | 2 | 465 | 872 | 909 | 607 | 273 | 113 | 25 | 5,041 | 1,775 | 3,266 |
| 12SW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 16 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 76 | 22 | 54 |
| 12NE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 33 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 56 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| Total | 1,576 | 2,988 | 4,725 | 3,737 | 2,484 | 1,482 | 1,821 | 1,478 | 1,581 | 3,589 | 5,033 | 3,492 | 1,859 | 982 | 1,133 | 1,339 | 39,299 | 20,291 | 19,008 |

[^11]Table 2
Summary of the 'Best' Direct Catch Series for western North Pacific Minke Whales by Year, sub-area and sex.
Males:

|  | 1E | 2C | 2R | 5 | 6W | 6 E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 17 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 20 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 24 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 33 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 67 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 51 | 0 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 47 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 1946 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 14 | 51 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 21 | 27 | 57 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 |
| 1948 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 26 | 56 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 31 | 20 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 153 |
| 1950 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 37 | 15 | 63 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 |
| 1951 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 40 | 62 | 87 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 |
| 1952 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 45 | 142 | 92 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 347 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 50 | 90 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 335 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 43 | 54 | 35 | 24 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 275 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 60 | 20 | 108 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 43 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 315 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 62 | 16 | 140 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 417 |
| 1957 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 70 | 2 | 111 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 342 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 65 | 0 | 126 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 358 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 71 | 0 | 69 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 59 | 0 | 64 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 28 | 0 | 81 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 52 | 0 | 46 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 52 | 0 | 49 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 269 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 95 | 6 | 85 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 370 |
| 1965 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 83 | 101 | 11 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 312 |
| 1966 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 76 | 87 | 0 | 81 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 326 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 73 | 2 | 50 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 297 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 75 | 8 | 58 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 95 | 10 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 188 | 5 | 101 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 540 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 189 | 3 | 84 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 545 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 286 | 0 | 35 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 668 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 244 | 0 | 83 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 95 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 724 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 271 | 0 | 63 | 34 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 44 | 4 | 22 | 0 | 666 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 293 | 9 | 35 | 63 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 62 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 693 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 174 | 0 | 35 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 688 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 304 | 0 | 32 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 699 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 354 | 0 | 93 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 780 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 379 | 0 | 95 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 813 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 447 | 147 | 0 | 88 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 804 |
| 1981 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 188 | 192 | 0 | 148 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 610 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 210 | 2 | 105 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 617 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 142 | 3 | 66 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 105 | 0 | 64 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 390 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 29 | 5 | 39 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 20 | 69 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 26 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |


|  | 1 E | 2 C | 2 R | 5 | 6 W | 6 E | 7 CS | 7 CN | 7 WR | 7 E | 8 | 9 | 9 N | 10 W | 10 E | 11 | 12 SW | 12 NE | 13 | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 19 | 7 | 20 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 35 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 138 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 67 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 33 | 11 | 1 | 36 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 67 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 41 | 8 | 3 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 61 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 2016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| Total | 18 | 13 | 2 | 5,004 | 5,270 | 583 | 3,953 | 2,270 | 97 | 75 | 277 | 532 | 17 | 21 | 305 | 1,775 | 22 | 55 | 2 | 20,291 |

Females

|  | 1E | 2 C | 2R | 5 | 6W | 6E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 23 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 68 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 34 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 38 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 66 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 51 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 45 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 1946 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 18 | 10 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 25 | 38 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 31 | 30 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 152 |
| 1950 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 34 | 9 | 25 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 151 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 42 | 39 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 70 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 236 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 45 | 43 | 78 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 305 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 49 | 47 | 56 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 259 |
| 1954 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 45 | 55 | 27 | 22 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 297 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 59 | 15 | 80 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 119 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 347 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 66 | 23 | 97 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 108 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 382 |
| 1957 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 79 | 68 | 0 | 81 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 96 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 367 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 63 | 0 | 128 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 454 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 73 | 0 | 70 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 357 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 57 | 0 | 65 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 342 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 30 | 0 | 83 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 299 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 52 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 307 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 52 | 0 | 50 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 97 | 6 | 86 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 448 |
| 1965 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 110 | 102 | 9 | 99 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 418 |
| 1966 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 105 | 88 | 2 | 100 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 395 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 73 | 8 | 65 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 382 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 73 | 3 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 96 | 10 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 405 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 188 | 2 | 87 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 575 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 190 | 2 | 67 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 574 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 286 | 0 | 75 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 789 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 244 | 2 | 90 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 116 | 11 | 27 | 0 | 759 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 272 | 0 | 51 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 79 | 17 | 18 | 0 | 729 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 288 | 2 | 46 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 58 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 678 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 445 | 174 | 0 | 46 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 819 |

Table 2. Females contd.

|  | 1E | 2C | 2R | 5 | 6W | 6E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7 E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 269 | 303 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 659 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 356 | 0 | 85 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 718 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 264 | 0 | 38 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 531 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 109 | 0 | 70 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 550 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 192 | 0 | 68 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 524 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 219 | 2 | 58 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 605 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 138 | 4 | 69 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 386 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 114 | 0 | 38 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 370 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 35 | 4 | 20 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 35 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 2016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Total | 11 | 5 | 2 | 5,762 | 5,097 | 360 | 3,161 | 920 | 15 | 18 | 50 | 68 | 17 | 11 | 131 | 3,266 | 54 | 56 | 4 | 19,008 |

Table 3
The High Catch Series.
Catches for the years and sub-areas where they differ from the 'best' catch series (1930-1, 1936-45 in sub-areas 7CS, 7CN and 11; 1947-56 in subareas 5 and 6 W ). Numbers from the 'best' catch series are shown for comparison. The 'high' catch series is identical to the 'best' series for all other areas and years.

| Series: <br> Sub-area: | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Best } \\ \text { 7CS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Best } \\ 7 \mathrm{CS} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { High } \\ 7 \mathrm{CS} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { High } \\ 7 \mathrm{CS} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Best } \\ & \text { 7CN } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Best } \\ & 7 \mathrm{CN} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { High } \\ & \text { 7CN } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { High } \\ & 7 \mathrm{CN} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Best } \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Best } \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { High } \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { High } \\ 11 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem |
| 1930 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 1931 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 1932 | 13 | 7 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1933 | 13 | 7 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1934 | 20 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1935 | 20 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1936 | 15 | 7 | 30 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 1937 | 37 | 18 | 74 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 1938 | 44 | 22 | 88 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 1939 | 44 | 22 | 88 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 1940 | 52 | 25 | 104 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 1941 | 37 | 18 | 74 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 1942 | 44 | 22 | 88 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 1943 | 67 | 32 | 134 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 1944 | 52 | 25 | 104 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 1945 | 44 | 22 | 44 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |

