IWC/S15/ASW/11

Quantification of subsistence and cultural need for bowhead whales by Alaska Eskimos: overview

Stephen Braund



Papers submitted to the IWC are produced to advance discussions within that meeting; they may be preliminary or exploratory. It is important that if you wish to cite this paper outside the context of an IWC meeting, you notify the author at least six weeks before it is cited to ensure that it has not been superseded or found to contain errors

Workshop on Aboriginal Subsistence Whaling Maniitsoq, Greenland

16 September 2015

Quantification of Subsistence and Cultural Need for Bowhead Whales by Alaska Eskimos Overview

> Stephen Braund Stephen R. Braund & Associates

Until 1970s, Alaska bowhead hunt regulation-free of IWC

- In mid-1970s, IWC Scientific Committee reported increases in annual landed and struck and lost
- ◆ The estimate of bowhead stock was low (e.g., 1,300)
- Problem: low stock and an expanding hunt
- IWC Scientific Committee highest objective = sustainability
- June 1977 IWC removed bowhead exemption resulting in no legal basis for the hunt
- Hence, the Quota for 1978 season = 0

- Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission (AEWC) formed
 Nine communities
- December 1977 the US reopened the bowhead issue
- US proposed a limited hunt to satisfy the Eskimo's "subsistence and cultural needs"
- US began a domestic science program to manage the bowhead hunt
 - Bowhead census
 - North Slope Borough Iñupiat led and financially supported the science with US Government

- December 1977, IWC reconsidered 0 quota
- ◆ IWC 1978 Whaling Season: Quota = 12 Landed or 18 struck
- "Subsistence and cultural needs" & not bowhead science resulted in a limited quota (Tillman 2008)
- Began to address questions:
 - What is aboriginal whaling?
 - What is subsistence use?
 - What is the basis of subsistence and cultural need?
 - How do you determine that need?

1979 Social Science Panel

- 1979: Panel of social science experts met in Seattle to address Aboriginal/Subsistence Whaling (focused on Northern Alaska
 - 1979: Report of the Cultural Anthropology Panel (IWC/S15/ASW12)
 - 9 panel members; 7 anthropologist; 2 ?
 - Provided definition of subsistence uses adopted by IWC in 2004
 - » 25 years later

• "The complex of whaling and associated activities is perhaps the most important single element in the culture and society of north Alaskan whale hunting communities. It provides a focus for the ordering of social integration, political leadership, ceremonial activity, traditional education, personality values, and Eskimo identity."

1979 Social Science Panel Findings

- "Whaling has retained its unique importance in these communities, despite cultural change during the modern era. <u>Technological shifts or replacements</u> have not altered the intrinsic nature, purpose, meaning, and social-cultural role of subsistence whaling."
- Expansion in whaling activity: revitalized interest in traditional culture among younger Eskimos
- From cultural standpoint, whales are not replaceable by alternative resources
- Compilation of biological data and formulation of bowhead management polices should be undertaken with the direct and formal participation of Eskimo whalers

- 1979: IWC required US to document nutritional, cultural and subsistence needs by Alaska Eskimos for bowhead whales
- 1980: IWC extended this requirement to document need to all member governments with aboriginal subsistence whaling
- 1980 USDOI "Interim Report on Aboriginal/Subsistence Whaling of the Bowhead Whale by Alaska Eskimos"
 - Assessed historical bowhead harvests by community
 - Recommended a method of estimating Eskimo need for bowheads based on cultural requirements and historic catch
 - "traditional" landed tied to present need
- IWC asked for a more thorough investigation of these issues

- 1982-3 Subsistence Study in 9 communities Alaska Consultants & Stephen R. Braund & Assoc. (USDOI)
 - 370 household surveys in nine Alaska bowhead whaling communities
 - What is the importance of bowhead whaling in these communities?
 - Substitute store-bought food for bowhead? No
 - Substitute other subsistence resources for bowhead (beluga, ugruk, ringed seal, walrus, caribou, other)? No
 - Bowhead whale hunt in Northern Alaska culturally important? Yes



