

Report of the Conservation Committee Planning Group (CCPG) meeting

Monday 7 May 2018, Hotel Golf, Bled, Slovenia

1. Welcome and aims of the meeting

The meeting was chaired by Lorenzo Rojas Bracho (Chair of the Conservation Committee). Sarah Ferriss and Sarah Smith of the Secretariat were appointed as rapporteurs.

The Chair drew attention to the Terms of Reference (Annex A) for annual Conservation Committee Planning Group (CCPG) meetings, which were endorsed at IWC66 in 2016. The CCPG would ensure progression of the Conservation Committee work plan in the intersessional period and help set the agenda for the biennial Conservation Committee meeting.

The IWC Executive Secretary, Rebecca Lent, thanked the CCPG participants for their time and commitment and noted the importance of reaching out to other organisations in order to achieve the objectives of the CC.

2. Adoption of the agenda

The agenda (Annex B) was adopted. The list of participants is given at Annex C and a list of documents available to the meeting is given at Annex D.

3. Conservation Committee Strategic Plan and Work Plan (Lorenzo Rojas Bracho/Jamie Rendell)

a) Update on strategic plan and work plan

The Chair drew attention to the Conservation Committee Strategic Plan, which was adopted by the Commission in 2016, and noted that it had been updated to include the new Standing Working Group on Bycatch (IWC/M18/CCPG/INFO/02).

The Vice-Chair of the Conservation Committee (Rendell) drew attention to the updated CC Work Plan (IWC/M18/CCPG/12). He noted that this was a living document that provided a way of identifying priority actions and reporting progress to the Commission. Rendell noted the need to improve the document, including by adding costs.

The Chair noted that there are not currently leads for several work areas including anthropogenic sound, marine debris, chemical pollution and climate change. Brazil (Salvatore) and Spain (Pierce) offered to help with CC work on chemical pollution. Argentina (Iniguez) and Brazil (Andriolo) offered to help with anthropogenic sound.

The CCPG agreed that non-governmental organisations could also be asked to assist, if no other volunteers were identified.

The Chair thanked those who had offered to help and noted that, at IWC67, he will request additional volunteers from government and non-government organisations to help take forward these work areas.

b) Working Methods of the Conservation Committee

The Secretariat introduced draft Conservation Committee Working Methods (IWC/M18/CCPG/01/REV1) and sought views on specific questions in the document.

The CCPG agreed that defined terms (e.g. four or six years) should be introduced for Chairs, and that this could be extended by agreement. It agreed that the process to appoint a new Chair should be elaborated for if the Chair or Vice Chair of the CC steps down intersessionally.

The CCPG noted that while Rule M of the Rules of Procedure does not explicitly name the Conservation Committee, it does allow for the establishment of other Committees, and the CC has equal status to those that are named.

The CCPG agreed that a longer deadline (>24 hours) should be set for the submission of documents to the Conservation Committee. It also agreed that, if the CC met annually, a biennial agenda should be set which should be consistent with other subsidiary bodies. The CCPG also agreed that the documents of its meetings should be archived.

The CCPG thanked the Secretariat for its work and agreed that the Secretariat should update the Working Methods for presentation to the Conservation Committee at IWC67. This would be done in consultation with the existing intersessional group. Australia (Perrett) and Belgium (Langerock) joined this group.

c) Voluntary National Conservation Reports

New Zealand introduced a proposal for a refreshed reporting format for Voluntary Conservation Reports (IWC/M18/CCPG/15Rev1). It proposed that the submission of stand-alone individual Voluntary Conservation Reports be replaced by a public, online web-accessible database of cetacean conservation information integrated into the IWC's website. The reports could be searched by theme, in alignment with the Strategic Plan, which could reflect priority threats and actions as a starting point. This would streamline the reporting requirements of Contracting Governments, and improve the accessibility, effectiveness and reach of information submitted in Voluntary Conservation Reports. New Zealand highlighted the need for the system to complement existing IWC resources and to avoid duplication. New Zealand suggested that the idea could be developed following comments from the CCPG and proposed to Commission in September.

Luxembourg, the UK and the US supported the idea, and the US offered to help with developing the budget to present to IWC67. The Chair of the Finance and Administration Committee (Wulff, US), noted that the budget proposal would not be subject to a 60-day deadline as it would not involve a change to the Rules of Procedure. The UK highlighted the need to put forward CC budget proposals in a coherent way.

