Report of the Workshop of the IWC Strandings Initiative Strandings Expert Panel

Centro Culturale Don Orione Artigianelli, Venice, Italy, 8-10 May 2023

CONTENTS

Report of the Workshop of the IWC Strandings Initiative Strandings Expert Panel
CONTENTS
SUMMARY
RECOMMENDATIONS
INTRODUCTION
Background to the Strandings Expert Panel4
Workshop Aims & Objectives4
PRESENTATIONS
Introduction7
Response to Mass Stranding Events in Tasmania - Dr Kris Carlyon7
Global Strandings Network Update - Lauri Leach8
Standardising data collected by different organisations - Andre Barreto
Strandings data recording portal - Carlos Sacristan9
The value of even limited investigations of stranding events in remote areas - Kristi West9
Strandings response in UAE- Tres Clark9
Capacity building in South Africa: Concept proposal and discussion - Stephanie Plön10
DISCUSSIONS AND BREAK OUT SESSIONS
Breakout Session – Identifying a Framework for Future Emergency Responses Using Real Examples
Breakout Session – Emergency Response14
Discussion: Tools for capacity building:16
Discussion: Methods to streamline IWC data reporting, validation and curation16
CONCLUSIONS
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
Annex A List of Participants
Annex A List of Participants

SUMMARY

The Workshop was held in the Centro Culturale Don Orione Artigianelli, Venice, Italy from 8 to 10 May 2023. There were 22 participants from 14 different countries (Annex A). Participants included Strandings Expert Panel (SEP) members from a wide range of disciplines including national authorities from IWC member countries; veterinarians and veterinary pathologists; animal welfare specialists; biologists and academics working on aspects of cetacean welfare; and experts from animal welfare organisations alongside invited participants. The primary objectives of the Workshop were to agree on a strategy to implement the IWC SEP workplan and allow an exchange of ideas to support the work of the SEP. It was hoped that key tasks would be defined and allocated to enable SEP to move forward with the delivery of two priority areas for strandings response: emergency response and capacity building. A number of recommendations were agreed during the Workshop.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The workshop recommended that:	Action By:	Timescale
The Strandings Expert Panel produce a new workplan for 2025-2028 to present at SC69B with full costings and funding proposals.	Strandings Expert Panel	By SC69B (April 2024)
The Strandings Coordinator establishes and coordinators SEP working groups to undertake tasks under the following topics:	Strandings Coordinator	End September 2023
 Emergency Response - define minimum standards for stranding responses and look at producing/sourcing best practice guidelines/protocols and resources in a variety of languages. Capacity Building – produce a standardised modular training package covering all aspects of capacity building. Databases etc – work with the IWC Data Manager to explore options and move forward on a global strandings database. Samples/shipment – investigate methods for shipping samples and develop a basic kit for sample collection. Infectious diseases – create closer links with CDOC. 		
The Chair of SEP and the Strandings Coordinator work with SEP members to produce an internal Google document or similar resource outlining:	SEP Chair / Strandings Coordinator / SEP	End 2023
 Where SEP members are Skill sets Contacts/1st collaborators Laboratory list Sample archive information 		
The SEP should produce information sheets and infographics for use with members of public and in local communities as educational tools following strandings events	Strandings coordinator / SEP Emergency Response WG	September 2024
The Strandings Coordinator works with SEP members to identify existing resources and creates a linked list to be shared on the Global Strandings Network website including laboratories, national reference labs, veterinary schools etc.	Strandings Coordinator / SEP / Global Strandings Network	End 2023