SUBSISTENCE STUDY OF ALASKA ESKIMO WHALING VILLAGES

Alaska Consultants, Inc. with Stephen Braund & Associates



1982 Household Survey in 9 Alaska Eskimo Whaling Villages

Sample: 370 Households

	ALAŞKA ESK		AND RELIABILITY WHALING VILLAGES S 982	URVEY	
Village	Alaska Native Population <u>a</u> / 1980	Alaska Na Total Number	tive Households <u>b</u> / 1980 Number Interviewed	Standard _Error_c/ %	Sampling Error d/
Gambell Savoonga Wales Kivalina Point Hope Wainwright Barrow Nuiqsut Kaktovik	425 463 122 237 434 371 1,720 181 148	137 125 41 32 93 82 447 43 34	51 54 30 26 37 40 76 30 26	5.4 5.0 4.6 4.6 5.5 5.0 5.0 4.9	8.9 8.2 7.5 7.5 10.3 9.0 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.0
TOTAL	4,101	1,034	<u>.370</u>		
All Villages				2.0	3.3

1.1

TABLE 131

SHARING OF BOWHEAD WHALE MEAT a/ ALASKA BOWHEAD WHALING VILLAGE RESPONDENTS

Sharing	Percentage Distribution
Share bowhead whale meat <u>b</u> /	97.0
Do not share bowhead whale meat <u>b</u> /	1.6
Don't know	1.4
TOTAL	100.0
(Number of respondents)	(357)

Source: Alaska Consultants, Inc./Stephen Braund & Associates. 1983.

 <u>a</u>/ Question asked if respondent's household had shared whale meat or muktuk with others the last year that his or her village got a whale.
b/ Includes muktuk.

2	•				TABLE 132					
м.	·	EX	TENT OF IN ALAS	TER-VILLAGE BO SKA BOWHEAD W	OWHEAD WHALE MEA HALING VILLACE I	AT SHARING BY VI RESPONDENTS	ILLAGE <u>a</u> /			
Extent of Sharing					Percentage	e Distribution				
	Gambell	Savoonga	Wales	Kivalina	Point Hope	Wainwright	Barrow	Nuiqsut	Kaktovik	All Respondents
This village only	0.0	0.0	10.7	4.0	2.7	2.9	12.9	26.7	0.0	6.5
Other villages only	5.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	8.6	4.2	0.0	0.0	3.4
This village and others	94.1	98.0	89.3	96.0	91.9	88.5	82.9	73.3	100.0	90.1
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	<u>100.0</u>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
(Number of respondents)	(51)	(52)	(28)	(25)	(37)	(35)	(70)	(30)	(26)	(354)

Question refers to extent of inter-village sharing the last year that the respondent's village had got a whale. <u>a</u>/

.

Source: Alaska Consultants, Inc./Stephen Braund & Associates. 1983.

•

.

- 1982-3 Subsistence Study in 9 communities
 - OK, culturally important, but how many whales?
 - Suggestion: One bowhead for nine communities (unrealistic)
- How many are "needed?"
- 1983 Needs Report 1st attempt to use the method tying quantification of need to historic landed
 - Introduced the concept of "Participation"
 - Requested 26 Landed & 35 strikes
- IWC accepted the method and raised questions
- Lack of data

- ♦ Additional research → 1988 Needs Statement
- Landed Quota before 1988 Meeting in New Zealand
 - 1978: 12 Landed
 - 1981-1983: 17 landed in one year
 - 1984-85: 27 Landed in one year (1st Needs Report)
- 1988 Needs Report 41 landed need (Then, IWC granted 44 strikes to land 41 "needed" bowhead whales for 9 communities)

Quantification of Subsistence & Cultural Need for Bowhead Whales by AK Eskimos – 1988