The UK (Simmonds) asked whether non-governmental organisations could input and New Zealand clarified that for now, this information would need to come through national governments.

There was some discussion on the need to ensure synergies with existing databases including the database of recommendations under development and Scientific Committee

progress reports. Double, as convenor of the SC Global Databases Repository subgroup offered his support to this work.

The CCPG thanked New Zealand for its work and agreed that a costed proposal should be presented at IWC67.

4. Preparation for IWC67

a) Draft Conservation Committee agenda

The CCPG considered a draft agenda, prepared by the Secretariat, for the next Conservation Committee to be held in September 2018 (IWC/M18/CCPG/02).

The Chair asked whether an agenda item on the governance review should be included on the CC agenda. The Chair of the Operational Effectiveness Working Group (Phelps, US) noted that the OE WG will report to the Finance and Administration Committee (F&A) at IWC67 with a proposal to guide the Commission on how it handles the review. She welcomed views from the Commission's subgroups and suggested that any submissions would be reviewed over the subsequent intersessional period, after IWC67.

Noting that the governance review is an ongoing and longer-term process, the CCPG agreed to include the governance review on the agenda of the CC at IWC67. It agreed that a summary document, setting out the main areas of relevance of the governance review report to the Conservation Committee would be useful to guide the discussion and established a small group to draft this document comprising the chair and vice chair of the CC, Australia and the Secretariat.

The CCPG agreed that issues such as noise, chemical pollution, climate change and potentially other emerging issues should be addressed on the CC agenda. The Chair noted that ideally the CC would start in the morning and have a full day.

b) Timing of Conservation Committee meetings

The Vice Chair introduced a proposal for annual Conservation Committee meetings for consideration at IWC67 in 2018 (IWC/M18/CCPG/06). This was following previous discussions of the CC and the CCPG.

Australia, Belgium, the UK and the US supported the development and submission of this proposal to IWC67.

Luxemburg asked whether a cost saving could be made if the meeting were held in association with the SC and noted the possibility of finding a cost-effective or free venue. Belgium queried whether interpretation was needed. In response to their question on whether this was specified in Rules of Procedure, the Secretariat clarified that the Rules of Procedure only specified the need for simultaneous interpretation of plenary meetings. The Vice Chair welcomed views from non-English speakers on this.

Australia and Chile queried whether an annual CC meeting would impact on the role and timing of the CCPG and the Joint CC/SC WG. The Vice Chair noted that there were many

permutations which can be worked out if the proposal for annual CC meetings is endorsed by the Commission.

The Chair of the Finance and Administration Committee noted that there will be budget and meeting discussions in F&A, which was the last sub-Committee to be held. The recommendations from the CC could be forwarded to the F&A and if necessary a revised document could be submitted.

The US suggested adding costs for the option of hosting a CC meeting in conjunction with the Scientific Committee.

The CCPG agreed that the Chair and Vice Chair would develop the proposal for annual Conservation Committee meetings, taking into account comments made during this meeting.

5. Progress update from intersessional Working Groups

a) Ship Strikes Working Group (Bob Brownell, USA)

This item was not discussed due to time constraints.

b) Standing Working Group on Whale Watching (Ryan Wulff, USA)

The Chair of the Standing Working Group on Whale Watching (Wulff, US) noted that the SC had discussed the Strategic Plan for Whale Watching and a revised Whale Watching Strategic Plan will be presented to IWC67.

Wulff presented the draft Whale Watching Handbook which is nearing completion (IWC/M18/CCPG/09). This will be presented to the Commission at IWC67. The CCPG welcomed the development of the Whale Watching Handbook and thanked Wulff and Minton (the contractor appointed to develop and source the content for the Handbook) for their work. In response to a question from the UK on the impact of the Handbook, Wulff clarified that a communication strategy would be developed and the number of visitors to the site would be monitored.