Table 3 continued

| Series: | Best | Best | High | High | Best | Best | High | High |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sub-area: | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | $6 W$ | $6 W$ | $6 W$ | $6 W$ |
|  | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem |
| 1946 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 21 | 18 | 21 | 18 |
| 1947 | 19 | 18 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 21 | 19 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |
| 1948 | 22 | 21 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 26 | 25 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |
| 1949 | 25 | 25 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 31 | 31 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |
| 1950 | 29 | 29 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 37 | 34 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |
| 1951 | 31 | 33 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 40 | 42 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |
| 1952 | 36 | 37 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 45 | 45 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |
| 1953 | 42 | 39 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 50 | 49 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |
| 1954 | 43 | 45 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 54 | 55 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |
| 1955 | 49 | 58 | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | 60 | 59 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |
| 1956 | 54 | 62 | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | 62 | 66 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |
| 1957 | 59 | 79 | 59 | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | 70 | 68 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 68 |

Table 4
Catch series for Trials 8 and 9 used to test the sensitivity to the allocation of catches off Korea between sub-areas 5 and 6 W . Catches in the other sub-areas are the same as for the 'Best' catch series.

|  | Trial 8 |  |  |  | Trial 9 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub-area: | 5 | 5 | 6 W | 6 W | 5 | 5 | 6 W | 6W |
|  | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem |
| 1932 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 4 |
| 1933 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 4 |
| 1934 | 1 | 9 | 21 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 21 | 10 |
| 1935 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 14 |
| 1936 | 14 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 15 | 17 |
| 1937 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 21 | 20 |
| 1938 | 19 | 22 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 24 | 22 |
| 1939 | 23 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 27 | 27 |
| 1940 | 21 | 21 | 27 | 26 | 12 | 11 | 37 | 35 |
| 1941 | 48 | 72 | 31 | 31 | 38 | 62 | 41 | 41 |
| 1942 | 66 | 66 | 53 | 55 | 43 | 43 | 77 | 77 |
| 1943 | 51 | 51 | 40 | 41 | 31 | 33 | 59 | 60 |
| 1944 | 48 | 48 | 37 | 35 | 31 | 31 | 53 | 53 |
| 1945 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 1946 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 8 | 22 | 20 |
| 1947 | 24 | 21 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 23 | 24 |
| 1948 | 27 | 26 | 20 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 28 | 30 |
| 1949 | 30 | 32 | 25 | 25 | 18 | 22 | 36 | 36 |
| 1950 | 34 | 38 | 28 | 29 | 23 | 24 | 42 | 40 |
| 1951 | 40 | 40 | 33 | 33 | 26 | 26 | 47 | 47 |
| 1952 | 46 | 46 | 37 | 34 | 29 | 30 | 51 | 53 |
| 1953 | 50 | 51 | 40 | 39 | 31 | 33 | 58 | 58 |
| 1954 | 55 | 54 | 43 | 45 | 35 | 35 | 64 | 63 |
| 1955 | 62 | 69 | 46 | 49 | 39 | 48 | 70 | 69 |
| 1956 | 67 | 74 | 52 | 51 | 42 | 53 | 75 | 74 |
| 1957 | 73 | 92 | 56 | 55 | 49 | 66 | 79 | 82 |
| 1958 | 80 | 114 | 51 | 51 | 53 | 89 | 77 | 77 |
| 1959 | 93 | 141 | 57 | 57 | 63 | 110 | 86 | 89 |
| 1960 | 84 | 152 | 46 | 47 | 63 | 131 | 68 | 67 |
| 1961 | 44 | 87 | 24 | 24 | 35 | 77 | 33 | 34 |
| 1962 | 65 | 128 | 43 | 40 | 49 | 110 | 58 | 59 |
| 1963 | 131 | 179 | 43 | 41 | 104 | 149 | 71 | 70 |
| 1964 | 159 | 205 | 77 | 76 | 118 | 162 | 119 | 118 |
| 1965 | 102 | 131 | 82 | 81 | 68 | 97 | 116 | 115 |
| 1966 | 95 | 121 | 70 | 70 | 64 | 91 | 100 | 101 |
| 1967 | 125 | 153 | 59 | 57 | 91 | 120 | 93 | 90 |
| 1968 | 112 | 139 | 60 | 59 | 82 | 107 | 91 | 90 |
| 1969 | 137 | 176 | 75 | 77 | 98 | 138 | 114 | 115 |
| 1970 | 223 | 253 | 151 | 151 | 152 | 183 | 221 | 222 |
| 1971 | 239 | 286 | 152 | 152 | 165 | 214 | 225 | 225 |
| 1972 | 308 | 348 | 229 | 231 | 230 | 267 | 311 | 308 |
| 1973 | 251 | 275 | 208 | 208 | 197 | 220 | 262 | 263 |
| 1974 | 251 | 302 | 235 | 235 | 188 | 241 | 297 | 297 |
| 1975 | 253 | 287 | 235 | 231 | 159 | 196 | 327 | 324 |
| 1976 | 389 | 479 | 139 | 139 | 292 | 384 | 235 | 235 |
| 1977 | 294 | 331 | 242 | 243 | 192 | 226 | 346 | 346 |
| 1978 | 253 | 276 | 283 | 286 | 152 | 175 | 384 | 387 |
| 1979 | 164 | 130 | 379 | 264 | 164 | 130 | 379 | 264 |
| 1980 | 447 | 272 | 147 | 109 | 447 | 272 | 147 | 109 |
| 1981 | 188 | 188 | 192 | 192 | 188 | 188 | 192 | 192 |
| 1982 | 236 | 247 | 202 | 209 | 222 | 229 | 217 | 226 |
| 1983 | 100 | 98 | 142 | 138 | 100 | 98 | 142 | 138 |
| 1984 | 87 | 87 | 105 | 114 | 87 | 87 | 105 | 114 |
| 1985 | 23 | 26 | 29 | 35 | 23 | 26 | 29 | 35 |
| 1986 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 15 |

## Bycatches

Tables 5 and 6 summarise recent bycatches (also referred to as incidental catches) off Japan and Korea by sub-area. Individual records, including position, date, length and sex, have been provided to the IWC by Japan for 1,964 by caught minke whales from 2001-16 received 28 May 2019) and by Korea for 1,883 by caught and stranded minke whales from 2001-17 (received 29 Mar 2019).