- Purpose: to determine the level of present cultural & subsistence need for bowhead whales by Alaska Eskimos based on:
 - 1. Historic bowhead harvest levels
 - 2. Eskimo populations in 9 communities
- Method based on 1983 methodology that was accepted by IWC in 1986
 - Did NOT include nutritional need
 - Traditional need to maintain a healthy & viable culture
 - Historic per capita harvests multiplied by current population

Researched Historic Bowhead Harvests by Alaska Eskimos

- Archival (Bockstoce, Marquette, Braund) and field research (Braund and Arnold Brower Jr.)
- Bowhead harvest data from 1816
- ◆ 1848 1909: Yankee whaling (19,000 bowheads)
- ◆ 1885-1909: Shore-based whale stations
 - Increased harvest
 - Subsistence mixed with commercial
- Conclusion: Pre-1909 not used to avoid commercial period
- ♦ 1970 → High number of Struck & Lost & Landed
- Base Period for historic bowhead harvest: 1910-1969



Figure 2: Alaska Eskimo Population Living in Whaling Villages, 1900-2020



Human
Population
Model

• Dr. Jack Kruse UAA, ISER

Source: Stephen R. Braund & Associates, 1988.

Figure 3: Excerpt from Appendix 1 - Data on Shore-Based Bowhead Whaling at Sites in Alaska

			mber of w			a second s
Site	No.	Struck		Killed		
and	of	and		but	Total	Sources
Year	Crews	Lost	Landed		Killed	(** indicates source is in the)
						(Bibliography of Unpublished Works)
Wainwa	right (C	ontinue	d)			
1939			2		,	Bround (100%)
1940			1		1	Braund (1987g Fieldwork - Weinwright)**
1940			0*			Braund (1987g Fieldwork - Wainwright)** Eskimo (1941)
1941	1		1			
1942			1*		1*	Alaska Sportsman (1941); Milan (1977)**; Braund (1987g Fieldwork - Wainwright)**
1942			2			(
1943	1		1			Braund (1987g Fieldwork - Wainwright)**
1944	3		2		-	Durham (1979c); Foor (1945); Braund (1987g Fieldwork - Wainwright)**
1945	3		6			(1903) 4 Wilson (1944)**
1946	6		1			Milan (1977)**
1947	3		2		1	Minner (1948); Milan (1977)**
1948	6		-		2	Milan (1977)**; Bodfish & Minner (1947); Nelson (1981)
1949			2			Alther (1948)
1950			2			Milan (1977)**
1952			2		2	Maher & Willmovsky (1963)
1953			_			Bee & Hall (1956)
1954			1		1	Braund (1987g Fieldwork - Wainwright)**
1955	3	1	1		1	Sonnenfeld (1956)**
1956	2	1	1			Milan (1964)
1957			2			Taber (1958)
1958			0			Milan (1977)**
1959			0			Milan (1977)**
1960			0		0	Milan (1977)**
1961	5		0		0	Milan (1977)**
1962	3		1		1	Rice (1964)**; Rice (1979)**
1963			1			Rice (1964)**
1964	3		2		2	Milan (1977)**; Nelson (1981)
	2	1	1		1	Milan (1977)**; Nelson (1965)**
1965			2		2	Nelson (1965)**
1966	1		1			Nelson (1969); Milan (1977)**
1967			0		0 1	Milan (1977)**
1968	5		2			411an (1977)**
1969			4			Ourham (1979c)
1970	4	30	0			
						Durham (1979c); Durham (1979a); McVay (1973); NARL (1972a)**

• 16 Page **Appendix** that catalogs all known shorebased landed **bowheads** from 1816 to 1987

List of Alaska Sites for Shore-Based Whaling Data

Saint Lawrence Island Gambell Savoonga	Point Belcher & Point Franklin	Cape Lisburne
Wales	Barrow	Cape Halkett
Kivalina	Nuiqsut	Cross Is/Prudhoe Bay
Point Hope	Kaktovik, Barter Is	Ogotoruk Creek
Point Lay	King Island	Shaktoolik
Icy Cape	Little Diomede Island	Unlocated
Wainwright	Kotzebue Sound	