Australia reported on progress establishing the Indian Ocean Rim Association Sustainable Whale and Dolphin Watching Tourism Network (IWC/M18/CCPG/INFO/01). In February 2018, a concept note for the Network was circulated by the IORA Secretariat to all IORA member states inviting nominations to the Network. Australia will support the Network in its first year of operation by producing a biannual newsletter. Both the SC and CC are requested to provide content for the newsletter – this could include information on cetaceans in the Indian Ocean region, events and capacity building opportunities, case studies and any other information relevant to cetaceans in the region. Simmonds drew attention to the intersessional group, established by the SC, to help with correspondence between the IWC and IORA.

c) Standing Working Group on Conservation Management Plans (Suzi Heaton, Australia)

The Chair of the Standing Working Group on Conservation Management Plans (Heaton, Australia) noted that a major priority for the SWG-CMP over the previous 12 months had been the mid-term review of the CMP work plan as directed at IWC66.

The mid-term review had involved a survey that was sent to a number of people involved in the CMPs, conversations with the Secretariat, other relevant working groups, and drawing on Australia's own experiences from the chairing the SWG-CMP. The mid-term review identified the need for better promotion of the CMP work and its achievements (both within and outside the Commission), better face-to-face support and mentoring during the CMP development process, and increased support during CMP implementation, including greater assistance in overcoming funding challenges.

A draft revised work plan had been developed (IWC/M18/CCPG/05 Rev 01) and was discussed at the meeting of the SWG, held in the margins of the Scientific Committee a few days earlier. The main proposed revisions to the work plan are: updating and bringing together the CMP guidelines and funding principles; amending the CMP development process in light of the move to biennial Commission meetings; and updating the priority actions for the final two years of the work plan to reflect the findings of the mid-term review. The updated work plan will be submitted to the CC at IWC67, along with a proposal to develop a new thematic strategic plan over the next intersessional period for presentation to IWC68.

Heaton thanked Deb Callister for her work during her time as chair. The CCPG thanked Australia for this update.

d) Standing Working Group on Bycatch (Stephanie Langerock, Belgium)

The Chair of the Standing Working Group on Bycatch (Langerock, Belgium) introduced the Bycatch Mitigation Initiative (BMI) and summarised progress against the recommendations made at IWC66 [Document IWC/M18/CCPG/07]. This included the establishment of the Standing Working Group on Bycatch, the establishment of the Expert Panel on Bycatch Mitigation, and the recruitment of the Bycatch Coordinator Marguerite Tarzia. A costed work plan would be tabled for discussion at IWC 67.

The Chair of the CC supported the development of the Strategic Plan and costed work plan for the BMI and stressed the importance of continuing the post of the Coordinator beyond IWC67. He emphasised the value of the multidisciplinary nature of the Expert Panel.

The Bycatch Coordinator (Tarzia, Secretariat) introduced document IWC/M18/CCPG/04 which contained a strategic assessment of the potential work areas where the BMI can add the most value in tackling cetacean bycatch and an outline of a thematic strategic plan for the BMI, based on the preliminary findings of the strategic assessment.

Strategic Assessment

Tarzia summarised the mechanisms identified in the strategic assessment as being the most significant for bringing about change in how bycatch is tackled from local to international scales. These mechanisms range from identifying high risk areas for bycatch for targeted work; testing and demonstrating effective solutions; transferring knowledge and developing capacity on bycatch mitigation and management; and collaborative work with

regional and international fisheries bodies. The strategic assessment was discussed the previous week by the Scientific Committee's sub-committee on Human Induced Mortality, which had included the suggested work areas into their workplan for the next two-year period. The Bycatch Expert Panel is also reviewing the document, and this will be finalised by the end of the month.

Spain drew attention to the need to raise public awareness on bycatch, noting that the success of ecolabels depends on public attitudes. The Executive Secretary (Lent) noted links between the SC HIM Sub-Committee and the Marine Stewardship Council. Luxembourg highlighted the role played by the US Import rule as an incentivising mechanism and also suggested the BMI could focus on bycatch in gill nets.

Australia (Double) noted that the mechanisms identified are a step back from the proximate mechanisms that actually tackle bycatch and Tarzia clarified that this would be addressed through the work plan.

Strategic Plan

Tarzia introduced the outline of the Strategic Plan for the BMI, based on the preliminary findings of the strategic assessment. She noted that the current proposal is for the Strategic Plan to cover the 6-year period 2018-2024, however, this could potentially extend for longer, e.g. 10 years, given that working on bycatch is a long term issue which requires time in order to achieve progress.