Table 5
Recent bycatches by Japan and Korea (some are updates to those listed in progress reports). It is known that the numbers off Japan in 2001 are incomplete. Bycatches from sub-area 6W by Japan are included with those in 6E (see text). No data for 2020 off Korea are available so the average catch over the previous 10 years is used. Bycatches that are shown in grey are not used in the fitting process.

|  | Japan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Korea |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1E | 2C | 6E | 7CN | 7CS | 10E | 11 | Total | 5 | 6W | 1W | Posn.Unk | Total |
| 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 128 |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
| 2001 | 1 | 10 | 25 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 54 | 9 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 150 |
| 2002 | 7 | 19 | 45 | 13 | 17 | 3 | 5 | 109 | 8 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
| 2003 | 5 | 17 | 61 | 15 | 18 |  | 8 | 124 | 10 | 75 | 2 | 0 | 87 |
| 2004 | 4 | 19 | 66 | 9 | 14 |  | 3 | 115 | 9 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 2005 | 4 | 33 | 55 | 10 | 17 | 3 | 6 | 128 | 7 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| 2006 | 3 | 28 | 76 | 16 | 21 |  | 3 | 147 | 11 | 67 | 0 | 2 | 80 |
| 2007 | 7 | 42 | 69 | 11 | 20 |  | 6 | 155 | 12 | 59 | 0 | 1 | 72 |
| 2008 | 9 | 23 | 68 | 11 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 133 | 12 | 61 | 0 | 2 | 75 |
| 2009 | 3 | 17 | 69 | 3 | 25 |  | 1 | 118 | 10 | 70 | 0 | 2 | 82 |
| 2010 | 3 | 18 | 74 | 8 | 17 |  | 4 | 124 | 8 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| 2011 | 6 | 28 | 65 | 9 | 8 |  | 1 | 117 | 15 | 70 | 0 | 1 | 86 |
| 2012 | 5 | 25 | 56 | 9 | 15 |  | 4 | 114 | 8 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| 2013 | 5 | 20 | 54 | 9 | 15 | 2 |  | 105 | 8 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 2014 | 3 | 21 | 74 | 16 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 140 | 7 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 2015 | 5 | 28 | 84 | 12 | 26 |  | 1 | 156 | 7 | 78 | 1 | 1 | 87 |
| 2016 | 7 | 34 | 86 | 17 | 22 | 3 |  | 169 | 10 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| 2017 | 5 | 32 | 80 | 10 | 34 | 1 | 2 | 164 | 12 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 2018 | 2 | 18 | 40 | 9 | 18 |  |  | 87 | 7 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| 2019 | 3 | 15 | 54 | 9 | 23 |  |  | 104 | 3 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| 2020 | 2 | 10 | 34 | 9 | 16 |  |  | 71 | [9] | [63] | 0 | 0 | [96] |
| Total | 89 | 457 | 1235 | 374 | 208 | 19 | 52 | 2434 |  |  |  |  |  |

In Japan it has been obligatory to report bycatches from 2001, since when the numbers are considered to be reliable. Almost all of the reported bycatch off Japan occurs in set-net fisheries. Three types of set nets are used: large-scale (excluding salmon nets), salmon nets and small-scale. For fishing gears other than set-nets, bycatch, retention and marketing of whales are prohibited by the 2001 regulation and a diagnostic DNA registry is used to deter illegal distribution of any whales caught.

Ideally, the catch by each gear type should be modelled separately to allow the historical (pre-2001) bycatch to be predicted. However, information on numbers of catches by net type is not available. Therefore, in the 2013 Implementation, the historical bycatches for each sub-area were set using the total number of bycatches and the combined number of large-scale and salmon nets in each sub-area (see Allison, 2014). Japan has provided new information on the numbers of large-scale nets from 1979-2018, but numbers of salmon nets since 2016 are not available. The numbers of whales caught in salmon nets are small in comparison to those from large-scale nets (see Table 6 which lists the bycatches from 2001-9 by gear type), so in the current implementation, the historical bycatches are set using the total numbers of bycatches (Table 5) and the numbers of large-scale nets (Table 7) in each sub-area.

Table 6
Bycatches by Japan 2001-9 by gear type (Hakamada pers comm 28/04/2011).

|  | Salmon | Large- <br> scale | Small- <br> scale | Other gear <br> types |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2001 | 3 | 68 | 9 | 0 |
| 2002 | 5 | 92 | 12 | 0 |
| 2003 | 8 | 99 | 18 | 0 |
| 2004 | 2 | 101 | 12 | 2 |
| 2005 | 7 | 105 | 17 | 0 |
| 2006 | 5 | 125 | 17 | 0 |
| 2007 | 8 | 131 | 16 | 0 |
| 2008 | 3 | 116 | 14 | 0 |
| 2009 | 4 | 101 | 13 | 0 |

Table 7
Numbers of nets.