Table 4: List of Consolidated Alaska Sites for Subsistence Whaling Data

Nine Current Whaling Villages	+	Historic Whaling Sites
Gambell		
Savoonga		
Wales		
Kivalina		Kotzebue Sound
Point Hope		Cape Lisburne and Ogotoruk Creek
Wainwright		Icy Cape, Point Belcher and Point Franklin
Barrow		Cape Halkett and Cross Island/Prudhoe Bay
Nuiqsut		
Kaktovik (Barter Island)		
Sites not included in the analysis		
Point Lay		4

Point Lay

King Island

Little Diomede Island

Shaktoolik

Unlocated

Figure 4: Number of Bowhead Landed by Year by the Alaska Eskimo, 1900-1987



Source: Stephen R. Braund & Associates, 1988.

Number of Bowhead Landed by Year: 1900 - 1986

> Needs Assessment Base Period: 1910 – 1969

Conservative: Depleted whale population Low Eskimo population 24

Figure 5: Number of Bowhead Landed by the Barrow, Alaska Eskimo, 1910-1969



Source: Stephen R. Braund & Associates, 1988.

Barrow: 1910-1969 High year to year variability in Barrow landed bowheads 1910 – 1969. **Barrow landed data** available for all 60 years of base period. No evidence variability due to lack of effort. Environmental Conditions.

Figure 6: Barrow, Alaska Eskimo Population, 1910-1969



Source: Stephen R. Braund & Associates, 1988.

Barrow Population, 1910-1969

Low population between 1900 and 1940

Table 5: Example from Appendix 2, Whale Harvest and Human Population Data for Barrow, Alaska, 1910-1969

Village Year Crews Landed Population Barrow 1910 55 5 571 1911 20 1 564 1912 9 9 551 1913 2 4 520 1914 1 5 507 1915 . 7 494 1916 4 5 481 1917 9 7 454 1918 14 7 442 1919 2 3 416 1920 . 11 409 1921 8 1 410 1922 2 6 396 1923 1 2 394 1924 . 5 380 1925 5 19 366 1926 . 15 335 1930 1 7 337 1931 2 12			Number	<u>Number</u> Bowhead	Eskimo
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>Village</u>	Year	Crews		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Barrow				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			55	5	571
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			20	1	564
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				4	520
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1	5	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				7	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				5	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				7	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				/	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			5		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1929			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1931			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					342
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					340
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1		349
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					343
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-	9	352
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			10		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			•		
1943 7 6 489 1944 . 3 537 1945 2 2 588 1946 1 9 660 1947 . 4 716 1948 . 5 759 1949 . 0 849 1950 3 8 929 1951 7 9 956 1952 3 0 982			:	-	
1944 . 3 537 1945 2 2 588 1946 1 9 660 1947 . 4 716 1948 . 5 759 1949 . 0 849 1950 3 8 929 1951 7 9 956 1952 3 0 982					
1945 2 588 1946 1 9 660 1947 . 4 716 1948 . 5 759 1949 . 0 849 1950 3 8 929 1951 7 9 956 1952 3 0 982					
1946 1 9 660 1947 . 4 716 1948 . 5 759 1949 . 0 849 1950 3 8 929 1951 7 9 956 1952 3 0 982					
1947 . 4 716 1948 . 5 759 1949 . 0 849 1950 3 8 929 1951 7 9 956 1952 3 0 982					
1948 5 759 1949 0 849 1950 3 8 929 1951 7 9 956 1952 3 0 982					
1949 . 0 849 1950 3 8 929 1951 7 9 956 1952 3 0 982					
195038929195179956195230982					
1951 7 9 956 1952 3 0 982			3		
1952 3 0 982			7		
				19	