Following discussion, the CCPG suggested the Strategic Plan should cover a ten-year period. The UK, supported by the Chair, suggested that the welfare aspects of bycatch could also be included in the Strategic Plan.

The UK (Harper) offered her personal support to work on bringing about behavioural change in fishing communities. She suggested adding the regional seas conventions to the list of potential partner organisations.

The UK (Simmonds) thanked the Chair of the Standing Working Group on Bycatch (Langerock) for her tremendous work in moving the BMI forward. He also welcomed the appointment of the Bycatch Coordinator, emphasised the need to maintain the role, and thanked Tarzia for her work to date. Langerock thanked Simmonds for his work as interim bycatch coordinator and Tarzia for all her work since starting.

e) Cetaceans and Ecosystem Functioning

At its 2017 meeting, the CCPG agreed that Chile and Belgium would establish a small working group to make recommendations on how the Conservation Committee should address Resolution 2016-3 related to Cetaceans and their contribution to Ecosystem Functioning. Chile (Galletti) provided an update on progress. The Working Group currently includes representatives from the Governments of Belgium, Chile, Slovenia, United Kingdom, and the United States, as well as the observers Animal Welfare Institute, Centro de Conservacion Cetacea, Fundacion Promar, Grupo de Estudos de Mamiferos Marinhos da Regiao dos Lagos, Instituto de Conservacion de Ballenas and Whale and Dolphin

Conservation. Memberships remains open and interested parties are welcome to join the WG at any time.

Galletti drew attention to the Terms of Reference for the WG (IWC/M18/CCPG/10) which were to make recommendations for IWC67 biannual meeting on how the Conservation Committee could address resolution 2016-3 and propose options to undertake the review on the ecological, management, environmental, social and economic aspects as well as options to incorporate this topic into the work of the Conservation Committee. An update will be presented at the IWC67.

Galletti noted that the Convention on Migratory Species adopted a resolution that *inter alia* requested "to work with the Scientific and Conservation Committees of the International Whaling Commission to increase understanding of cetaceans' contribution to the functioning of marine ecosystems, including co-hosting a workshop to review the existing data and research and identify opportunities to expand this work".

Galletti noted the work the Scientific Committee and underscored the need for the SC work to feed into the CC discussions. For this reason, along with the volume of work to be undertaken, it is likely that the work of the WG will need to be continued after IWC67.

f) Joint Conservation Committee-Scientific Committee Working Group (Jamie Rendell, UK)

The Vice Chair (Rendell) introduced document IWC/M18/CCPG/13 and highlighted the need for guidance on language when drafting recommendations in Working Group reports and the Conservation Committee report. The SC is already developing guidance and he noted that the CC will need to decide on its own guidance which could include a simple numbering and language consistent with the database of recommendations.

g) Species of urgent or emerging concern.

At its last meeting, the CCPG discussed potential ways in which the Conservation Committee could help with species of critical conservation concern for which urgent action is required, including for the Vaquita and for other species.

The US (Brownell) introduced IWC/M18/CCPG/11 which provided an overview of species or populations of urgent or emerging concern. He drew attention to 13 species of small cetacean which are Critically Endangered in the IUCN Red List and 10 species or populations of baleen whales which are Critically Endangered or Endangered. Bycatch is a key threat for most of these populations. Brownell noted that, once a population drops to 100 or less, there are no mitigation options available other than to stop fishing. He emphasised that the CC needs to find a way to address the issues facing these populations.

6. Finance

a) Intersessional correspondence group on strengthening finance (ICG-SF) (Stephanie Langerock, Belgium)

The Chair of the Intersessional correspondence group on strengthening finance (Langerock, Belgium) provided an update on progress in implementing the recommendations of the Group (IWC/M18/CCPG/08).

She introduced the draft template to be used when costing projects and suggested including a section to identify whether a project was suitable for external fund-raising or an application to the Voluntary Conservation Fund. Australia noted that they will trial the project template in the CMP-SWG, whilst noting that it would not be relevant to all aspects of the work plan.

Langerock noted that the Secretariat has started to compile a list of funding opportunities and requested input from the CCPG, including with regards to geographic gaps in the current list. Any input should be sent to the Secretariat (Sarah Ferriss and Sarah Smith) and to Langerock.