|  | Japan large-scale trap nets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Korean nets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1E | 2 C | 6E | 7CS | 7CN | 10E | 11 | Total | 5 | 6W | Total |
| 1946 | 24 | 67 | 103 | 41 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1947 | 26 | 73 | 112 | 44 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 275 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| 1948 | 29 | 79 | 122 | 48 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 298 | 4 | 11 | 15 |
| 1949 | 31 | 85 | 131 | 52 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 321 | 6 | 16 | 22 |
| 1950 | 33 | 91 | 141 | 55 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 344 | 8 | 21 | 29 |
| 1951 | 35 | 97 | 150 | 59 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 367 | 10 | 27 | 37 |
| 1952 | 37 | 103 | 159 | 63 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 390 | 12 | 32 | 44 |
| 1953 | 40 | 109 | 169 | 66 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 412 | 14 | 38 | 52 |
| 1954 | 42 | 115 | 178 | 70 | 14 | 11 | 5 | 435 | 15 | 43 | 58 |
| 1955 | 44 | 121 | 187 | 74 | 14 | 12 | 6 | 458 | 17 | 48 | 65 |
| 1956 | 46 | 127 | 197 | 77 | 15 | 13 | 6 | 481 | 19 | 54 | 73 |
| 1957 | 48 | 133 | 206 | 81 | 16 | 13 | 6 | 504 | 21 | 59 | 80 |
| 1958 | 51 | 139 | 216 | 85 | 16 | 14 | 7 | 527 | 23 | 64 | 87 |
| 1959 | 53 | 145 | 225 | 88 | 17 | 14 | 7 | 550 | 25 | 70 | 95 |
| 1960 | 55 | 151 | 234 | 92 | 18 | 15 | 7 | 573 | 27 | 75 | 102 |
| 1961 | 57 | 157 | 244 | 96 | 19 | 16 | 7 | 596 | 29 | 80 | 109 |
| 1962 | 59 | 164 | 253 | 100 | 19 | 16 | 8 | 619 | 31 | 86 | 117 |
| 1963 | 62 | 170 | 262 | 103 | 20 | 17 | 8 | 642 | 33 | 91 | 124 |
| 1964 | 64 | 176 | 272 | 107 | 21 | 17 | 8 | 665 | 35 | 97 | 132 |
| 1965 | 66 | 182 | 281 | 111 | 21 | 18 | 9 | 687 | 37 | 102 | 139 |
| 1966 | 68 | 188 | 291 | 114 | 22 | 19 | 9 | 710 | 39 | 107 | 146 |
| 1967 | 70 | 194 | 300 | 118 | 23 | 19 | 9 | 733 | 41 | 113 | 154 |
| 1968 | 73 | 200 | 309 | 122 | 24 | 20 | 9 | 756 | 43 | 118 | 161 |
| 1969 | 75 | 206 | 319 | 125 | 24 | 20 | 10 | 779 | 44 | 123 | 167 |
| 1970 | 77 | 212 | 328 | 129 | 25 | 21 | 10 | 802 | 46 | 129 | 175 |
| 1971 | 80 | 209 | 324 | 127 | 25 | 21 | 10 | 795 | 48 | 134 | 182 |
| 1972 | 83 | 206 | 321 | 124 | 25 | 21 | 10 | 789 | 50 | 139 | 189 |
| 1973 | 86 | 203 | 317 | 122 | 24 | 20 | 9 | 782 | 52 | 145 | 197 |
| 1974 | 89 | 200 | 314 | 119 | 24 | 20 | 9 | 776 | 54 | 150 | 204 |
| 1975 | 92 | 197 | 310 | 117 | 24 | 20 | 9 | 769 | 56 | 156 | 212 |
| 1976 | 80 | 198 | 321 | 118 | 25 | 21 | 10 | 773 | 58 | 161 | 219 |
| 1977 | 69 | 199 | 332 | 119 | 27 | 22 | 10 | 777 | 60 | 166 | 226 |
| 1978 | 57 | 200 | 344 | 119 | 28 | 23 | 11 | 781 | 62 | 172 | 234 |
| 1979 | 46 | 205 | 361 | 122 | 30 | 25 | 11 | 800 | 64 | 177 | 241 |
| 1980 | 49 | 208 | 372 | 130 | 28 | 24 | 11 | 822 | 66 | 182 | 248 |
| 1981 | 51 | 205 | 375 | 134 | 26 | 21 | 10 | 823 | 68 | 188 | 256 |
| 1982 | 50 | 204 | 393 | 133 | 27 | 22 | 10 | 838 | 70 | 193 | 263 |
| 1983 | 54 | 199 | 392 | 132 | 37 | 31 | 14 | 859 | 71 | 198 | 269 |
| 1984 | 51 | 191 | 393 | 141 | 48 | 41 | 19 | 885 | 73 | 204 | 277 |
| 1985 | 47 | 192 | 419 | 141 | 42 | 36 | 16 | 894 | 75 | 209 | 284 |
| 1986 | 50 | 198 | 413 | 136 | 50 | 43 | 20 | 909 | 77 | 215 | 292 |
| 1987 | 47 | 196 | 409 | 138 | 48 | 41 | 19 | 900 | 79 | 220 | 299 |
| 1988 | 47 | 190 | 407 | 132 | 40 | 33 | 15 | 865 | 81 | 225 | 306 |
| 1989 | 56 | 185 | 398 | 142 | 35 | 29 | 13 | 857 | 83 | 231 | 314 |
| 1990 | 56 | 182 | 413 | 137 | 35 | 30 | 14 | 867 | 85 | 236 | 321 |
| 1991 | 61 | 178 | 410 | 135 | 29 | 24 | 11 | 847 | 85 | 286 | 371 |
| 1992 | 56 | 169 | 400 | 135 | 27 | 22 | 10 | 820 | 96 | 305 | 401 |
| 1993 | 62 | 183 | 406 | 135 | 28 | 22 | 10 | 845 | 96 | 291 | 387 |
| 1994 | 55 | 179 | 387 | 131 | 29 | 23 | 11 | 814 | 94 | 286 | 380 |
| 1995 | 57 | 179 | 380 | 119 | 26 | 20 | 9 | 790 | 97 | 292 | 389 |
| 1996 | 57 | 175 | 379 | 132 | 26 | 20 | 9 | 799 | 103 | 352 | 455 |
| 1997 | 54 | 172 | 376 | 132 | 25 | 19 | 9 | 787 | 123 | 340 | 463 |
| 1998 | 56 | 167 | 377 | 133 | 26 | 19 | 9 | 787 | 105 | 338 | 443 |
| 1999 | 55 | 170 | 370 | 131 | 28 | 22 | 10 | 786 | 120 | 321 | 441 |
| 2000 | 55 | 169 | 367 | 130 | 28 | 22 | 10 | 781 | 105 | 318 | 423 |
| 2001 | 58 | 154 | 367 | 132 | 29 | 23 | 11 | 775 | 82 | 311 | 393 |
| 2002 | 52 | 163 | 367 | 130 | 32 | 26 | 12 | 781 | 88 | 292 | 380 |
| 2003 | 49 | 164 | 363 | 137 | 31 | 25 | 12 | 781 | 81 | 286 | 367 |
| 2004 | 51 | 160 | 351 | 137 | 27 | 21 | 10 | 757 | 94 | 267 | 361 |
| 2005 | 52 | 159 | 329 | 132 | 26 | 21 | 9 | 729 | 81 | 263 | 344 |
| 2006 | 45 | 156 | 313 | 132 | 27 | 21 | 10 | 703 | 78 | 255 | 333 |
| 2007 | 43 | 146 | 329 | 123 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 654 | 77 | 247 | 324 |
| 2008 | 40 | 129 | 315 | 121 | 22 | 16 | 7 | 651 | 71 | 230 | 301 |
| 2009 | 42 | 130 | 311 | 121 | 22 | 16 | 7 | 648 | 68 | 219 | 287 |
| 2010 | 40 | 130 | 314 | 116 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 644 | 68 | 219 | 287 |
| 2011 | 40 | 130 | 311 | 94 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 617 | 68 | 219 | 287 |
| 2012 | 39 | 128 | 313 | 96 | 20 | 14 | 7 | 617 | 68 | 219 | 287 |
| 2013 | 38 | 120 | 307 | 92 | 20 | 14 | 7 | 598 | 68 | 219 | 287 |
| 2014 | 36 | 120 | 300 | 97 | 20 | 15 | 7 | 594 | 68 | 219 | 287 |
| 2015 | 35 | 115 | 300 | 101 | 20 | 15 | 7 | 592 | 68 | 219 | 287 |
| 2016 | 37 | 120 | 280 | 101 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 582 | 68 | 219 | 287 |
| 2017 | 36 | 122 | 275 | 93 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 569 | 68 | 219 | 287 |
| 2018 | 34 | 114 | 288 | 87 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 567 | 68 | 219 | 287 |
| 2019 | 34 | 114 | 288 | 87 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 567 | 68 | 219 | 287 |
| 2020 | 34 | 114 | 288 | 87 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 567 | 68 | 219 | 287 |

Table 7 contd.
Sources: Japan 1935-70. Set using linear interpolation, assuming 0 in 1935.
Japan 1970-79. Set using linear interpolation between the numbers for 1970 and 1975 from Tobayama et al. (1992).
Japan 1979-2018. Pastene, pers. comm. Apr 2021.
Korea 1946-1996. Set using linear interpolation, assuming 0 in 1946.
Korea 1990-2009. An, pers. comm.
Missing data: where the numbers of nets are unknown (off Japan from 2019-20 and off Korea from 2010-20), the last known numbers are used.
The bycatch in sub-area 6 W by Japan is small ( 9 whales) (and there are no corresponding set net numbers) so the numbers are added to the bycatches for sub-area 6 E . The bycatch by Korea in sub-area 1 W is very small ( 3 whales in total) and there are no corresponding set net numbers so the numbers are added to the bycatches for sub-area 5 .