Table 1 Table of Recommendations

The workshop recommended that:	Action By:	Timescale
Strandings Coordinator working closely with GSN contacts to ensure links are	Strandings Coordinator / SEP / Secretariat	By SC69B (Apri 2024)
that the SEP considers creating a set of information sheets on the data and sample required during strandings events, including the type and quantity of sample or data, storage requirements and options for downstream analysis.	es SEP Samples WG	
The SEP investigates the possibility of setting up a global index of archived sample This might comprise high-level metadata of strandings networks archived materia which may be accessible for research, especially infectious disease.		
The SEP recognizes the importance of local stranding networks contributions to understanding the distribution and incidence of many diseases of concern, and th potential to create/supply samples to regional tissue archives. Thus, the SEP encourages governments to support the creation of tissue archives where these d not exist and gives their support where they do.		
Closer links are forged between CDOC and SEP.	SEP Samples WG / CDOC	
The SEP investigates mechanisms to facilitate cross-border shipping of samples fo diagnostic/research purposes and agree a basic sampling kit to be circulated.	r SEP Samples WG	
The SEP will scope developing a generic strandings manual to include 'beach friendly' 1-2 page fact sheets and infographics to help responders who currently have scant training or resources. This should include guidance on event characterisation and resources and be available in different languages.	Strandings coordinator / SEP Emergency	September 2024
The SEP develops an emergency response prioritisation matrix, checklist and even characterisation form.	Response WG at SEP Emergency Response WG	By SC69B
The Strandings Steering Group revisits the Terms of Reference for SEP highlighting the process for approving new SEP members to address current geographical/knowledge gaps and encourages the recruitment of new members.		End January 2024
Funding ideas are collated by the Strandings Coordinator from SEP members. The Strandings Coordinator will work with the Strandings Steering Group to develop funding strategy for future work.	Strandings Coordinator / SSG / SEP	By SC69B
The Strandings Coordinator and Chair of SEP work with the Secretariat to establisl an efficient and timely emergency response funding process to enable funds to be drawn down rapidly following sign off by nominated SEP members.	-	By SC69B
The SEP recognise that capacity building initiatives should wherever possible be embedded as part of a long-term programme of support rather than one-off even	Strandings	Ongoing
The SEP works closely with the Ship Strikes and Strandings Database Manager to ensure a global strandings database meets the needs of users (i.e highlight data gaps so that capacity building work can be concentrated in areas where it is most needed). This includes working to facilitate the harmonisation and exchange of data.	SEP Database WG / Data Manager	
IWC gives continued support for the Ship Strikes and Strandings Database manage post to ensure development and curation of a strandings database.	er IWC	Ongoing

INTRODUCTION

Brownlow opened the workshop by welcoming all participants. He acknowledged that this was the first time that the International Whaling Commission's (IWC) Strandings Expert Panel (SEP) was meeting in person and looked forward to a proactive and wide-ranging discussion on key topics from the SEP four year plan. He confirmed that there were some big decisions to be made during the workshop regarding emergency response and capacity building. The draft agenda was adopted (see Annex B).

Background to the Strandings Expert Panel

Porter gave an introduction into the IWC and its structure (Annex C) highlighting the differences between the different committees, sub-committees, membership and role of the Bureau as running IWC when the Commission is not meeting. She went on to explain the difference between Resolutions which come from Commission versus Recommendations which can be set by Committees, Sub-committees, Working Groups, Workshops etc. Porter reminded everyone that we need approval from Commission to do anything and that we need to focus on Resolutions coming from Commission.

Neave-Webb reported that SEP has a requirement to report regular updates to both the Whale Killing Methods and Welfare Issues Working Group (WKM&WI) and the Environmental Concerns (E) subcommittee of the Scientific Committee (SC) as well as to the Commission. She confirmed that the Strandings Initiative (SI) currently receives funds from WKM&WI through the Conservation Committee's (CC) Voluntary Conservation Fund (VCF).

Porter agreed that the SI is a very active initiative through SEP, but there is a strong need to maintain core funds. Mattila highlighted the marine plastic resolution which is important for SI. He explained that what he did with the entanglement initiative was to use any and all recommendations to seek external funding. However, he reminded all that resolutions are stronger as the SI could then say that "all 89 countries have agreed to this".