Langerock reported that the group was considering the need for a Code for ethical fund raising. Australia (Gales) offered to contribute to this work.

Langerock emphasised the need to consider the future of the ICGSF, which may require a new or refreshed mandate; a new work plan would be presented at IWC67. Australia thanked Langerock for all the work she had done and supported continuation of the group.

b) Voluntary Conservation Fund (Catherine Bell, UK)

The Chair of the Voluntary Conservation Fund Steering Group (Bell, UK) outlined proposed changes to the allocation process and eligibility criteria for the Voluntary Conservation Fund (IWC/M18/CCPG/14). The main changes included: updating the project categories to match the CC Strategic Plan; updating and formalising the allocation process to ensure the Steering Group has the appropriate mandate; responding to an SC recommendation to establish a Whale Watching Fund in memory of Carole Carlson. A proposal will be submitted to IWC67 with any changes to the Rules of Procedure, with support from the Steering Group and the Secretariat.

Australia thanked Bell and welcomed the proposed process which provided a balance between ensuring good governance and enabling a flexible approach.

Belgium queried how the different work streams in the CC Strategic Plan would be prioritised. Bell suggested this would need input from the Conservation Committee which could prioritise work areas and advise the VCF Steering Group. Australia noted that this issue may also be addressed through the governance review process.

7. Relationship with other inter-governmental organisations (Secretariat)

The Secretariat introduced IWC/M18/CCPG/03 which provided a brief update on engagement with other inter-governmental organisations and Secretariat proposals for next steps. The Secretariat noted that as Secretariat resources were limited with regards attending meetings of other organisations, there may be opportunities for the CC and the Secretariat to work more closely together with regards attending other meetings. The Executive Secretary noted that she would attend the forthcoming meeting of FAO Committee on Fisheries and asked the CCPG to let their government counterparts know.

Annex A. Terms of Reference for annual Conservation Committee Planning Group meeting

The Conservation Committee Planning Group is tasked with helping set the agenda for the biennial Conservation Committee meeting and progression of the Conservation Committees work plan in the intersessional period.

It will:

- Consider the Committee's progress since the last meeting of the Committee, in particular with regards to intersessional work, and support continued effective delivery of its work.
- Identify key discussions, priorities and deliverables for inclusion on the agenda of the next meeting of the Committee.
- Support the Chair in preparing for Conservation Committee meetings.
- Provide an additional opportunity for discussing the strategic development of the Conservation Committee, particularly with regards its outward facing strategic plan, strategic development of priorities, engagement with other organisations, and funding.

Membership

The group is intended to be small and focused and does not replace a full meeting of the Conservation Committee. Attendance is not restricted but may be limited by available space. It will primarily be composed of the Chair and vice-chair of the Conservation Committee, Chairs of the Committee's standing working groups, and nominees from contracted governments. Additional expertise will be invited as appropriate to the agenda by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Conservation Committee. The meeting will be Chaired by the Chair of the Conservation Committee.

Timings

The Conservation Committee planning meeting should meet at least annually and where possible in conjunction with meetings of the Scientific and/or Conservation Committee to ensure best use of resources.

Annex B. Conservation Committee Planning Group Agenda

Conservation Committee Planning Group

Monday 7 May 2018, 9.30 am-12.30 pm

Location: Zrak, Hotel Golf, Bled, Slovenia

1. Welcome and aims of the meeting

- a) Appointment of Chair [Lorenzo Rojas Bracho (Mexico) is proposed]
- b) Appointment of Rapporteur [The Secretariat will offer to act as rapporteur]
- c) Terms of Reference and aims of the meeting
- d) Review of documents available to the meeting. A documents list is provided as IWC/M18/CCPG/GEN/02

2. Adoption of the agenda

3. Conservation Committee Strategic Plan and Work Plan (Lorenzo Rojas Bracho/Jamie Rendell)

- a) Update on strategic plan and work plan
- b) Working Methods of the Conservation Committee
- c) Voluntary National Conservation Reports

4. Preparation for IWC67

- a) Draft Conservation Committee agenda
- b) Timing of Conservation Committee meetings

5. Progress update from intersessional Working Groups

- a) Ship Strikes Working Group (Bob Brownell, USA)
- b) Standing Working Group on Whale Watching (Ryan Wulff, USA)
- c) Standing Working Group on Conservation Management Plans (Suzi Heaton, Australia)
- d) Standing Working Group on Bycatch (Stephanie Langerock, Belgium)
- e) Cetaceans and Ecosystem Functioning
- f) Joint Conservation Committee-Scientific Committee Working Group (Jamie Rendell, UK)
- g) Species of urgent or emerging concern.