To calculate per capita need, matched bowheads landed and Eskimo population for each community for each year for which there were bowhead landed

<u>Village</u> Barrow	<u>Year</u>	<u>Number</u> Crews	<u>Number</u> <u>Bowhead</u> <u>Landed</u>	<u>Eskimo</u> Population
	1954		-	20/2
	1955		1	1041
	1955	10	19	1097
		•	2	1158
	1957	9	0	1186
	1958	10	0	1248
	1959	1	0	1281
	1960	18	15	1295
	1961	18	6	1369
	1962	17	5	1414
	1963	21	5	1525
	1964	7	11	1571,
	1965	19	4	1614
	1966	15	, 7	1674
	1967	7	3	1731
	1968	10	10	
	1969	11		1794
	£707	T 1 ,	11	1825
Totals for Barrow	3	79 4	44687	
Bowhead Landed per Capita	.0084			
Number of Observations		60		

Table 5: Example from Appendix 2, Whale Harvest and Human Population Data for Barrow, Alaska, 1910-1969 (Cont.)

. - No data available.

28

3

Table 6: Alaska Eskimo Whaling Villages' Subsistence and Cultural Need For Landed Bowhead Whales, 1988.\1

Village	Number of Observations\2	Total Eskimo Population for ea. yr. of a Bowhead Observation\3	Bowheads Landed 1910-1969\4	Mean Landed Per Capita 1910-1969\5	1983-87 Eskimo Population\6	1987 Bowhead Need (Landed)\7	1987 Need (Landed) (Rounded)\8
Gambell	39	11,883	68	0.005722	495	2.8	3
Savoonga \9	9 0			0.005722	485	2.8	-
Wales	42	6,907	5	0.000724	154	0.1	3
Kivalina	7	926	3	0.003240	275	0.9	1
Point Hope	50	12,467	209	0.016764	534	9.0	1
Wainwright	49	10,723	108	0.010072	445		9
Barrow	60	44,687	379	0.008481	1,823	4.5 15.5	5
Nuiqsut \9	. 0			0.008481	227	1.9	16
Kaktovik	3	327	3	0.009174	154	1.9	2 1
Totals	250	87,920	775		4,592	38.8	41
Region\10	250	87,920	775	0.008815	4,592	40.5	41

- \1 Subsistence and cultural need is based on historic per capita harvest per community multiplied by present village population.
- 12 The number of observations represents the number of years for which data on landed whales were available for each community (See Appendices 1 and 2).
- \3 Total Eskimo population represents the sum of the Eskimo population for each year there was an observation of a landed bowhead whale.
- 14 Represents the sum of the observed bowheads landed between 1910 and 1969.
- 15 The mean per capita landed whales is based on the total number of whales landed between 1910 and 1969 for each community divided by the sum of the total Eskimo population for each village for each year landed whale data existed between 1910 and 1969 (See Appendices 1 and 2). The sum of the total Eskimo population was calculated by adding the population estimates for each village for each year that there was a landed whale observation. For example, Barrow's 379 landed whales from 1910-1969 was divided by the total Eskimo population sum of 44,687 for this 60 year period (i.e., 379 divided by 44,687 = .008481).
- \6 See Table 7 for the source of Eskimo population data for each community.
- 17 The number of bowheads needed is derived by multiplying the mean per capita landed whales (1910-1969) by the most current Eskimo population figure available for each community.
- \8 The number of whales needed is rounded to the nearest whole number unless the product was less than .5; such cases were rounded up to one.
- 19 Because there are no landed bowhead data for neither Nuiqsut nor Savoonga between 1910-1969, the mean per capita landed whales for Gambell was used for Savoonga and the mean for Barrow was used for Nuiqsut.
- \10 The mean per capita landed whales for the region represents the total number of whales landed for all communities between 1910 and 1969 divided by the sum of the total Eskimo population for all communities for each year landed whale data existed bewteeen 1910 and 1969 (i.e., 775 whales divided by 87,920 = .008815).