Japan updated the numbers of large-scale nets up to and including 2018 and incorporated information from the Japanese Coast Guard for 2014 on the dates that the nets were in operation (see IWC 2020). The set nets are assigned to sub-area based on the position of the centre of the net, although some nets extend beyond a single sub-area. Korea provided revised data on the number of set nets in operation based on the number of licenses issued between 19942017 (see IWC 2020), but the Committee (Item 8.1.3) decided that the number of nets provide a more reliable source of information than the number of licenses.

For the best effort series, the numbers of nets off Japan are extrapolated from 1946 to 1969 assuming a linear relationship from 0 in 1935 to the known numbers in 1970 (Tobayama et al., 1992). Bycatches before 1946 are ignored because, although some set-nets were in operation before 1946 (Brownell, pers. comm.), the numbers are highly uncertain and are sufficiently small that they are unlikely to affect the implementation.

The numbers of nets are listed in Table 7. The numbers of bycatches are only used in the trials where the number of nets is also known. Thus, for example for Japan, the catches from 2019-20 are not used and are shown greyed out in table 5.

A single series of historical bycatches is used for all of the trials when testing the effect of future catches (including those set by the RMP), irrespective of the true values of the bycatches, which differ both among trials and simulations within trials. The estimate of the future bycatch is set to the averages of the predicted bycatches based on the fit to the actual data of the operating model for the six baseline trials (i.e. using the 'best fit' simulation (0)). This series will be generated once conditioning is complete.

A sensitivity trial will be undertaken that assumes catches since 2001 were under-reported by $50 \%$ and the numbers of nets were double the best-case values from 1946-1969 (up to a maximum equal to the number of nets in 1969).
Korea: The same method as used for Japan is applied, except that bycatch numbers since 1945 are extrapolated from reported numbers in sub-areas 5 (Yellow Sea) and 6W (East Sea) since 2000 and 1996 respectively. Catches in sub-area 6 W are assumed to be under-reported by $50 \%$ (based on DNA profiling and a capture-recapture analysis of market products, Baker et al., 2007). The high effort sensitivity trial assumes that bycatches in sub-area 5 since 2000 were under-reported by $50 \%$, and the numbers of nets were double the best-case values from 1946-1969 (up to a maximum equal to the number of nets in 1969).

China: There are no data on bycatches off China, although they are known to occur. There are not many set-nets in operation off China and the operations are likely to be similar to those off western Korea. In the absence of information the baseline trials assume that the bycatch off China is double that off western Korea. A sensitivity trial ignores any possible bycatch off China.
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## Appendix 2

## Using the Genetic Stock Assignment by Sub-Area to Inform the Mixing Matrices of the North Pacific Minke Whale Implementation Simulation Trials

C.L. de Moor, C. Allison, A.E. Punt

This appendix details the stock assignment by sub-area and sex used to develop the data used to estimate mixing matrices for the North Pacific minke whale Implementation Simulation Trials. The baseline mixing matrices for Hypothesis E were newly developed for these Implementation Simulation Trials, largely informed by the genetic assignment tables below. The baseline mixing matrices for Hypotheses A and B were only changed from those used during the 2013 Implementation Simulation Trials where the genetic assignment tables below strongly supported such changes.

## Baseline Trials, Hypotheses A and B

For the baseline trials, the stock assignment for Hypotheses $A$ and $B$ is based on the "stock90" assignment by STRUCTURE in Data_NPM_190226_v3.csv. The number of samples assigned to stock by sub-area is as follows. Table 7a of specifications details the assigned numbers by stock, sub-area, period and sex used to condition the trials.

| Males | $\mathbf{1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 C}$ | $\mathbf{6 E}$ | $\mathbf{7 C N}$ | $\mathbf{7 C S}$ | $\mathbf{7 E}$ | $\mathbf{7 W R}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J-stock | 8 | 28 | 29 | 107 | 453 | 158 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| O-stock | 1 | 29 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 580 | 281 | 41 | 74 | 207 | 442 |
| Unassigned | 2 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 41 | 80 | 61 | 3 | 6 | 22 | 44 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J-stock | 6 | 28 | 42 | 188 | 471 | 112 | 151 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| O-stock | 0 | 30 | 0 | 24 | 3 | 263 | 286 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 49 |
| Unassigned | 1 | 7 | 2 | 17 | 33 | 23 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 5 |

Grey highlight: stock has been assigned to a sub-area, but is not modelled in that sub-area in the mixing matrices

- The singleton assignment of a J-stock female to sub-area 7WR is ignored for the baseline trials, but in Trial 5 J -stock animals are assumed to be found in both sub-areas 7 E and 7 WR .
- The singleton assignment of an O-stock male to sub-area 1E is ignored for modelling purposes
- The singleton assignment of a J-stock male to sub-area 9 is small compared to the total sample size, and is therefore ignored for the baseline, but in Trial 5 J-stock animals are assumed to be found in sub-areas 8 and 9
- The assignment of O-stock animals to sub-area 6E are very small compared to the total sample size, and O-stock animals are therefore not modelled to be found in sub-area 6 E .
Pink highlight: females of a stock have not been assigned to a sub-area, but are modelled in that sub-area in the mixing matrices
- $\quad$ The sample sizes in sub-area 10E are low and one cannot therefore discount the presence of O-stock females in sub-area 10E.


## Hypothesis A Baseline

J-Stock Baseline A (Matrix J-A)

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Age/ } \\ & \text { Sex } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mon | Sub - Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1W | 1E | 2C | 2R | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6W | 6 E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 |
| Juv | J-M | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | May | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{2}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | O-D | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |  |
| Ad.M | J-M | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $4 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | May |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $4 \gamma_{2}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.F | J-M | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{10}$ | $\gamma_{10}$ |  |  |
|  | May |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{2}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{11}$ | $2 \gamma_{11}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Hypothesis A Baseline (contd.)
O-Stock Baseline A (Matrix O-AB) Blue indicates changes since 2013 ISTs.

| Age/ MonSex |  | Sub - Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1W | 1E | 2C | 2R | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6W | 6 E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 |
| Juv | J-M |  |  | $\gamma_{13}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 8 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ |  |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | May |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 8 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jun |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jul |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Sep |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | O-D |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.M | J-M |  |  | $\gamma_{13}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ |  |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $3 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $6 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $6 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $6 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $6 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $3 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | O-D |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.F | J-M |  |  | $\gamma_{13}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ | $2 \gamma_{17}$ | $2 \gamma_{18}$ | $2 \gamma_{19}$ | $2 \gamma_{20}$ |  |  | $\gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $3 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $4 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $\gamma_{30}$ | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $9 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $4 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $9 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $4 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $9 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $4 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $9 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $3 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | O-D |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Hypothesis B Baseline

Y-Stock Baseline B (Matrix Y-BE)

| Age/ Mon Sex |  | Sub - Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1W | 1E | 2C | 2R | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6W | 6 E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 |
| Juv | J-M | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jun | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jul | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 27$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aug | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sep | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AdM | J-M | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 26$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jun | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jul | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 27$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aug | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sep | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 28$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AdF | J-M | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jun | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 26$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jul | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 27$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aug | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sep | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Hypothesis B Baseline (contd.)
J-Stock Baseline B (Matrix J-BE)