6. Finance

- a) Intersessional group on strengthening finance (Stephanie Langerock, Belgium)
- b) Voluntary Conservation Fund (Catherine Bell, UK)

7. Relationship with other inter-governmental organisations (Secretariat)

8. Any other business

Annex C. List of Participants

ARGENTINA

Miguel Iñiguez

AUSTRALIA

Nick Gales
Mike Double
Suzi Heaton
Paula Perrett

BELGIUM

Stephanie Langerock

BRAZIL

Artur Andriolo
Andre Barreto

CHILE

Barbara Galletti Vernazzani

COLOMBIA

Anamaria Gonzalez

DENMARK

Peter Linde

GUINEA

Samba Diallo

KENYA

Nina Wambiji

LUXEMBOURG

Liz Slooten

NETHERLANDS

Anne-Marie Svoboda

NEW ZEALAND

David Lundquist
Julia Reynolds

Amy Laurenson

NORWAY

Arne Bjørge

PORTUGAL

Marine Sequiera
Luis de Freitas

SPAIN

Begona Santos
Graham Pierce

UNITED KINGDOM

Gemma Harper
Catherine Bell
Jamie Rendell
Mark Peter Simmonds

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Ryan Wulff
Lisa Phelps

Observers:

Jennifer Lonsdale (EIA)

Chair of Conservation Committee

Lorenzo Rojas Bracho

Scientific Committee

Salvatore Siciliano

IWC Secretariat

Rebecca Lent
Sarah Ferriss
Sarah Smith
Marguerite Tarzia
David Mattila
Katie Penfold
Kate Wilson

Annex D. List of Documents available to the meeting

Document Number	Title	Authors
<i>Secretariat information</i>		
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/GEN/01	Draft Agenda Conservation Committee Planning Group 2018	IWC Secretariat
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/GEN/02	List of Documents	IWC Secretariat
<i>Primary papers</i>		
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/01 Rev1	Conservation Committee Working Methods	IWC Secretariat
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/02	Draft Conservation Committee Agenda	IWC Secretariat
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/03	Update on progress in engaging other organisations	IWC Secretariat
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/04	Bycatch Mitigation Initiative strategic assessment and outline of strategic plan	Marguerite Tarzia
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/05 Rev 1	Draft Revised Conservation Management Plan	Suzi Heaton and Adam Clark
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/06	Draft Proposal for annual meetings of the Conservation Committee	Chair and Vice-Chair of the Conservation Committee
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/07	Interim Progress Report Bycatch Mitigation Initiative	Stephanie Langerock
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/08	Interim Progress Report ICG-SF	Stephanie Langerock
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/09	Report on the development of the IWC online Whale Watching Handbook	Gianna Minton, the IWC Secretariat and Chair of the Whale Watching Standing Working Group
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/10	Discussion paper on Cetaceans and Ecosystem Functioning	Barbara Galletti
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/11	Emerging or Existing Threats to Cetaceans: Understanding their Conservation Issues	R.L. Brownell Jr, Sarah D. Mallette and Lorenzo Rojas-Bracho
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/12	Conservation Committee Workplan	Conservation Committee
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/13	Guidance on drafting Conservation Committee Recommendations	IWC Secretariat
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/14	Report from the Voluntary Conservation Fund Steering Group (VCF-SG)	Cat Bell, Chair of the Voluntary Conservation Fund Steering Group
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/15Rev1	IWC Voluntary Conservation Reports refresh	Julia Reynolds
<i>For information documents</i>		
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/INFO/01	Indian Ocean Rim Association (IORA) Sustainable Whale and Dolphin Watching Tourism Network	Adam Clark, Hilary Anderson, Suzi Heaton
IWC/MAY18/CCPG/INFO/02	Conservation Committee Strategic Plan 2016-2026	Conservation Committee