1988 Need = 41 landed

9 communities

Note: Savoonga from Gambell; Nuiqsut from Barrow



Nine Alaska Bowhead Communities to 10

- Little Diomede Island not included in 1980, 1983, or 1988 analyses or 1982-83 household survey
- Remote and isolated; poor communication
- Boat or plane (no more); helicopter (unreliable service)
- No data; little known
- When community learned about a quota, requested to be included
- Accepted into AEWC in 1988
- Contracted to prepare Little Diomede Needs Statement
 - Literature & archive review; fieldwork

Nine Alaska Bowhead Communities to 10

- ◆ Braund to Little Diomede in March 1991 embarrassed
- A "rock" in Bering Strait
- ◆ To survive & flourish on that island incredible
- Rely on marine resources that swim by
- Heard yesterday Human Rights:
 - 1. The right to self determination
 - 2. The right to land, territory, resources
 - 3. Cultural rights
- This was common sense to me in 1991
- Presented findings to at IWC in Glasglow










10 Alaska Bowhead Communities to 11

- Point Lay 11th AEWC Whaling Community in Alaska
- Conducted literature, archival, and field research in 2007
- Funded by the North Slope Borough
- Prepared the Point Lay Subsistence and Cultural Needs Study in March 2008
- Point Lay accepted into AEWC and given quota from existing quota

Table 1: Eleven Alaska Eskimo Whaling Villages' Subsistence & Cultural Need For Landed Bowhead Whales, 2010¹

		Total Eskimo					
		Population	Number of	Mean		2010	
		for ea. yr. of	Bowheads	Landed Per	2010 Alaska	Bowhead	2010 Need
	Number of		Landed 1910-			Need	(Landed)
Community	Observations ²	Observation ³	1969 ⁴	1969 ^s	Population ⁶	(Landed) ⁷	Rounded ⁸
Gambell	39	11,883	68	0.005722	654	3.7	4
Savoonga ⁹	0			0.005722	637	3.6	4
Wales	42	6,907	5	0.000724	136	0.1	1
Diomede ¹⁰	30	3,250	.11	0.003678	110	0.4	1
Kivalina	7	926	3	0.003240	366	1.2	1
Point Hope	50	12,467	209	0.016764	629	10.5	11
Point Lay	34	2,080	8	0.003846	168	0.6	1
Wainwright	49	10,723	108	0.010072	510	5.1	5
Barrow	60	44,687	379	0.008481	2889	24.5	25
Nuiqsut ⁹	0			0.008481	360	3.1	3
Kaktovik	3	327	3	0.009174	215	<u>2.0</u>	2
Totals	314	93,250	794		6,674	54.9	2 58
	1						
Region ¹¹	314	93,250	794	0.008515	6,674	56.8	57

Subsistence and cultural need is based on historic per capita harvest per community multiplied by the 2010 Alaska Native population of each community.

- ² The number of observations represents the number of years for which data on landed whales were available for each community (See Appendices 1 & 2 of Braund, Stoker & Kruse 1988, Table 1 of Stephen R. Braund & Assoc. 1991, and Table 17 of Stephen R. Braund & Assoc. 2008).
- ³ Total Eskimo population represents the sum of the Eskimo population for each year there was an observation of a landed bowhead whale (only includes the 1910-1969 "Base Period;" see Braund, Stoker & Kruse 1988).
- ⁴ Number of bowheads landed represents the sum of the observed bowheads landed between 1910 and 1969.
- ⁵ The mean landed bowhead whales per capita is based on the total number of whales landed between 1910 and 1969 for each community divided by the sum of the total Eskimo population for each village for each year landed whale data existed between 1910 and 1969 (See Appendices 1 & 2 in Braund, Stoker & Kruse 1988, Tables 1 and 3 in Stephen R. Braund & Assoc. 1991, and Tables 2 and 17 in Stephen R. Braund & Assoc. 2008). The sum of the total Eskimo population was calculated by adding the Population estimates for each community for each year that there was a

landed whale observation. For example, Barrow's 379 landed whales from 1910-1969 was divided by the total Eskimo population sum of 44,687 for this 60 Year period (i.e., 379 divided by 44,687 = .008481).