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Age/ } \\ \text { Sex } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mon | Sub - Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1W | 1E | 2C | 2R | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6W | 6 E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 |
| Juv | J-M |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | May |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{2}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | O-D |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |  |
| Ad.M | J-M |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $4 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | May |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $4 \gamma_{2}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.F | J-M |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | $4$ | $4 \gamma_{29}$ |  | $\gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{10}$ | $\gamma_{10}$ |  |  |
|  | May |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{2}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{11}$ | $2 \gamma_{11}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

O-Stock Baseline A (Matrix O-AB) Blue indicates changes since 2013 ISTs.

| Age/ MonSex |  | Sub - Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1W | 1E | 2C | 2R | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6W | 6 E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 |
| Juv | J-M |  |  | $\gamma_{13}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 8 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ |  |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | May |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 8 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jun |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jul |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Sep |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | O-D |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.M | J-M |  |  | $\gamma_{13}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ |  |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $3 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $6 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $6 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $6 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $6 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  | $4 \gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $3 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | O-D |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.F | J-M |  |  | $\gamma_{13}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ | $2 \gamma_{17}$ | $2 \gamma_{18}$ | $2 \gamma_{19}$ | $2 \gamma_{20}$ |  |  | $\gamma_{30}$ | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $3 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $4 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $\gamma_{30}$ | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $9 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $4 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $9 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $4 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $9 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $4 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $9 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1$ | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{30}$ | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $3 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | O-D |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{30}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Baseline Trials, Hypothesis E

For the baseline trials, stock assignment for Hypothesis E is based on the "geneland.stock2" assignment by GENELAND in Data_NPM_190226_v3.csv. The number of samples assigned to stock by sub-area is as follows. Table 7a of specifications details the assigned numbers by stock, sub-area, period and sex used to condition the trials

| Males | $\mathbf{1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 C}$ | $\mathbf{6 E}$ | $\mathbf{7 C N}$ | $\mathbf{7 C S}$ | $\mathbf{7 E}$ | $\mathbf{7 W R}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J-stock | 8 | 13 | 31 | 88 | 492 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| P-stock | 0 | 39 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 384 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O-stock | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 83 | 41 | 70 | 207 |
| Unassigned | 0 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 55 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Females | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| J-stock | 7 | 18 | 44 | 156 | 500 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| P-stock | 0 | 24 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 216 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O-stock | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 18 | 5 | 7 | 22 |
| Unassigned | 0 | 17 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 75 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Pink highlight: animals of a stock have not been assigned to a sub-area, but are modelled in that sub-area in the mixing matrices

- It is assumed the J-stock occurs distributed in sub-area 7CS given they have been assigned to sub-areas 7CN and 2C to the east of Japan as well as sub-areas 6E and 10E to the west of Japan.


## Hypothesis E Baseline

Y-Stock Baseline E (Matrix Y-BE)

| Age/ Sex | Mon | Sub - Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1W | 1E | 2C | 2R | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6W | 6E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 |
| Juv | J-M | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jun | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 26$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jul | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aug | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sep | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 28$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AdM | J-M | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jun | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 26$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jul | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aug | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sep | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 28$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 28$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AdF | J-M | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 25$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | May | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jun | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 26$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jul | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aug | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sep | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma 28$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Hypothesis E Baseline (contd.)
J-Stock Baseline E (Matrix J-BE)

| Age/ Sex | Mon | Sub - Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1W | 1E | 2C | 2R | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6W | 6 E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 |
| Juv | J-M |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | May |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{2}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | O-D |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |  |
| Ad.M | J-M |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $4 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | May |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $4 \gamma_{2}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.F | J-M |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{10}$ | $\gamma_{10}$ |  |  |
|  | May |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{2}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{11}$ | $2 \gamma_{11}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

P-Stock Baseline E (Matrix P-E)

| Age/ Sex | Mon | Sub - Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1W | 1E | 2C | 2R | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6W | 6 E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE |  |
| Juv | J-M |  |  | $\gamma_{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | May |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Jun |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Jul |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Sep |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | O-D |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.M | J-M |  |  | $\gamma_{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | O-D |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.F | J-M |  |  | $\gamma_{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  | $\gamma_{14}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \gamma_{22}$ |  |  |  |
|  | O-D |  |  | $\gamma_{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

O-Stock Baseline E (Matrix O-E)

| Age/ | Mon | Sub - Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  | 1W | 1E | 2C | 2R | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6W | 6 E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7 E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 |
| Juv | J-M |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 8 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | May |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 8 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jun |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jul |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Aug |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Sep |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $\gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | O-D |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.M | J-M |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $3 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | May |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $6 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jun |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $6 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jul |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $6 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Aug |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $6 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Sep |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{16}$ | $4 \gamma_{17}$ | $4 \gamma_{18}$ | $4 \gamma_{19}$ | $4 \gamma_{20}$ | $\gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $3 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | O-D |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.F | J-M |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ | $2 \gamma_{17}$ | $2 \gamma_{18}$ | $2 \gamma_{19}$ | $2 \gamma_{20}$ |  |  |  | $\gamma_{22}$ | $\gamma_{23}$ | $3 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $4 \gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $9 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $4 \gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $9 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Jul |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $4 \gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $9 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Aug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $4 \gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $9 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $2 \gamma_{16}$ | $\gamma_{17}$ | $\gamma_{18}$ | $\gamma_{19}$ | $\gamma_{20}$ | $2 \gamma_{21}$ |  |  | $2 \gamma_{22}$ | $2 \gamma_{23}$ | $3 \gamma_{24}$ |  |
|  | O-D |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | $\gamma_{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Trial 5

For Trial 5, stock assignment for Hypotheses A and B are based on "stock70" assignment by STRUCTURE in Data_NPM_190226_v3.csv. The number of samples assigned to stock by sub-area is as follows. Table 7a of specifications details the assigned numbers by stock, sub-area, period and sex used to condition the trials.

| Males | $\mathbf{1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 C}$ | $\mathbf{6 E}$ | $\mathbf{7 C N}$ | $\mathbf{7 C S}$ | $\mathbf{7 E}$ | $\mathbf{7 W R}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J-stock | 9 | 30 | 30 | 114 | 482 | 171 | 151 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| O-stock | 1 | 35 | 1 | 27 | 3 | 625 | 308 | 44 | 76 | 223 |
| Unassigned | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 22 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J-stock | 6 | 30 | 43 | 200 | 495 | 118 | 161 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| O-stock | 0 | 33 | 0 | 27 | 5 | 273 | 314 | 5 | 8 | 20 |
| Unassigned | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

Pink highlight: animals of a stock have not been sampled in a sub-area, but are allowed in that sub-area in the mixing matrices.
Green highlight: indicates sub-areas that differ in presence/absence in Trial 5 from the baseline trials.
The distribution of J-stock whales is assumed to extend further in Trial 5 compared to the baseline, and are thus assumed to be found in sub-areas 7WR, 8 and 9 and by default therefore also in sub-area 7E.
Grey highlight: stock has been assigned to a sub-area, but is not modelled in that sub-area in the mixing matrices.
No further extension in the distribution of O-stock whales from that assumed in the baseline is assumed in Trial 5 (e.g. into sub-areas $1 E$ or 6 E ) due to the small assignments of O -stock whales for sub-areas 1 E and 6 E compared to the number of J -stock whales assigned to these sub-areas.