Workshop Aims & Objectives

Brownlow gave an overview of the workshop's overall aim which was to agree on a strategy to implement the IWC SEP workplan and allow an exchange of ideas to support the work of the SEP. It was hoped that key tasks would be defined and allocated to enable SEP to move forward with the delivery of two priority areas for strandings response: emergency response and capacity building. The workshop was a mix of plenary presentations, discussion sessions and smaller working groups.

A list of participants is provided as Annex A. All participants had the opportunity to introduce themselves and highlight their key interests and specialisms. Following this, all participants were asked via Mentimeter to confirm what they wanted to get out of the workshop and what was the one thing they most wanted to discuss during the workshop.



regionally/globally and can leverage with other

How to give diagnosis to stranded animals

and take care of live

ones

and best practices to promote growth of stranding response globally

Determine the best

way to present

minimum standards

The strategy to have the new workplan for

workshop?

Plan for Capacity

Building

What one thing do you most want to see us cover during the

Improved data collection, consolidation and dissemination to inform and refine response

Plan for stranding data sharing as IWC has staff dedicated to data management so we need to give clear recommendations on what stranding data

can be included

Simple communication strategy to convey the importance of addressing strandings to our governments based on public health

efforts

Common/accepted strandings protocols

Agreement on minimum stranding data collection

Harmonised protocols

Understanding of role IWC plays in global

strandings and how to

utilise it in our own areas

PRESENTATIONS

Introduction

Presentations were given covering the two main themes of the workshop: Emergency Response and Capacity Building.

Response to Mass Stranding Events in Tasmania - Dr Kris Carlyon

Carlyon presented a comprehensive insight into the two large mass stranding events (MSE) of longfinned pilot whale (*Globicephala melas*) which took place in Tasmania in 2020 and the presentation covered a brief summary of Tasmania as a global strandings hotspot and explained that strandings response is covered by a marine conservation program (monitoring and management of marine mammals and seabirds) and is supported on the ground by the Tasmania Parks & Wildlife department. A big part of the role is incident preparedness and response. There are roughly 20 strandings per year, dominated by 4 species and no evidence of increasing trend although appears to be some periodicity. Between 2012-2019, there were no large-scale mass strandings (a mass stranding is defined as 2 or more individuals, excluding a cow/calf pair, and a large MSE defined as greater than 10 animals).

CASE STUDY – Macquarie Harbour, 21 Sept 2020

Carlyon described the stranding of around 470 pilot whales in and around the Macquarie Harbour area and how the response was delivered. For the first time, aquaculture industry vessels were utilised to transport live animals offshore for release. This incident was the first time the new protocols were used, and they received good feedback for being clear and concise. New Tasmanian euthanasia procedures, based in part on the IWC euthanasia guidelines, were produced and deployed successfully. Disposal of carcasses was a major consideration, given the stranding comprised over 300 carcases in an enclosed waterway. After consultation with the aquaculture industry, and informed by oceanographic drift models, the animals were disposed offshore using aquaculture boats towing up to 100 animals at a time.

In conclusion, Carlyon highlighted this was an unprecedented situation in relation to the number of animals involved, the technical aspects of the rescue and carcass disposal. The primary response lasted three weeks and industry involvement was essential. Approximately 150 responders from multiple agencies where involved. It was considered a successful response effort. A second mass stranding of around 200 long-finned pilot whale in the same location on 21st September 2022 used techniques which were successful in the 2020 mass stranding. The lessons learnt in 2020 were invaluable (staging area, industry assistant, vessel transport, community engagement, manager familiarity etc) and the response saw the successful integration of new techniques.

Recommendations made to Tasmanian government following the incidents included:

- updating, finalising and disseminating the Cetacean Incident Manual incorporating key learning from the event.
- Review and update stranding and training priorities and course content with focus on agency staff.
- Create Cetacean incident response plan specific to Macquarie Head.
- Develop MOUs with relevant external parties that provide additional capacity, expertise, assets etc.
- Review state-wide inventory of equipment and distribute in key strategic areas.
- Manual revised to deal with cultural concerns.