- ⁶ 2010 Alaska Native population data for each community are from the 2010 U. S. Census. They represent the category "American Indian or Alaska Native alone or in combination with one or more other races."
- ⁷ The number of bowheads needed is derived by multiplying the mean per capita landed whales (1910-1969) by the

2010 Alaska Native population for each community. The true column total of 54.9 is shown and is less than the sum of its parts because of their being rounded up.

- ⁸ The number of bowhead whales needed per individual community is rounded to the nearest whole number unless the product was less than .5; such cases were rounded up to one.
- ⁹ Because there are no landed bowhead data for either Savoonga or Nuiqsut between 1910-1969, the mean per capita landed whales for Gambell was used for Savoonga and the mean for Barrow was used for Nuiqsut.
- ¹⁰ Due to uncertainties in the landed whale data for Little Diomede Island, four different calculations of subsistence and cultural need, ranging from .4 to 1.0 bowheads, were presented (see Table 4 Stephen R. Braund & Assoc. 1991). The Little Diomede mean landed whale per capita (1910-1969) in this table represents the mean of these four calculations.
- ¹¹ The mean per capita landed whales for the region represents the total number of whales landed for all 11 communities between 1910 and 1969 divided by the sum of the total Native population for all communities for each year landed whale data existed between 1910 and 1969 (i.e., 794 whales divided by 93,250 = .008515).

2010 Need = 57 landed

 \bigcirc

 \mathbf{O}

11 communities

Table 6: Alaska Eskimo Whaling Villages' Subsistence and Cultural Need For Landed Bowhead Whales, 1988.\1

		Total Eskimo					
Village	Number of Observations\2	Population for ea. yr. of a Bowhead Observation\3	Bowheads Landed 1910-1969\4	Mean Landed Per Capita 1910-1969\5	1983-87 Eskimo Population\6	1987 Bowhead Need (Landed)\7	1987 Need (Landed) (Rounded)\8
Gambell	39	11,883	68	0.005722	495	2.8	3
Savoonga \9	9 0			0.005722	485	2.8	3
Wales	42	6,907	5	0.000724	154	0.1	1
Kivalina Deine W	7	926	3	0.003240	275	0.9	1
Point Hope	50	12,467	209	0.016764	534	9.0	- 9
Wainwright Barrow	49	10,723	108	0.010072	445	4.5	5
Nuiqsut \9	60	44,687	379	0.008481	1,823	15.5	16
Kaktovik	03	 327		0.008481	227	1.9	2
		527	3	0.009174	154	1.4	1
Totals	250	87,920	775		4,592	 38.8	 41
Region\10	250	87,920	775	0.008815	4,592	40.5	41

1988:
41 landed
4,592 persons
in 9
communities

Table 1: Eleven Alaska Eskimo Whaling Villages' Subsistence & Cultural Need For Landed Bowhead Whales, 2010¹

Community	Number of Observations ²	Total Eskimo Population for ea. yr. of a Bowhead Observation ³	Number of	Mean Landed Per Capita 1910- 1969 ⁵	Native	2010 Bowhead Need	2010 Need (Landed)
Gambell	39	1			Population ⁶	(Landed) ⁷	Rounded ⁸
Savoonga ⁹	59	11,883	68	1	654	3.7	4
Wales	10	6 007		0.005722	637	3.6	4
	42	6,907	2	0.000724	136	1	1
Diomede ¹⁰	30	3,250	.11	0.003678	110	0.4	1
Kivalina	7	926	3	0.003240	366	1.2	1
Point Hope	50	12,467	209	0.016764	629	10.5	11
Point Lay	34	2,080	8	0.003846	168	0.6	1
Wainwright	49	10,723	108	0.010072	510	5.1	5
Barrow	60	44,687	379	0.008481	2889	24.5	25
Nuiqsut ⁹	0			0.008481	360	3.1	3
Kaktovik	3	<u>327</u>	3	0.009174	215	<u>2.0</u>	2
Totals	314	93,250	<u>3</u> 794		6,674	54.9	<u>2</u> 58
Region ¹¹	314	93,250	794	0.008515	6,674	56.8	57