For Trial 5, stock assignment for Hypothesis E is based on "geneland.stock4" assignment by GENELAND in Data_NPM_190226_v3.csv. The number of samples assigned to stock by sub-area is as follows. Table 7a of specifications details the assigned numbers by stock, sub-area, period and sex used to condition the trials.

| Males | $\mathbf{1 0 E}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 E}$ | $\mathbf{2 C}$ | $\mathbf{6 E}$ | $\mathbf{7 C N}$ | $\mathbf{7 C S}$ | $\mathbf{7 E}$ | $\mathbf{7 W R}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J-stock | 8 | 14 | 31 | 96 | 492 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| P-stock | 0 | 40 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 390 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O-stock | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 308 | 91 | 42 | 77 | 217 |
| Unassigned | 0 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 55 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Females |  | 7 | 18 | 44 | 164 | 501 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| J-stock | 0 | 24 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 219 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| P-stock | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 62 | 20 | 5 | 9 | 0 |
| O-stock | 0 | 18 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 77 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unassigned |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |

## Trial 5

O-Stock: as for Baseline (Matrix O-AB, O-E)
J-Stock Trial 5 (Matrix J-A5) Differences from the Baseline trial are highlighted in blue

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Age/ } \\ & \text { Sex } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mon | Sub - Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1W | 1E | 2C | 2R | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6W | 6 E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 |
| Juv | J-M | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | May | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{2}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | O-D | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |  |
| Ad.M | J-M | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $4 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{35}$ | $2 \gamma_{32}$ | $2 \gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | May | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $4 \gamma_{2}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{35}$ | $2 \gamma_{32}$ | $2 \gamma_{33}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{4}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{35}$ | $2 \gamma_{32}$ | $2 \gamma_{33}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.F | J-M | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{35}$ | $2 \gamma_{32}$ | $2 \gamma_{33}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{10}$ | $\gamma_{10}$ |  |  |
|  | May | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{2}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{35}$ | $2 \gamma_{32}$ | $2 \gamma_{33}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{11}$ | $2 \gamma_{11}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{35}$ | $2 \gamma_{32}$ | $2 \gamma_{33}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{35}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

J-Stock Trial 5 (Matrix J-BE5) Differences from the Baseline trial are highlighted in blue

| Age/ MonSex |  | Sub - Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1W | 1E | 2C | 2R | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6W | 6 E | 7CS | 7CN | 7WR | 7 E | 8 | 9 | 9N | 10W | 10E | 11 | 12SW | 12NE | 13 |
| Juv | J-M |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | May |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{2}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | O-D |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |  |
| Ad.M | J-M |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $4 \gamma_{1}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{32}$ | $2 \gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | May |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $4 \gamma_{2}$ | $2 \gamma_{4}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{32}$ | $2 \gamma_{33}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{8}$ | $2 \gamma_{8}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{4}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{32}$ | $2 \gamma_{33}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{9}$ | $2 \gamma_{9}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $4 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | $2 \gamma_{3}$ | $2 \gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ad.F | J-M |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{32}$ | $2 \gamma_{33}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{10}$ | $\gamma_{10}$ |  |  |
|  | May |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $2 \gamma_{2}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{32}$ | $2 \gamma_{33}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{11}$ | $2 \gamma_{11}$ |  |  |
|  | Jun |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{4}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{31}$ | $2 \gamma_{32}$ | $2 \gamma_{33}$ |  | $2 \gamma_{6}$ | $2 \gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Jul |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Aug |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $2 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ | $\gamma_{12}$ | $2 \gamma_{12}$ |  |  |
|  | Sep |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4 \gamma_{29}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  | $\gamma_{6}$ | $\gamma_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | O-D |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | $\gamma_{3}$ | $\gamma_{5}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{31}$ | $\gamma_{32}$ | $\gamma_{33}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix 3

## Calculation of stock mixing proportions, including correction for "missing alleles":

## Unpooled results for sub-area 6W

## C.L. de Moor

This appendix is based on de $\operatorname{Moor}(2011,2014)$ and details the calculation of the stock mixing proportions by month and sex used for conditioning the 2013 Implementation Simulation Trials of western North Pacific common minke whales (Allison et al, 2014).

In calculating the mixing proportions in sub-area 6W, samples representative of 'pure' $Y$-stock and J-stock animals were taken as follows:

| Stock | Location / months to define pure sample | Haplotypes Sample Size | Loci Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y-stock | 5 (all months) | 58 | 5858585858585658585854 |
| J-stock | $6 E$ (all months) | 392 | $392392392392392392392392392392392(392391$ |
|  |  |  | $392392392)$ |

Mixing proportions in sub-area 6W were calculated from 415 samples from bycatch data only.

| Hyp B and E: Proportion of J mixing with $Y$ | Sample Size | Proportion Haplotypes | SE | Sample Size (x11) | Proportion Loci | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan-Mar Males | 83 | 0.555 | 0.142 | 83 with 81 in $11^{\text {th }}$ | 0.745 | 0.050 |
| Apr | 37 | 0.449 | 0.253 | 37 with 36 in $1^{\text {st }}$ | 0.963 | 0.083 |
| May | 41 | 0.749 | 0.243 | 41 with 40 in $8^{\text {th }}$ | 0.926 | 0.062 |
| Jun | 43 | 0.534 | 0.245 | 43 | 0.787 | 0.080 |
| Jul | 21 | 0.830 | 0.38 | 21 | 0.788 | 0.089 |
| Aug | 16 | 1.000 | 0.004 | 16 with 15 in $11^{\text {th }}$ | 0.726 | 0.137 |
| Sep | 20 | 0.533 | 0.335 | 20 with 18 in $11^{\text {th }}$ | 0.475 | 0.107 |
| Oct-Dec | 97 | 0.629 | 0.140 | 94 in $11^{\text {th }}$ | 0.859 | 0.049 |
| Jan-Mar Females | 13 | 0.730 | 0.314 | 13 with 12 in $6^{\text {th }}$ | 0.284 | 0.128 |
| Apr | 3 | 0.002 | 0.139 | 3 | 0.751 | 0.301 |
| May | 7 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 7 | 0.529 | 0.148 |
| Jun | 10 | 0.364 | 0.309 | 10 | 0.583 | 0.167 |
| Jul | 1 | 1.000 | 0.009 | 1 | 0.999 | 0.000 |
| Aug | 4 | 1.000 | 0.024 | 4 | 0.457 | 0.323 |
| Sep | 6 | 0.415 | 0.636 | 6 with 5 in $9^{\text {th }}$ | 0.773 | 0.143 |
| Oct-Dec | 13 | 0.409 | 0.455 | 13 with 12 in $11^{\text {th }}$ | 0.806 | 0.130 |
| Summary: all data | 415 | 0.625 | 0.069 | 415 with 414 in $1^{\text {st }}, 6-$ $9^{\text {th }}$ and 406 in $11^{\text {th }}$ | 0.776 | 0.109 |
| Pooled Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan-Mar M F | 96 | 0.584 | 0.131 | 96 with 95 in $6^{\text {th }}, 94$ in $11^{\text {th }}$ | 0.672 | 0.047 |
| Apr-Jun M F | 141 | 0.496 | 0.126 | 141 with 140 in $1^{\text {st }}, 8^{\text {th }}$ | 0.812 | 0.04 |
| Jul-Aug M F | 42 | 1.000 | 0.004 | 42 with 41 in $11^{\text {th }}$ <br> 136 with 135 in $7^{\text {th }}, 9^{\text {th }}, 130$ | 0.749 | 0.077 |
| Sep-Dec M F | 136 | 0.593 | 0.123 | in $11^{\text {th }}$ | 0.761 | 0.04 |