Following the presentation, a discussion was held around community involvement. Carlyon confirmed this was important to do and that they are planning some information boards to highlight that it will happen again. Counselling was made available for volunteers, but this was extended to some services

for the community following the 2022 stranding. It was concluded that it is hugely important to think about this as the emotional/trauma toll can be high on the community as well as the volunteers.

Attention: SEP

The workshop **recommended** that the SEP produce information sheets and infographics for use with members of public and in local communities as educational tools during and following strandings events

Global Strandings Network Update - Lauri Leach

Leach presented on the Global Strandings Network (GSN) including its formation at the World Marine Mammal Conference in Barcelona in 2019. Leach explained it was formed to ensure consistent, highquality response to stranded marine mammals globally and support conservation efforts for species under threat of extinction with a bottom-up approach to sharing resources and increasing capacity and collaborations.

Leach gave a walk-through of the GSN website which includes a shortlist of regional and national networks, membership list, protocols and publications, as well as a password protected member resources section which is not populated at present. The need for 'how to' videos and training materials in multiple languages was highlighted which may have an associated app for use in the field and easy access to materials for beginners.

Brownlow questioned how this relates to IWC and how we need to move it forward as currently we are working in parallel although there are lots of touching points. A discussion was held on how this could be managed going forward in terms of IWC using the GSN website as a resource hub with the public-facing aspect of the SEP digital resources housed on the GSN website with a view to further conversations around this topic.

Attention: SEP, Strandings Coordinator, Global Strandings Network

The workshop

- 1) **recommended** that the Strandings Coordinator works with SEP members to identify and collate existing capacity and resources within the IWC SEP. This information could be linked to the Global Strandings Network website and include resources and contacts useful for strandings response, eg laboratories, national reference labs, veterinary schools etc.
- 2) **recommended** that the SEP to use the GSN as repository for most public facing resources with the Strandings Coordinator working closely with GSN contacts to ensure links are built into IWC/GSN website pages. The Strandings Coordinator will work with SEP and the Secretariat to refresh and revise the Strandings Initiative webpages on the IWC website.

Standardising data collected by different organisations - Andre Barreto

Barreto gave a detailed presentation on data integration projects and the need integrate dispersed data across different repositories highlighting the various social and technical operational difficulties and the requirement for data harmonisation. He emphasised that good metadata allows data sharing and re-use and avoids the increase in data entropy. Using the SIMBA, Barreto showed what a good database should look like, but highlighted that there would need to be someone dedicated to data maintenance and quality assurance/control if SEP want to develop something similar although this wouldn't necessarily have huge budget implications as SIMBA was developed by three people with no funding.

Strandings data recording portal - Carlos Sacristan

Sacristan presented a strandings data collection portal which was designed in part to act as an early warning system for diseases such as Highly Pathogenic Avian Flu (HPAI). He demonstrated the online access and described how it was made for use in the field. The main goals of the project were to create a comprehensive and easily accessible tool which can combine many datasets. Sacristan highlighted the importance of understanding what data SEP wants to capture for IWC as this is shape the end product. For example, is there a need for all cetacean stranding data or is it only for mass strandings or unusual mortality events.

The value of even limited investigations of stranding events in remote areas - Kristi West

West gave a short presentation on the importance of collecting samples from strandings especially when working in remote areas and in small communities with limited resources. Several case studies were highlighted from different remote locations where samples were received from local communities as well as a more detailed look at a beaked whale stranding from the Marshall Islands in autumn 2022. The unidentified animal had become trapped in a lagoon and prior to being successfully herded out, a single skin sample using a scrubbing brush was collected from the free-swimming animal. West confirmed that following DNA analysis of that single sample, the species was confirmed as Deraniyagala's beaked whale (*Mesoplodon hotaula*). Underwater video of the animal was also recorded and is the first known live view of this species in its entirety.