 2010:
57 landed
6,674 persons in 11
communities Table 1: Eleven Alaska Eskimo Whaling Villages' Subsistence & Cultural Need For Landed Bowhead Whales, 2010¹

Community	Number of Observations ²	Total Eskimo Population for ea. yr. of a Bowhead Observation ³	Number of Bowheads Landed 1910- 1969 ⁴	Mean Landed Per Capita 1910- 1969 ⁵	2010 Alaska Native Population ⁶	2010 Bowhead Need (Landed) ⁷	2010 Need (Landed) Rounded ⁸
Gambell	39	11,883				3.7	4
Savoonga ⁹	0			0.005722	637	3.6	4
Wales	42	6,907	5	0.000724	136	0.1	1
Diomede ¹⁰	30	3,250	.11	0.003678	110	0.4	1
Kivalina	7	926	3	0.003240	366	1.2	1
Point Hope	50	12,467	209	0.016764	629	10.5	11
Point Lay	34	2,080	8	0.003846	168	0.6	I
Wainwright	49	10,723	108	0.010072	510	5.1	5
Barrow	60	44,687	379	0.008481	2889	24.5	25
Nuiqsut ⁹	0			0.008481	360	3.1	3
Kaktovik	3	<u>327</u>	<u>3</u>	0.009174	<u>215</u>	<u>2.0</u>	2
Totals	314	93,250	794		6,674	54.9	2 58
Region ¹¹	314	93,250	794	0.008515	6,674	56.8	57

Cash and Subsistence in Alaska

- Rural Alaskan communities characterized as "mixed-cash subsistence economy"
- Jobs supplies money to support subsistence activities
- Often, those with money support hunters who provide subsistence food for others in community
- Cash is a means to support subsistence harvesting
- Capital costs (boats, motors, snow machines, rifles) and expenses (gas, ammunition, food, repairs)

"30-70" Rule in Rural Alaska Subsistence

- In many rural Alaska communities, 30% of households generate 70% or more of subsistence harvest
- ◆ The "30-70" rule (Wolfe 1987)
- In Wales, 31% (11) of sampled households provided 85% of total community harvest
- Four of 11 households participated in bowhead harvest in study year
- Removing bowhead, 10 high harvesting households accounted for 83% of total harvest

Cash and Subsistence in Alaska

Often the higher the income – greater the harvest



Proposed Recommendation #1

- Quota based on three elements:
- 1. Bowhead population sustainable; enough whales for a harvest?
- 2. Document Social and Cultural Importance
- 3. Quantify "Need"
 - Periodically based on new information
- Recommendation: No. 2 above Documentation of Social and Cultural Importance is <u>complete</u> for the five current aboriginal subsistence whale fisheries (West Greenland, Chukotka, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, Makah, Alaska Eskimos)
- Respondent Burden

Proposed Recommendation #2

- Changes in technology associated with whaling activities do not alter the intrinsic nature, purpose, meaning, and sociocultural role of subsistence whaling
- Improved technology results in efficiency, safety, and faster time to death
- Penthrite bombs, snow machines, CB radios/cell phones, GPS instruments, aluminum boats, outboard motors, plastic floats, nylon rope do not change the significance or essence of subsistence whaling
- Recommendation: Finding from this workshop that technological changes in hunting methods do not compromise the integrity of traditional subsistence whaling activities

Quyanaq

Questions?