## Notation:

In most cases samples are obtained from 16 loci. In sub-area 6 W samples from the first 11 loci only were available to be used in the calculation of the mixing proportions, denoted by (x11) in the above table. In some cases there was a missing value in a sample at a particular loci. Thus, for example if the total sample size were 50 , for one of the loci (the $10^{\text {th }}$ ) the sample size is 49 . This is noted by saying e.g. " 50 with 49 in $10^{\text {th } " . ~}$
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## Appendix 4

## Method to derive the adjusted coefficient of variation for zero survey estimates

## AE Punt

Simple case - the data are the number of observed whales and the sampling process is Poisson (for the case of one area):

$$
\begin{equation*}
L n L=\sum_{y}\left(n_{y}^{o b s} \ln \left(\beta_{y} P_{y}\right)-\beta_{y} P_{y}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{y}^{\text {obs }}$ is the observed number of animals during the survey in year $y, P_{y}$ is the true population size in year $y$, and $\beta_{y}$ is the proportion of the area occupied that was sampled. For $\beta_{y}=1$ this collapses to the standard Poisson likelihood.

Now consider the situation in which there is over-dispersion (e.g. clumping), one can account for this by defining an over-dispersed distribution for the data, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L n L->\sum_{y}\left(n_{y}^{o b s} \ell n\left(\beta_{y} P_{y}\right)-\beta_{y} P_{y}\right) / \alpha \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a measure of overdispersion (and would be greater than 1 for over-dispersed sampling). Justin provided the following formula for $\alpha$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\sum_{y^{\prime}} C V\left(P_{y^{\prime}}^{o b s}\right) n_{y^{\prime}}^{o b s} / \sum_{y^{\prime}} 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation is over years for which there is a CV for the abundance estimate and a value for the number of sightings.

To derive 3, one estimator for $\alpha$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\sum_{y} \frac{\operatorname{var}\left(\text { observed }_{y}\right)}{\operatorname{var}\left(\operatorname{expected}_{y}\right)} / \sum_{y} 1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{var}\left(\right.$ observed $\left._{y}\right)=C V^{2}\left(P_{y}\right)\left(n_{y}^{\text {obs }}\right)^{2}$ and $\operatorname{var}\left(\right.$ expected $\left._{y}\right)=n_{y}^{\text {obs }}$ (under the Poisson assumption) so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{var}\left(\text { observed }_{y}\right)}{\operatorname{var}\left(\operatorname{expected}_{y}\right)} \sim \frac{\left(n_{y}^{o b s}\right)^{2} C V^{2}\left(n_{y}^{o b s}\right)}{E\left(n_{y}^{o b s}\right)} \cong \frac{\left(n_{y}^{o b s}\right)^{2} C V^{2}\left(P_{y}\right)}{n_{y}^{o b s}}=n_{y}^{o b s} C V^{2}\left(P_{y}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is close to, but not identical to, 3. An alternative estimate for $\alpha$ would be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\sum_{y} \operatorname{var}\left(\text { observed }_{y}\right)}{\sum_{y} \operatorname{var}\left(\text { expected }_{y}\right)} \cong \frac{\sum_{y}\left(n_{y}^{\text {obs }}\right)^{2} C V^{2}\left(P_{y}\right)}{\sum_{y} n_{y}^{\text {obs }}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 6 would (I suspect) be more robust to odd outlying estimates of CV.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since this Implementation Assessment is developed from the Implementation Simulation Trials framework, we continue to use the testing nomenclature from the trials (e.g. conditioning rather than fitting).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ See IWC, 2020 pp376-381 for details of the data and analyses used in the development of these hypotheses.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Operations preliminarily being considered would be limited 'to outside a certain distance from the coast to minimise catch of J-stock whales' (see IWC, 2020 p387). The 2013 trials were conducted assuming whaling would be outside 10 n.miles.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ From the data file "Data_NPM_190226_v3.csv", based on "stock90" for Hypotheses A\&B and "geneland.stock2" for Hypothesis E, using special permit data only. The months are based on the same month-split used in 2013 for commercial catches. There were no special permit catches in subareas 7CN \& 7CS in Jan-Mar or in sub-area 7CS in Oct-Dec.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ From the data file "Data_NPM_190226_v3.csv", based on "stock90" for Hypotheses A\&B and "geneland.stock2" for stock hypothesis E, using bycatch data only. The months are based on the same month-split used in 2013 for bycatches.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ In the case of sub-area 6 W the actual data is the adjusted bycatch data.
    ${ }^{7}$ Including sub-area 6 W since the best estimate of bycatches in this area is the adjusted figure.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ Excluding zero, minimum and maximum estimates and those assumed to apply to adjacent areas.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ The values are consistent with the results from JARPN. Japanese scientists advised that the above approach is appropriate given the well-known practical difficulties in using earplugs for age determination of North Pacific common minke whales. However, they also noted that technical advances mean that it may be possible to obtain age estimates in the future (IWC, 2014b, p.492).

[^8]:    10 In order to check that the conditioning exercise has been successfully achieved, plots such as those shown in IWC (2003, pp.473-80) will be examined, together with time-trajectories of the fraction of each stock in each sub-area.
    ${ }^{11}$ Survey estimates based on less than $70 \%$ coverage are treated as 'minima' (except in sub-areas where there are no other estimates).

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ In cases when the sample size used to generate the proportion estimates is small and the se's are small (which will overweight such results), the standard error is set to 0.05 .

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ Due to constraint of $\hat{\alpha}^{k} \geq 1$

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ The period $1940-79$ is used in view of a comment by Gong (1982) that, in 1980, Government policy led to a shift to the western sector in order to direct the minke whale fishery away from areas where the (protected) fin whale might also be caught.