Attention: SEP, Strandings Coordinator, Global Strandings Network, Secretariat, SSG

The workshop

- 1) **recommended** that the SEP considers creating a set of information sheets on the data and samples required during strandings events, including the type and quantity of sample or data, storage requirements and options for downstream analysis.
- 2) **recommended** the SEP investigates the possibility of setting up a global index of archived samples. This could comprise high-level metadata on strandings networks' archived material which may be accessible for research for example on infectious disease.
- 3) **recommended** that the SEP recognizes the importance of local stranding networks contributions to understanding the occurrence of many diseases of concern, and their potential to create/supply samples to regional tissue banks. Thus, the SEP encourages governments to support the creation of tissue banks where these do not exist and gives their support where they do.
- 4) recommended that closer links are forged between CDOC and SEP.
- 5) **recommended** that the SEP investigates mechanisms for shipping samples and agree a basic sampling kit to be circulated.

Strandings response in UAE- Tres Clark

Clark conducted a virtual tour of new rehabilitation and laboratory facilities due to open in Abu Dhabi imminently. He described some of the issues faced whilst trying to set up a new strandings network.

Capacity building in South Africa: Concept proposal and discussion - Stephanie Plön

Plön presented a proposal for capacity building in South Africa. At the request of Plön, a detailed report was not taken. However, following discussion, the decision was taken to look at the proposal in more detail in the coming weeks.

DISCUSSIONS AND BREAK OUT SESSIONS

Breakout Session – Identifying a Framework for Future Emergency Responses Using Real Examples Groups were asked to discuss the key principles of future emergency response, whether resources and protocols exist to meet this need and what action is required to provide this information. Real examples were used from Turkey, Ukraine and Tasmania.

Attention: SEP

The workshop

- 1) **recommended** that the SEP should look at developing a generic strandings manual to include beach friendly 1–2 page fact sheets and information sheets to help responders with little or no strandings training or resources. This should include guidance on event characterisation and resources and be available in different languages.
- 2) **recommended** that the SEP develops an emergency response prioritisation matrix, checklist and event characterisation form.

Group 1 – Turkey

Knowing animal

• • • • •/•/• • •

- Alive/dead •
- Species
- Accurate numbers
- Stage of decomposition •
- Body score index •
- Physical examination
- Conservation status (IUCN)

• • • • •/•/• • •

Key Onsite Contact



Event Characteristics

- Time of day?
- Environmental factors
- Threats/hazards
- Has this been reported to

ACTIONS

- Develop a
- checklist for stranding group
- (ie. Tasmania list)
- Setup online
 - group on WhatsApp

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$

Location Knowledge

- Anthropogenic activity

Group 2 - Ukraine





War time / country sampling protocols Known navel/military activities

• • • • • • • • • •

Role of IWC

Group 3 – Tasmania





Sampling - Dead

- Gross assessment •
- Zoonoses any danger to •
- staff
- Collection of anthropogenic • sources
- Elimination of carcass
- Cause of death •



Communcation

• • • • •/•/• • • Known Natural **Behaviour of Animals**

•••• Data



Breakout Session – Emergency Response

Groups were asked to discuss and feedback on:

- What tools are missing from emergency response
- What elements of emergency response are best met by IWC and which the GSN
- Funding

Considerations were:

- Does sufficient resource already exist
- Where are the data gaps
- What can the IWC best offer to this

What Tools are Missing from Emergency Response



Role of IWC/GSN



Funding



Attention: SEP, SSG, Secretariat

The workshop

Attention: SEP, Strandings Coordinator, Global Strandings Network, Secretariat, SSG

The workshop

- 1) **recommended** that the Strandings Steering Group revisits the Terms of Reference for SEP highlighting the process for approving new SEP members and investigates member (geographical/knowledge) gaps and encourages the recruitment of new members.
- 2) **recommended** that funding ideas are collated by the Strandings Coordinator from SEP members. The Strandings Coordinator will work with the Strandings Steering Group to develop funding strategy for future work.
- 3) **recommended** that the Strandings Coordinator and Chair of SEP work with the Secretariat to establish an efficient and timely emergency response funding process to enable funds to be drawn down rapidly following sign off by nominated SEP members.

Discussion: Tools for capacity building:

An open discussion was held on the topic of capacity building which covered the what, how, who of capacity building. Key points raised were:

- What regions are needed for training
- Is there SEP representation

What type of training should we provide? :

- Necropsy
- Outbreak investigation
- Live or dead response

How should we train:

- Online/digital/in person
- Collaboration with other groups

Who:

• Membership of training/capacity building subcommittee

There was a discussion on the training prioritisation matrix and the need to revisit it. It was designed to prioritise training requests according to a strategy if multiple requests were received in a year. This need to be adapted to develop an emergency response prioritisation matrix as well.

The key message was that whatever training is done and wherever it is done, this must not be one-off training, but a longer-term program of support to train locals and making sure the right people are connected to aid the breaking down of any barriers.

Attention: SEP

The workshop recommended that the SEP recognise that capacity building initiatives should, wherever possible, be embedded as part of a long-term programme of support rather than one-off events

Discussion: Methods to streamline IWC data reporting, validation and curation

Following presentations, a discussion was held on methods to streamline IWC data reporting, validation and curation. Key points raised were:

- Any database should be simple → location, date, species w/ unique identifier to link to individual databases
- A way to identify places that aren't submitting data is needed so they can be targeted to help build capacity how can we tell from a map/database whether a region has no strandings vs no reported data?

A Mentimeter poll was run asking what a strandings database should look like. This was a rerun of the poll conducted during the ASCOBANS Scoping the development of a European marine strandings database workshop at the European Cetacean Society conference in April 2023. The results are listed in Annex D.

Attention: SEP Database WG, Data Manager, Commission

- 1) **recommends** that the SEP works closely with the Ship Strikes and Strandings Database Manager to ensure a global strandings database meets the needs of users (ie. highlight data gaps so that capacity building work can be concentrated in areas where it is most needed). This includes working to facilitate the harmonisation and exchange of data.
- 2) **recommends** that IWC gives continued support for the Ship Strikes and Strandings Database manager post to ensure development and curation of a strandings database.

CONCLUSIONS

The workshop concluded with the group discussing and confirming actions and recommendations.

Attention: SEP, Strandings Coordinator

The workshop

- 1) **recommends** that the Strandings Expert Panel produce a new workplan for 2025-2028 to present at SC69B with full costings and funding proposals.
- 2) **recommends** that the Strandings Coordinator establishes and coordinators SEP working groups to undertake tasks under the following topics:
 - Emergency Response define minimum standards for stranding responses and look at producing/sourcing best practice guidelines/protocols and resources in a variety of languages.
 - Capacity Building produce a standardised modular training package covering all aspects of capacity building.
 - Databases etc work with the IWC Data Manager to explore options and move forward on a global strandings database.
 - Samples/shipment investigate methods for shipping samples and develop a basic kit for sample collection.
 - Infectious diseases create closer links with CDOC.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This workshop was supported financially by the International Whaling Commission and the University of Padova. We thank Sandro Mazzariol for assisting with logistical support, and Emma Neave-Webb, Katie Moore and Lauri Leach for rapporteuring.

Annex A List of Participants

STRANDINGS EXPERT PANEL

Andrew Brownlow (Chair), Scotland

- Andre Barreto, Brazil
- Frances Gulland, United States of America
- Sandro Mazzariol, Italy
- Katie Moore, United States of America
- Stephanie Plön, South Africa
- Lindsay Porter, Hong Kong
- Carlos Sacristan, Spain
- Aviad Scheinin, Israel
- Raphaela Stimmelmayr, United States of America
- Nantarika Chansue, Thailand
- Gabriela Hernandez Mora, Costa Rica
- Chalatip Junchompoo, Thailand
- Michel Vely, France
- Kristi West, United States of America
- David Mattila (Secretariat Technical Advisor- Human Impact Reduction), United States of America
- Emma Neave-Webb (Secretariat Strandings Coordinator), Scotland

STRANDINGS STEERING GROUP

Fabia Luna (Chair), Brazil

EXTERNAL PARTICIPANTS

Kris Carlyon, Tasmania Lissette Trejos, Panama Tres Clarke, Abu Dhabi Lauri Leach, United States of America

Annex B Agenda

IWC Strandings Initiative Workshop

DATES: 8-11TH MAY 2023

LOCATION: VENICE, ITALY

Monday 8th May Centro Culturale Don Orione Artigianelli

14:00 Coffee

- 14:15 Plenary session: opening of the meeting and background to SEP and IWC aims for the workshop Emma and Sandro
- 15:00 Round of introductions of all participants
- 16:00 Survey of key priorities and agreement on workshop outcomes
- 17:00 Close of workshop
- 18:00 Icebreaker BAR El Borrachero El Borrachero Dorsoduro, 1078, 30123 Venezia VE

Tuesday 9th May: Centro Culturale Don Orione Artigianelli

- 09:00 Plenary session: Emergency response
- 09:10 Response to Mass stranding events in Tasmania: Kris Carlyon
- 10:00 Discussion: Main considerations and requirements for strandings response. Participants' feedback of own experiences- what worked, what didn't, what are key principles.
- 10:30 Coffee
- 11:00 Global Strandings Network update: Laurie Leach
- 11:15 Breakout session:

Identifying a framework for future emergency responses through real examples:

- Tasmania
- Ukraine
- Turkey
- 13:00 Lunch
- 14:30 Feedback and discussion of morning breakout session.
- 15:00 Breakout session 2
 - Minimum requirements for emergency response: Live animals
 - Minimum requirements for emergency response: Dead animals
 - How to provide an efficient response- co-ordinators, collaborators and communications
 - Funding
- 16:30 Coffee

- 17:00 Wrap up and identification and allocation of follow on tasks
- 18:00 Closure of second workshop day
- 20:30 Organized dinner: OKE Zattere OKE Zattere Sestiere Dorsoduro, 1414, 30123 Venezia

Wednesday 10th May Centro Culturale Don Orione Artigianelli

- 09:00 Plenary session: Capacity building
 - Review of previous day outcomes and overview of day 3
- 09:15 Standardising data collected by different organisations: Andre Barreto
- 09:30 Strandings data recording portal: Carlos Sacristan
- 09.45 The value of even limited investigations of stranding events in remote areas. Kristi West
- 10:00 Discussion: Methods to streamline IWC data reporting, validation and curation
- 10:30 Coffee
- 11:00 Strandings response in UAE- Tres Clark
- 11:30 Breakout session: Tools for capacity building:
 - Review of training prioritisation matrix
 - Pipeline for sample storage, curation and shipping- links to vet labs, OIE, CITES
 - Building a digital resource library
 - Funding
- 13:00 Lunch
- 14:30 Feedback and discussion of the morning breakout session
- 16:00 Capacity building in South Africa: Concept proposal and discussion: Stephanie Plön
- 16:30 Coffee
- 17:00 Wrap up discussion- agreement of main task and actions for follow on
- 18:00 Close of workshop
- 20:00 Dinner- Location TBD, Kindly funded by IWC

Coffee, lunch and dinner are kindly provided for all participants by University of Padova and IWC

Annex C Organisational Chart – November 2022







Annex D Mentimeter Poll Results

What level of database do we want?



How should this database be managed?



